

PART I - A

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION
In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.
 OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)
<i>I.A.1) Date of Submission (mm/dd/yyyy)</i>
Dec 12, 2008
<i>I.A.2) Agency</i>
029 - Department of Veterans Affairs
<i>I.A.3) Bureau</i>
00 - Agency Wide Initiatives
<i>I.A.4) Name of this Investment:(SHORT ANSWER)</i>
Regional Data Processing Center-2010
<i>I.A.5) Unique Project(Investment) Identifier: Update the UPI using the Exhibit 53 tab.</i>
029-00-01-11-01-1195-00
<i>I.A.6) What kind of investment will this be in FY2010? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)</i>
Operations and Maintenance
<i>I.A.7) What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?</i>
FY2005
<i>I.A.8) Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap: (LONG ANSWER)</i>
<p>To support the delivery of care, VHA is dependent on its health information system and infrastructure, including the data processing centers distributed across the VHA network of 21 Veterans Integrated Service Networks (VISN), which serves as an integral component to VHA's health information system. In the current environment, data processing within VHA takes place at the medical center level. The decentralized model makes it impractical to provide true redundancy, diversity and rapid disaster recovery (e.g., minimize downtime to veteran data in the event of another "Katrina") with any reasonability in cost or management complexity. This disparity has resulted in a number of deficiencies in IT delivery and makes the existing model un-sustainable given the current and projected resource limitations. To address this issue, VHA is moving to a Regional Data Processing Center (RDPC) model of centralization of VHA health information data processing by co-locating and/or integrating services to a smaller number of data centers (from 128 to four, nationwide).</p> <p>RDPC will establish the data processing infrastructure foundation required for many critical initiatives such as HealtheVet-Vista and the Health Data Repository (HDR) program. By co-locating and consolidating where veterans' data is processed, RDPC serves a key step on the road to transforming VA from a facility-centric to customer-centric organization by enabling all data to be related by key factors such as universal identification numbers veterans will be assigned when they first register for VA services (the universal ID component of the One-VA Registration and Eligibility project) versus the facility where services are rendered/the data is processed. Standardized operations will help improve the service provided to patients through structured processes and more effective handling of patient data. This translates into improved efficiency, operational performance, and reliability in accessing veteran health information data; improved adverse event preparedness (reduced downtime to access health information data in the event of another "Katrina"); higher levels of redundancy and improved continuity of operations. RDPC supports VA Strategic Goal 3, Objective 1; Strategic Goal 4, Objective 1; and the Enabling Goal: Deliver world class service to veterans and their families by applying sound business principles that result in effective management of people, communications, technology, and governance.</p>
<i>I.A.9) Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?</i>
Yes
<i>I.A.9.a) If "yes," what was the date of this approval?</i>

Jun 7, 2005

I.A.10) Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

Yes

I.A.11) Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

	Project Managers Names (SHORT ANSWER)	PM Phone	E-mail (SHORT ANSWER)
Primary in-house	Horace L Blackman	202 461-9645	horace.blackman@va.gov

I.A.11.a) What is the current FAC-P/PM (for civilian agencies) or DAWIA (for defense agencies) certification level of the program/project manager?

I.A.11.b) When was the Program/Project Manager Assigned?

Mar 31, 2008

I.A.11.c) What date did the Program/Project Manager receive the FACP/PM certification? If the certification has not been issued, what is the anticipated date for certification?

Dec 31, 2008

I.A.12) Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

Yes

I.A.12.a) Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

Yes

I.A.12.b) Is this investment for construction or retrofit of a federal building or facility? (Answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

No

I.A.12.b.1) If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

I.A.12.b.2) If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

I.A.12.b.3) If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code? (Answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

I.A.13) Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?

Yes

I.A.13.a) If "yes," check all that apply:

	PMA Initiatives for XML Submission	PMA Initiatives
		- Human Capital
		- Budget Performance Integration
		- Financial Performance
Yes	Expanded E-Government	- Expanded E-Government
		- Competitive Sourcing
		- Faith Based and Community
		- Real Property Asset Management
		- Eliminating Improper Payments

		- Privatization of Military Housing
		- Research & Development Investment Criteria
		- Housing & Urban Development Management & Performance
		- Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives
		- "Right Sized" Overseas Presence
Yes	Coordination of VA and DoD Programs and Systems	- Coordination of VA & DoD Programs and Systems

I.A. 13.b) Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)(MEDIUM ANSWER)

Expanded E-government: RDPC increases availability and standardization of interoperable data and system components within VA. Coordination of VA & DoD Programs and Systems: RDPC enables the coordination of VA and DoD programs by establishing a platform infrastructure for the sharing of common data between VA and DoD.

I.A. 14) Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

No

I.A. 14.a) If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?

I.A. 14.b) If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program? (SHORT ANSWER)

I.A. 14.c) If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

I.A. 15) Is this investment information technology? (See section 53.8 for definition)

Yes

I.A. 16) What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)

Level 1

I.A. 17) What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)

Qualification Status	Qualification Status for XML Submission	Description
4	(4) Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started	(1) - Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment.
		(2) - Project manager qualification is under review for this investment.
		(3) - Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements.
		(4) - Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
		(5) - No Project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

I.A. 18) Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2008 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

No

I.A. 19) Is this project (investment) a Financial Management System? (see section 53.3 for definition)

No

I.A. 19.a) If so, does this project (investment) address a FFIA (Federal Financial Managers Integrity Act) compliance area?

I.A.19.a.1) If yes, which compliance area?

I.A.19.a.2) If "no," what does it address? (MEDIUM ANSWER)

Increased efficiency, standardization, operational performance and reliability via centralization

I.A.19.b) If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52 (LONG ANSWER)

n/a

I.A.20) What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Percentage of Total Investment	
% Hardware	
% Software	
% Services	
% Others	

I.A.21) If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

NA

I.A.22) Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Contact Name: (SHORT ANSWER)	Dennis Stewart
Phone Number:	202-461-7456
Title: (SHORT ANSWER)	IT Specialist
E-mail: (SHORT ANSWER)	dennis.stewart2@va.gov

I.A.23) Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

Yes

I.A.24) Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?

No

PART I - B

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section B: Summary of Funding (All Capital Assets)

I.B.1) FILL IN TABLE IN CURRENT VALUES (in millions)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are

rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be EXCLUDED from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Category of Funds	PY-1 and Earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Planning Total	6.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Acquisition Total	54.494	5.481	17.952	0.000
Operations & Maintenance Total	4.715	18.519	12.048	33.000
Total, All Stages (Non-FTE)	65.209	24.000	30.000	33.000
Government FTE Costs	0.191	1.250	0.000	0.000
Govt. FTE Numbers	2	10	0	0
Total (FTE and Non-FTE)	65.400	25.250	30.000	33.000

Government FTE Costs SHOULD NOT be INCLUDED as part of the TOTAL, All Stages Resources represented.

Note: 1) For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). 2) Total, All Stages Resources should equal Total, All Stages Outlays.

I.B.2) Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

No

I.B.2.a) If Yes, How many and in what year? (MEDIUM ANSWER)

I.B.3) If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes. (LONG ANSWER)

PART I - C

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

I.C.1) If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why? (LONG ANSWER)

VA Directive 6061 requires the use of post implementation reviews and operational analysis on operations and maintenance efforts for sustainment (system operation/steady state) investments or the sustainment portion of mixed life cycle projects to promote more effective management oversight. VA will use an Operational Analysis to formally assess how well an investment is meeting program objectives, customer needs, and is performing within baseline performance goals. The VA operational analysis will involve the collection of information concerning an investment's performance and the comparison of this performance with an established baseline.

I.C.2) Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

Yes

I.C.2.a) Explain why not or how this is being done? (MEDIUM ANSWER)

I.C.3) Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

No

I.C.3.a) If "yes," what is the date?

I.C.3.a.1) Is it Current?

I.C.3.b) If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

No

I.C.3.b.1) If "no," briefly explain why: (MEDIUM ANSWER)

Department of Veterans Affairs 48 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Chapter 8 Clause 873.105(d) states that in lieu of the requirements of FAR Part 7 addressing documentation of the acquisition plan, the contracting officer may conduct an acquisition strategy meeting with cognizant offices to seek approval for the proposed acquisition approach. If a meeting is conducted, briefing materials shall be presented to address the acquisition plan topics and structure in FAR 7.105.

PART I - D

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvements to the Baseline	Actual Results
2007	Honor & Memorialize	Mission and Business Results	Strategic Planning	Strategic Planning – Number of data centers	FY06 - 120 data sites	Migrating to an increased level of consolidation over the current environment	Not measured
2007	Honor & Memorialize	Customer Results	Customer Impact or Burden	Customer impact or burden – System Downtime	Avg FY05 system response time	43% improvement in system response time for critical functions	Not measured

2007	Honor & Memorialize	Processes and Activities	Financial Management	Savings and Cost Avoidance – Hardware and Software Maintenance cost avoidance	Estimated FY07 Hardware and Software Maintenance w/o change to system = \$43.2M (from HeV CBA)	Reduction of \$16.1M in Hardware and Software Maintenance costs. Tot cost estimated to be = \$27M	Not measured
2007	Honor & Memorialize	Technology	Availability	Response Time - Average response times: TIU, Lab, Reminder	Avg. FY2005 response time measurements	3% improvement over FY2005 measurements	Not measured
2008	Honor & Memorialize	Mission and Business Results	Strategic Planning	Strategic Planning – Number of data centers	FY06 - 120 data sites	Migrating to an increased level of consolidation over the current environment.	TBD
2008	Honor & Memorialize	Customer Results	Customer Impact or Burden	Customer impact or burden – System Downtime	Customer impact or burden – System Downtime	Maintain improvement in system response time for critical functions	TBD
2008	Honor & Memorialize	Processes and Activities	Financial Management	Savings and Cost Avoidance – Hardware and Software Maintenance cost avoidance	Estimated FY08 Hardware and Software Maintenance w/o change to system = \$46.6M (from HeV CBA)	Redeployment of \$16.1M to better serve veterans' needs	TBD
2008	Honor & Memorialize	Technology	Availability	Response Time - Average response times: TIU, Lab, Reminder	Avg. FY2005 response time measurements	5% improvement over FY05 measurements	TBD
2009	Honor & Memorialize	Mission and Business Results	Strategic Planning	Strategic Planning – Number of data centers	FY06 - 120 data sites	Migrating to an increased level of consolidation over the current environment.	TBD
2009	Honor & Memorialize	Customer Results	Customer Impact or Burden	Customer impact or burden – System Downtime	Avg FY05 system response time	Maintain improvement in system response time for critical functions	TBD
2009	Honor & Memorialize	Processes and Activities	Financial Management	Savings and Cost Avoidance – Hardware and Software Maintenance cost avoidance	Estimated FY08 Hardware and Software Maintenance w/o change to system = \$50.33 (from HeV CBA)	Reduction of \$18.8M in Hardware and Software Maintenance costs. Total cost estimated to be = \$31.5M	TBD
2009	Honor & Memorialize	Technology	Availability	Response Time - Average response times: TIU, Lab, Reminder	Avg. FY2005 response time measurements	7% improvement over FY05 measurements	TBD

PART I - F

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I.F.1) Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

Yes

I.F.1.a) If "no," please explain why? (LONG ANSWER)

I.F.2) Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

Yes

I.F.2.a) If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. (MEDIUM ANSWER)

Regional Data Processing Center-2010

I.F.2.b) If "no," please explain why? (LONG ANSWER)

I.F.3) Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture?

Yes

I.F.3a) If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect.

100-000

Segment Architecture Mapping Reference Table:

BUSINESS SEGMENT NAME	SEGMENT ARCHITECTURE CODE
1) Health Business Segment	100-000
2) Benefits Business Segment	200-000
3) Memorial, Burials & HQ Segment	300-000
4) Material Management Segment	400-000
5) Financial Segment	500-000

19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
31								
32								
33								
34								
35								
36								
37								
38								
39								
40								
41								
42								
43								
44								
45								
46								
47								
48								
49								
50								

NOTE:

(a*) - Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

(b*) - A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

(c*) - 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

(d*) - Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

I.F.4) FEA TECHNICAL REFERENCE MODEL:

I.F.4) To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

TECHNICAL REFERENCE MODEL TABLE:

	FEA SRM Component (a*)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard
1	License Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers
2	Remote Systems Control	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers
3	System Resource Monitoring	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers
4	Software Distribution	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers
5	Computer / Telephony Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)
6	Computer / Telephony Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Wide Area Network (WAN)
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				

22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50				

NOTE:

(a) - Service Components identified in the previous question(I.F.3) should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications*

(b) - In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.*

I.F.5) Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

No

I.F.5.a) If "yes," please describe. (LONG ANSWER)

PART III - A

Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)

Part III should be completed only for investments identified as "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section A - RISK MANAGEMENT (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this issue on the business case and capital asset plan, you must have performed a risk assessment at the initial concept, included mandatory risk elements defined below and demonstrate active management of the risk throughout the life-cycle of the investment.

For all investments, both IT and non-IT, you must discuss each of the following risks and present your plans to eliminate, mitigate, or manage risk, with milestones and completion dates. If there is no risk to the investment achieving its goals from a risk category, indicate so. If there are other risks identified, include them. Risk assessments should include risk information from all stakeholders and should be performed at the initial concept stage and then monitored and controlled throughout the life-cycle of the investment. Risk assessments for all investments must include: 1) schedule ; 2) initial costs; 3) life-cycle costs); 4) technical obsolescence; 5) feasibility; 6) reliability of systems; 7) dependencies and interoperability between this investment and others; 8) surety (asset protection) considerations; 9) risk of creating a monopoly for future procurements; 10) capability of agency to manage the investment; and 11) overall risk of investment failure.

In addition, for IT investments, risk must be discussed in the following categories 12) organizational and change management; 13) business; 14) data/info; 15) technology; 16) strategic; 17) security; 18) privacy; and 19) project resources. For security risks, identify under the Description column the level of risk as high, medium, or basic. What aspect of security determines the level of risk, i.e., the need for confidentiality of information, availability of information or the system, reliability of the information or system? Under the Current Status column, list the milestones remaining to mitigate the risk.

Moreover, for each risk category with a probability of occurrence of at least medium and impact of at least medium, please indicate whether or not the costs to mitigate the risk have been incorporated into your lifecycle cost estimates in the summary of spending stages section of this Exhibit 300. If not, please also indicate why in your response.

III.A.1) Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

Yes

III.A.1.a) If "yes," what is the date of the plan?

Sep 21, 2006

III.A.1.b) Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

No

III.A.1.c) If "yes," describe any significant changes: (LONG ANSWER)

III.A.2) If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

III.A.2.a) If "yes," what is the planned completion date?

III.A.2.b) If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks? (LONG ANSWER)

PART III - B

Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)

Part III should be completed only for investments identified as "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

III.B) Cost and Schedule Performance:

III.B.1) Was operational analysis conducted?

No

III.B.1.a) If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed.

III.B.2) Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004").

Description of Milestone	Current BL Completion Date Planned	Current BL Completion Date Actual
Initiation: charter, project plans, establishment of regional teams	Sep 30, 2006	Sep 29, 2006
Planning: business readiness plans and technical readiness plans	Sep 30, 2007	
NE Computing Infrastructure: data center, site planning, data migration, operation migration	Sep 30, 2010	
West Computing Infrastructure: data center, site planning, data migration, operation migration	Sep 30, 2010	
Nationwide Data Center Build-out: end state plan development, interim plan development, nationwide operations migration	Sep 30, 2010	

