UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

+ + + + +

CREATING OPTIONS FOR VETERANS' EXPEDITED RECOVERY (COVER) COMMISSION

+ + + + +

OPEN SESSION

+ + + + +

THURSDAY DECEMBER 12, 2019

+ + + + + The Commission met in the Capitol Hill Visitors Center, First Street N.E., Room SVC 212-10, Washington, D.C., at 9:00 a.m., Jake Leinenkugel, Chair, presiding.

PRESENT

JAKE LEINENKUGEL, Chair; Senior White House Advisor, Veterans Administration THOMAS E. BEEMAN, Ph.D., Rear Admiral, U.S. Navy (Ret.), Co-Chair; Executive in Residence, The University of Pennsylvania Health System COLONEL MATTHEW F. AMIDON, USMCR, Director, Military Service Initiative, George W. Bush Institute TOM HARVEY, U.S. Army (Ret.), Board Member, Milbank Memorial Fund WAYNE JONAS, M.D., U.S. Army (Ret.), Executive Director, Samueli Integrative Health Programs

JAMIL S. KHAN, U.S. Marine Corps (Ret.) MATTHEW KUNTZ, U.S. Army (Ret.), Executive Director for the Montana National Alliance

on Mental Illness (NAMI)

SHIRA MAGUEN, Ph.D., Mental Health Director of the OEF/OIF Integrated Care Clinic, San Francisco VA Medical Center MICHAEL POTOCZNIAK, Ph.D., Captain, U.S. Army Reserve, Team Lead for Addiction Recovery Treatment Services, Martinez, California JOHN M. ROSE, Captain, U.S. Navy (Ret.), Board Member, National Alliance on Mental Illness STAFF PRESENT CASIN SPERO, Chief Advisor LUIS CARRILLO, Support Staff KATHRYN FAUSTMANN, Support Staff JOHN GOODRICH, Designated Federal Officer TAMARA GROZDANIC, Research Analyst, Sigma Health Consulting, LLC DANIEL HANLON, Stakeholder Relations JOHN KLOCEK, Alternate DFO WENDY LaRUE, Alternate DFO, Writer LAURA ANN McMAHON, Contracting Officer Representative; Alternate DFO JENNIFER MCKINNEY, Writer HANIFAH MOHAMAD, Research Assistant, Sigma Health Consulting, LLC STACEY POLLACK, Ph.D., Alternate DFO SALMAN SHAMSI, Program Manager, Sigma Health Consulting, LLC TRACY SHEWMAKE, Support Staff KENDRA WEAVER, Alternate DFO ALISON WHITEHEAD, Alternate DFO ALSO PRESENT RYAN BRITCH, Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans of America BERNIE EDLEMAN, Vietnam Veterans of America DEANNA MCRAE, American Psychiatric Association

JESSE POON, University of New Hampshire

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Remarks	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 4
Recommendation	1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.63
Recommendation	2	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.88
Recommendation	3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.99
Recommendation	4	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	151
Recommendation	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	175
Recommendation	6	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	185
Recommendation	7	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	190
Recommendation	8	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	200
Recommendation	9	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	208
Recommendation	10).	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	242
Adjourn	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	267

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 9:00 a.m. CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Good morning and 3 welcome to the December 12, 2019 COVER Commission 4 5 meeting here in this historic are of the Senate. And at this time I would like us to please rise 6 and have Commissioner Jamil Khan lead us in the 7 8 pledge of allegiance. 9 (Pledge of Allegiance.) 10 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: At this point, I would like to welcome everybody to the meeting 11 12 officially. We are now in session. And I would 13 also to extend a welcome to the general public. 14 I think that we'll have interaction throughout the day with various members of the public coming 15 16 in, and also have some commentary set up for 17 around 10:00 a.m. 18 And the main purpose of this meeting 19 is that we have come over the last 17 months to 20 ten very solid suggestions, recommendations that 21 we will be sending to our constituents within the 22 next 45 days.

With that, I think it's appropriate 1 2 this time, being on the record once again, and for general public personnel out there, for us to 3 4 introduce ourselves and give a little bit of a 5 background on who we are and how we've worked together. 6 And I would also like each 7 8 Commissioner, since we do have the time and 9 opportunity and this is going to be one of our last public meetings, or one of our last meetings 10 in general before the report actually goes to 11 12 writing and then submission, for them to give an 13 overview very briefly on what they have learned 14 in the last 17 months of being on this commission 15 and their general outlook as far as the work that 16 has been created. 17 So with that, I am going to go to my 18 co-chair and start with Admiral Tom Beeman. And 19 we'll do a counter-clockwise and end up with 20 myself at that point. So Admiral Tom Beeman, 21 please. Jake, thank you very 22 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN:

1	much. It has been a privilege for me to serve on
2	this commission. I have a background in
3	healthcare leadership, having been a healthcare
4	systems CEO for about 30 years and in the field
5	for 45. In addition to that, I served in the US
6	Navy for 33 years. My last assignment was as
7	Assistant Deputy Surgeon General of the Reserve
8	Two Star.
9	What I want to extend first and
10	foremost is I have, I don't think enjoyed, never
11	enjoyed such a wonderful group of colleagues who
12	have focused so much on doing the right thing for
13	those that we are called to serve. This, the
14	professionalism of this team has been
15	exceptionally rewarding. The authentic
16	conversations that were engaged in. The deep
17	care for veterans.
18	I don't know that we disagreed a lot,
19	but when we did, it was because people had a
20	passion to do the right thing to serve our
21	veterans. I believe that the product that has
22	been produced by this group and by the

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1	exceptional team that we were assigned is one
2	that we will first and foremost all be very proud
3	of.
4	Probably we will extract a lot of our
5	pride from the implementation by the VA of that,
6	of the document and the many recommendations that
7	are in it.
8	So the most important thing I want to
9	do is extend my gratitude to our chairman, and to
10	you, my fellow colleagues, on, we started out as
11	colleagues. I would say we've moved from
12	colleagues from friendship, and I really will
13	value that in the years to come.
14	Thanks, Mr. Chairman.
15	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you very
16	much, Tom. At this time, Michael Potoczniak.
17	MR. POTOCZNIAK: So I'm Mike
18	Potoczniak, and I'm still serving as a major in
19	the Army Reserves as a psychologist. I'm also
20	working at the Department of Veterans Affairs as
21	a Mental Health Director at the Santa Rosa Clinic
22	in the San Francisco VA.

1	And it's been, you know, definitely an
2	honor to serve with everybody here, as well as
3	interact with all the people that have come and
4	come to our public meetings and issued, said what
5	their comments were.
6	And you know, the big thing about this
7	commission for me is just watching how all of us,
8	this commission started out I think with a lot of
9	different press and different things about what
10	it could be, what it was going to be.
11	And the one thing that's so important
12	to me just as an American is watching how people
13	from very different political segments of the
14	world being appointed by different people have
15	come together and worked for the betterment of
16	people who serve this country.
17	And I just feel, you know, really
18	proud that, you know, there was, we worked so
19	well together and that we were able to kind of
20	move past any differences that we had. And I
21	really appreciate, Jake, your leadership in
22	helping us get there.

It's truly what military service and
service to your country is supposed to be. So
you know, and I, just one last thing, you know,
as a veteran who gets his care in the VA and
also works at the VA, you know, I'm all in with
this. And being able to make recommendations or
even have a voice in this process is something
that's a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity, so I
appreciate working with everybody. Thank you.
CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you very
much, Commissioner Potoczniak. At this time,
Commissioner Shira Maguen.
MS. MAGUEN: Thank you so much. It
has been a pleasure to be part of this
commission. My background as a clinical
psychologist, I have worked at the VA since 2001
in both a clinical and research capacity. And
it's really, and my area of focus is PTSD. It
has been an absolute pleasure working with all of
you.
I think one of the things that I've
really appreciated is that I've learned something

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

from everyone on this commission and really 1 2 appreciated our discussions. And also really appreciated the diversity of the perspectives 3 4 that everyone brings to the table. 5 We are a very diverse group in terms 6 of our backgrounds and our viewpoints, and I 7 think that that's really added a tremendous 8 important to this commission and those views that 9 are represented. And I think that it's been incredible to see how we have melded those 10 11 opinions together, and that everyone's been very 12 respectful and thoughtful. And we've really 13 grown together as a commission in presenting 14 these recommendations. 15 So I want to express my appreciation 16 to everyone for their service, and also just for 17 the level of respect that's happened around this 18 table. 19 I also, one thing that has been 20 incredible for me as well is being able to go to 21 the VAs, and also seeing the open arms that have 22 extended to us and really being able to learn

1 from so many different people what has happened 2 and what is happening at their VAs. And willing, people willing to take times out of their 3 schedule to talk to us. 4 And this report I feel like really 5 would have been in a very different place if 6 7 people weren't being able to be open and honest 8 with us. So I really appreciate that as well. 9 So I'm looking forward to these last few days and the work that we still have to do. And just want 10 11 to thank everyone again. 12 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you very 13 much, Commissioner Maguen. At this time, 14 Commissioner Jack Rose. Thank you very much, Mr. 15 MR. ROSE: 16 Chairman. I, too, feel that this is really an honor to be a part of this Commission. 17 And I 18 think the things that we are doing and attempting 19 to do with the recommendations that we're going 20 to bring forth are hopefully very helpful to our 21 veterans. They are the primary reason that we 22 are here.

1	And I come to the Commission as a
2	retired Navy captain, 26 years. I also have been
3	a mental health advocate associated with the
4	National Alliance on Mental Illness for the last
5	19 years, both at the state and local level. And
6	my wife and I have two adult children who live
7	with mental illness.
8	And I think as we all came together,
9	I think it's been very interesting with our
10	diverse backgrounds that I think we all have the
11	common mission in mind.
12	And I think over the months, we have
13	all pulled on the line together. And it's not
14	always been a yes, sir, Jake, this is the way
15	we're going to do it. We've have good
16	discussions, and I think that has made our
17	product hopefully very effective.
18	We're coming to a point in our
19	commission where we need to see what kind of
20	traction we can get with our recommendations.
21	And so, again, it's been a real honor serving on
22	this commission, and I look forward to this last

I

1	chapter. Thank you very much.
2	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you,
3	Commissioner Rose. At this time, I'll turn it
4	over to Commissioner Tom Harvey.
5	MR. HARVEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6	Thank all of you fellow commissioners. I have
7	enjoyed the time we have spent together, and I
8	think, as others have said, we've learned from
9	each other and it has been a growing experience.
10	One of the things that I have always
11	observed in my time dealing with the VA was the
12	commitment of VA professional staff to the
13	veterans that they serve. No one is rewarded for
14	taking something away from veterans. And at
15	times, you read stories that are critical of the
16	VA. And I really do believe that those are
17	aberrations.
18	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Excuse me, is your
19	mic working?
20	MR. HARVEY: They are now. Let me
21	start over again, thanking the Chairman and
22	thanking everybody else on the Commission for the

time we've spent together and the journey we've made together.

1

2

One of the things that I've observed as we have done this is the commitment of the professional staff of the VA to the veterans that they are there to serve. And I'm always upset to read something negative about VA care.

8 And what I truly believe is those 9 situations are aberrations and can be fixed and 10 should be fixed. And that the overall commitment 11 of the VA to caring for veterans is very solid.

12 When I was first appointed, I looked at that list of 19 or so different therapies that 13 14 we were to consider, and I thought this is a little airy-fairy for me. I'm kind of a nuts-15 16 and-bolts, solid type of guy. We didn't have --17 we were looking evidence, and the general 18 evidence in the healthcare area involves 19 randomized, double-blind studies and such things. 20 Wayne Jonas raised a question with the 21 Acting Secretary early on in our assemblage when he said, What evidence are you going to look at 22

(202) 234-4433

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

1	when we deal with these things? Because you
2	can't do that type of research on it.
3	And what I have come to conclude is
4	that we have had enough anecdotal evidence that I
5	am going to say that all of those 19 different
6	therapies may have value in one or more
7	situations, and I think that we should continue
8	to look at them and try to figure that out.
9	And Wayne also gave us a copy of his
10	book, How We Heal, indicating episodic, anecdotal
11	stories about that. A particular concern of mine
12	has been the issue of veteran suicide, and the
13	numbers around 20 veterans today committing
14	suicide causes you to, causes all of us, and
15	properly so, to be disconcerted.
16	But, and I think that the body politic
17	looks at that and says oh my, isn't this
18	terrible. These poor veterans have been
19	subjected to such stress from multiple
20	deployments to Afghanistan or Iraq that they're
21	coming back and committing suicide. In fact,
22	suicide covers an incredible gamut.

I

1	And again, there have been articles
2	about this and concerns expressed by the
3	Department of Defense that people are committing
4	suicide in basic training. They haven't deployed
5	anywhere, they're just, you know, they've just
6	come on active duty. So you've got that.
7	And then you have veterans sort of in
8	midlife, where they may, the family may have
9	broken up, they may have lost their job, there
10	are various factors such as that that cause them
11	to become despondent and commit suicide.
12	And I'm a Vietnam veteran, so the
13	average Vietnam veteran's age is in the 70s. And
14	you've got people in that category who may have
15	lost their spouse, may have disassociated from
16	their children. They are alone, they may have
17	chronic illness, they may have chronic pain.
18	And each of those categories and
19	everything else on the continuum among them means
20	that each suicide is a little different from the
21	other ones.
22	And I'm concerned that we haven't

adequately addressed that, and I don't know how 1 2 to do it, other than, and, other than to continue research on it. So that's one of the things that 3 I think is, it's something that we are going to 4 5 have to be able to address as we discuss our work product with people outside this room. 6 So, once again, Mr. Chairman, thank 7 8 you very much for your leadership. Thank you for 9 the opportunity to serve, and thank you all for your friendship. 10 11 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you, 12 Commissioner Harvey. And now we'll turn to Commissioner Khan. 13 14 MR. KHAN: Jamil Khan means handsome conqueror. As a first-generation American, I 15 16 enlisted in the United States Marine Corps. But 17 before I was going to Parris Island, they found 18 out I had a master's degree, so they put me in 19 I'm the first Pakistani-born US OCS program. citizen who rose to the rank of lieutenant 20 21 colonel when I retired. 22 All my life has been in combat, most

And if not combat, then I was training 1 of it. 2 others who were going in combat. So I'm 100% GI, qovernment issue. I want to thank each one 3 member here from the bottom of my heart for their 4 sacrifices, what they have done for the nation, 5 for the country, for the Corps, for their 6 7 military service. 8 And that's going to affect the future 9 generation. You are all part of my family, and especially the support staff. You are all part 10 of us. Not only the ten Commissioners, but you 11 12 are included in it, each one of you. 13 When I was given this honor, I took it 14 as the nation was asking me, this was a call of And to my passion that I am, I know I have 15 duty. 16 transgressed in my life throughout my career. Ι 17 have done things for which I was given medals. 18 If I had failed, they would have court-martialed 19 me, and that's a fact of life. I'm a straight 20 shooter, I speak as I feel. And I represent 21 every veteran who's getting the VA healthcare. 22 Like Tom Harvey said, VA healthcare

has improved tremendously in the last decade. However, the present condition in the society has created an environment where we have gaps in our family gaps. We miss having an aunt, uncle or sister, brother closer to us.

We as a society have been fragmented. 6 7 Our children try to graduate from high school, 8 they want to go as far away from their parents as 9 That was not true during World War I possible. or World War II, or even Vietnam. 10 But those 11 things have changed. With the change, comes the 12 change of human behavior.

Each veteran is different from another veteran, but we all come together because our bloodline is red. And my way of thinking of the entire world is we are all connected because of that bloodline. It doesn't matter what race we are from, what color we are, what religion we worship.

20 My message here is to myself, I owe it 21 to those veterans to do the very best and speak 22 out where there's a gap in our healthcare, but be

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

19

1

2

3

4

pragmatic and give recommendations that can be achieved.

3	Overall, wherever this is a, help is
4	not available within the Department of Veterans
5	Affairs, I'm a very strong proponent that we
6	should use non-VA care wherever possible, within
7	means. But the budget-wise, we should not be
8	taking that budget away from the Department of
9	Veterans Affairs.
10	Thank you very much. Again, I thank
11	you all from the bottom of my heart. Mr.
12	Chairman, I want to acknowledge it, you and I
13	disagreed on quite a few things. From the bottom
14	of my heart, I stand for those. Each word I
15	said, I meant it. And I must say that I do
16	apologize to all of you, because I know here and
17	there, I've spoken. And I'll still speak out for
18	the rest of my life.
19	God bless everyone, God bless you.
20	Thank you.
21	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you,
22	Commissioner Khan. At this time, Commissioner

1

2

Matthew Kuntz.

1

2	MR. KUNTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3	I'm really honored to be part of this committee
4	and to have grown together as friends with the
5	people around this table and the staff in the
6	past 13 months, I think I was last the one to
7	sneak in under the wire.
8	And I dedicated my service here to my
9	friends, Commander John Scott Hannon and Chaplain
10	Mike Franklin, who were, you know, two of the top
11	five friends of my life. And they were veterans
12	that we couldn't save. And also I know my, we
13	lost my step-brother after his tour in Iraq, and
14	he is never far from my thoughts when working on
15	this.
16	I'm really, really thankful to
17	everyone for their hard work. And I think from a
18	big picture, it's such an honor to be part of
19	something that our country has struggled with
20	since its inception. From the moment that George
21	Washington got the British to get the heck out of
22	here, we have been struggling with how do we care

1

for our veterans.

2	From the very moment that we became a
3	country, we've been struggling. And I think that
4	this commission fits right into that grand scheme
5	of how we try to improve what we do for the folks
6	that bear the burdens of battle, and I'm honored
7	to be part of it.
8	It's been really an amazing journey
9	for me to go to places like inner city Chicago
10	and talk to their veterans, all the way to the
11	Fort Belknap Indian reservations and the barren
12	parts of north central Montana. And to hear the
13	stories and to hear what we've done right and
14	what we've wrong. And from the VA staff has
15	opened up their arms.
16	And while we may have wandered through
17	a dog and pony show or two, for the most part we
18	were told this is what we're struggling with,
19	this is what we're doing right. And help us come
20	up with solutions. I'm really thankful for that.
21	I really want to thank the Chairman
22	and his staff. And I think for just setting a

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

good tone for our ability to agree and disagree
 but always we move forward.

Every time that, finally, I guess from 3 my own perspective looking at all these different 4 5 methodologies, I did pick up a meditation practice last December. Because after watching 6 this and learning this, if you're not grabbing 7 part of it, then you're not paying attention. 8 So 9 I do value that as well, and I look forward to 10 moving forward with everyone. 11 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you very 12 much, Commissioner Kuntz. At this time, 13 Commissioner Dr. Wayne Jonas. 14 Thank you very much, MR. JONAS: So it's a great pleasure and an honor 15 Chairman. 16 also to be on this commission and serve this. Ι 17 have to say, I probably never worked so hard. 18 Thank you, Chairman, for driving us relentlessly 19 to come back to these meetings prepared and 20 having done our homework. 21 And thanks, also, to our Co-Chair, Commissioner Beeman, for giving us the emotional 22

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

support as we went through that process in 1 2 putting things in perspective in those areas. So you asked what have I learned over 3 4 the last year, however long it's been. I think three key things I've learned, some of which I 5 knew, but some of which I didn't know. So number 6 7 one is that the people in the VA providing 8 healthcare are incredibly dedicated, 9 compassionate, and competent individuals, there's no question about it. 10 11 I already knew that, but when we were 12 around from VA to VA and actually sat down with 13 them and saw what they were doing and heard from 14 them, interact with them, it's one thing to see 15 it on paper, it's another thing to see it going 16 on all over the country. And I thought that was 17 just heartening, to me, to see that dedication. 18 The second thing I learned is that 19 they're operating in a system that has been put 20 together over centuries, centuries, a couple 21 hundred years or close to that, which like the 22 rest of the healthcare system has sort of had

things just added on as they come along. 1 As new 2 discovering happen and new technologies occur, etc., they're just sort of put in there. 3 4 And what we have now is a system that 5 actually is not operating very well. This isn't just the VA, this is healthcare in general. 6 We 7 know that costs are going through the roof, 8 outcomes are going down. The value of healthcare 9 is getting worse. And the VA is caught in that. And so more healthcare and simply 10 access is not going to solve the issue. 11 We have 12 to actually redesign this area. And I was so -and that redesign needs to incorporate mental 13 14 health, we neglect it in this country. And it needs to be done in the context of taking care of 15 There's no other way to do 16 the whole person. 17 that. 18 And I think what's even more 19 heartening is that the leadership actually wants 20 to do this. They're already working on that. 21 They've been involved in redesigning things for a long time. We saw lots of evidence for that, and 22

they actually would like to lead the nation in 1 2 that, and that's incredible. I think there's probably no other system in the country that can 3 4 actually do that. 5 And then finally the third thing that 6 I learned is that the veterans themselves, just as they were dedicated when defending the country 7 8 when they were in service and active duty, are 9 equally dedicated to helping their own recovery if they're given the opportunity. They will 10 11 respond to that as their new mission. 12 And that opportunity has to have both 13 support, to allow them to do it, and 14 accountability, so that they're responsible for 15 their own behavior change in those areas. And 16 that kind of a redesign is what's needed in those 17 areas. 18 So I want to thank the VA staff. We 19 had a lot of VA staff working hand and foot with 20 We would come out with these sweeping us. 21 requirements, like oh, we've got to look at all of this in the entire VA, and they would be like, 22

1	say okay, and go out and see if they could.
2	And then, you know, SIGMA then often
3	would get a lot of that work and responded, I
4	think, tremendously to that. So I want to
5	really, really thank them for that. They were
6	really there, responsive at the table any time we
7	needed those things.
8	And also thank Wendy and her staff at
9	the end for pulling together at the end for
10	pulling together incredibly what looked to be a
11	disorganized hodge-podge of things but now has
12	gotten extremely well organized, and I think will
13	make a compelling and does make a compelling case
14	for the recommendations we've made.
15	So thank, you everybody, I'm going to
16	miss you all.
17	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you very
18	much, Dr. Jonas. And we'll go to Commissioner
19	Matthew Amidon.
20	MR. AMIDON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
21	And thank you for your leadership during the
22	course of our COVER Commission. It has been

indeed my honor to be part of this. I think what 1 2 it reveals is a diversity of background is met with a common objective of doing what's right for 3 our veterans and their families, and I couldn't 4 be more honored to join in that effort. 5 Still serving as a Marine Corps 6 7 Reservist for 28 years now, so this is near and 8 dear to my heart to ensure that those who've worn 9 cloth of our nation are afforded the services that they deserve and need. 10 11 But I think, again, it's been a 12 wonderful journey for me specifically, and a real shout-out to our VA staff, our subject matter 13 14 experts, and our SIGMA support staff. We couldn't be here, wouldn't be here without you, 15 16 Wendy, to you and your mastery of writing. Thank 17 you so much. 18 I think what I'm most looking forward 19 to is a report that balances the pragmatic and 20 the aspirational that can be implemented in 21 effective ways to positive outcomes for our veterans and their families. 22

1	And in doing so as one of the
2	subcommittee structure leads, would like to
3	acknowledge the hard work of my fellow
4	commissioners, Kuntz and Rose, and your
5	leadership of work groups 4 and 5. Just, again,
6	honored and very inspired by your passion and
7	care as we move this forward.
8	So to you, Mr. Chair, thank you again,
9	and certainly has been my honor. Thank you.
10	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you. Thank
11	you, Commissioner Amidon, and all Commissioners.
12	I have the opportunity, now that we have about 28
13	minutes before public comment, to share 28
14	minutes of Jake-isms with all of you.
15	(Laughter.)
16	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I'm going to take
17	a little more time today because there's a reason
18	for this, there's some people that don't really
19	know me. I'm pretty quiet. Believe it or not,
20	I'm an introvert. Most people say no you're not,
21	you're a true extravert.
22	But what I have discovered in my time

since I was born in 1952 in a little town called 1 2 Chippewa Falls, Wisconsin, was that my family came from Germany with a passion that they took 3 from that, the old country, as we call it, to the 4 new country, and that was brewing here. 5 And I grew up in a beer family, but 6 7 I've done much more than just brew, drink, enjoy, 8 and market beer. From five states to national, 9 to international, as we are now in 31 military installations around the world. 10 I'm very proud of that. Also growing the company 2000% over a 11 12 25-year period of leadership with a fantastic 13 team that has grown into a national brewer today. 14 I left that in 2014 after growing up in this small community in Wisconsin, in a 15 16 neighborhood where everybody's father or mother 17 served. Everybody except one, who at that time 18 was a lone child and stayed and attended the 19 family farm. So growing up in a community that 20 was totally different because everybody served in 21 World War II, father or mother. 22

And it was a collection of veterans,

veterans that at the time didn't seem to have 1 2 issues, because they got together at the local American Legion or VFW, and they got together to 3 discuss their trials and tribulations. 4 5 But mainly what they were concentrated on was building the new America, i.e., the 6 7 greatest generation, that never seemed to 8 complain or talk about their times of service in 9 probably one of the largest and most important wars on two fronts that this country has seen to 10 11 date. 12 So it was a memorable experience 13 watching my Marine Corps father, who survived Saipan and Tinian, and talked about a wonderful 14 15 general by the name of A.O. Smith and what a 16 fantastic person and leader he was, and a 17 gentleman and scholar. So I started to study a 18 little more of A.O. Smith once I became a Marine. 19 And it's interesting that, I read a 20 book that I recommended to some Commissioners 21 about the Chosin Reservoir and leadership that 22 A.O. Smith, who never really got the critical

1	acclaim that he should as being one of the finest
2	generals that this country has produced.
3	So I would highly recommend that to
4	all Commissioners and veterans as well. Hampton
5	Sides is the author of this book, by the way.
6	That being said, and becoming a Marine
7	after a father that was a Marine, I learned so
8	much from my Marine Corps experience about
9	diversity. Diversity of my Marines from every
10	ethnicity that you could think of, every race,
11	religion. And it's the first time that I was
12	able to serve with people that had very different
13	backgrounds than myself.
14	And I listened, I observed, and I
15	learned more than I did during my college days in
16	my Marine Corps days about bringing people
17	together and doing what the Marines had been
18	noted by the Chinese during the Boxer Rebellion
19	of gung-ho, coming together and working together
20	and what can be done. And I'll talk and reflect
21	on that in just a minute.
22	My other experiences besides that were

seeing two of my sons become Marines. And both
 served honorably, and both had some sort of
 issues that were rectified, one by the VA, and
 the other by finding a person, his wife, that
 made an extreme connection and turned him around.
 So I was very thankful, as well as my wife, for
 that.

8 I also had the opportunity to have 9 numerous healthcare experiences and backgrounds that most people don't know about. But I was on 10 11 the Board of Directors for HSHS Hospital Systems 12 out of Illinois that also had large hospitals throughout Wisconsin. And also was later asked 13 to become a national advisor for Marshfield 14 Clinic as they worked getting their expansion in 15 16 Wisconsin, and became a Board of Director prior 17 to coming to the VA in 2017.

18 So it was there that I learned more 19 about healthcare systems and delivery, but also 20 being around doctors and how they care for 21 patients. And also my first interaction with 22 customer service in that field outside of here.

And how customer service was becoming vital
 because of the competitive nature of various
 healthcare systems at that time.

4 So then I come to the VA only because 5 of what happened when I retired in 2014. I was 6 relaxing, and I think several people know this 7 story of what happened in Phoenix. And I just 8 said that could not actually have happened, it 9 had to be, you know, something that was just not 10 right and it's a one-off.

11 Well, it did happen, and there were 12 access issues, there were waitlists. And it was 13 a year later that it really shocked me because 14 just 80 miles south of my hometown in Tomah, Wisconsin, we had something similar happen with a 15 16 opiate cocktail delivered to a patient by the 17 name of Sergeant Jason Simcakoski, who died in 18 that facility. And that was the time I said 19 something has to change and be done. 20

20 So I became activated, I got to know 21 first of all the Simcakoski family. I still talk 22 to the Simcakoski family, and they've been

wonderful about, as far as engaging themselves within the VA system and trying to help. And they've made great strides in Tomah, as well as the change of leadership.

5 And it's the first time that I saw a 6 change of leadership within the VA make a dramatic difference. As I said to many 7 8 Commissioners, I said that VA should be torn 9 Because right after that happened, within down. six months they had another incident happen where 10 11 they did not sterilize dental equipment, and 12 there was over 300 veterans that were impacted by 13 that particular incident.

14 And the change of leadership over the last three years, I've seen that be a change from 15 16 the tear-down stage to this is a very well-run 17 and necessary VA system that is doing great 18 things, even within the mental health today, 19 compared with three years ago. So with great 20 leadership and great direction, found out that 21 the system can change, and change for the better. That on top of the fact that all of us 22

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

getting together and doing something that I 1 2 thought was going to be extremely difficult. And as a lot of Commissioners will remember, I 3 stated, if we get to 80% -- do you remember that? 4 -- we should feel pretty good. 5 And I think we all agreed that that 6 7 would be a pretty good goal to get to 80% of 8 trying to answer and get to the right 9 recommendations out of the five work groups that 10 we had set up. 11 I believe we've done more than that. 12 I think we're at 95-100%, I really do. And I 13 felt that way last month. And it only came 14 together because this group of Commissions, you 15 other nine that spoke before me, made the 16 difference. 17 You talk about my leadership, no, it 18 was your leadership, it was your hard work, it 19 was your daily work, your weekly calls, your coalescing as a true Commission team that took 20 21 action to get to the right place. 22 And when we went through the initial

recommendations last month, to see the 1 2 interaction, the debates, the discussion. But at the closure, when we all discussed where have we 3 4 gotten to, I think to every Commissioner, as you 5 stated earlier this morning, you should be very proud of the work. 6 7 I'm very proud of all of you, because 8 I know how much work and -- everybody except me 9 has like, has a day job. Oh, maybe my Co-Chair not as much either. But you've done an 10 incredible amount of work and that's the term 11 12 gung-ho that I wanted to refer back to. 13 You came together. You liked coming 14 together. I think that the product that the 15 general public is going to see, that our 16 constituents, the Secretary, and the VA, SVAC, 17 HVAC, and also the White House. 18 What we will deliver within the next 19 45 days will be meaningful, impactful, and help 20 veterans' healthcare, to Wayne's point, along with their total mental healthcare. With a 21 hopefully new care delivery system that will make 22

a difference in saving veterans' lives and also 1 2 make a difference in keeping some of the best of the best, like two of our Commissioners here 3 4 within the VA system, to help those veterans. So to all of you and to the general 5 public, it's been a privilege and an honor, and 6 7 I'm quite humbled to be part of a commission that 8 I believe will make a difference for the future 9 of our country and our veterans. Thank you very 10 much. 11 So with that, and we are about 20 12 minutes away from general public comments, I 13 would like Wendy LaRue to at least use this time 14 in the next ten minutes, and if we do have time without anybody coming in, we'll take a quick bio 15 16 break. But Wendy, why don't you lay out the 17 direction of where you want to see us go with 18 what we have in front of us today. 19 MS. LARUE: So you have a report in 20 front of you that is updated from what you 21 received previously. And you've already seen all of the recommendation sections, so I just want to 22

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

1

quickly point out what is new here.

2	There's an introductory letter at the
3	beginning, and I just want to make sure everyone
4	understands that that will be published inside
5	the report. Jake will have a second letter that
6	will go on top it that's still in development.
7	Also, all of the appendices are added here.
8	And probably the most interesting of
9	those is just a little piece on our process for
10	how we did our work, just as that little
11	historical marker for anybody that reads the
12	report.
13	I think that our best plan for today
14	is to do a process similar to what we have done
15	the last two meetings and just start at the
16	beginning. Our work here today, as I see it, and
17	Chairman Leinenkugel, you can add to that if you
18	like or redirect, is to go through each
19	recommendation and confirm that it reflects what
20	the Commission wants to put forward.
21	We're not necessarily looking at
22	commas and periods and nuances of word choice,

www.nealrgross.com

unless they affect the overall meaning. There's still some editing and proofreading and so forth that needs to go into this draft. But rather we're looking at the big ideas. Does this communicate the thing that we want our stakeholders to know.

And so I think ideally we would start 7 8 with the Commissioner who feels most tied to that 9 I realized early on it was easy because content. everything was divided by work groups. 10 Now that 11 we have consolidated our 30-some recommendations 12 into ten, that's no longer quite the case. So it 13 may be that multiple Commissioners will be 14 talking about some of these recommendations.

15 But I think just a quick overview of 16 the content for those who are here today who 17 might not be familiar with the content, and then 18 a discussion about does this indeed reflect what 19 we want to reflect. You know, if suddenly 20 somebody sees that we need additional 21 implementation or something like that, this is 22 the time to speak up.

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

Jennifer is sitting with the computer, 1 2 the report on the computer. I realize you may not be able to see the pages. But if there are 3 small changes, she is prepared to make them on 4 5 the fly as we go. So that even as we leave here today, we should have a taken a nice step forward 6 7 in preparing to be all done. 8 By the time we get to the end of the 9 day, my goal is that we will have confirmed that these ten recommendations are the ones that you 10 want to make and have the important content that 11 12 you envisioned them to have. And then we will 13 work on how we're going to do signatures, which 14 we can talk about at the end of the day. 15 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Any questions by 16 any Commissioners on the -- well, and I would 17 agree, Wendy, that that is directionally exactly 18 where we need to go, at least until we get 19 through the entire report. I think it's also 20 appropriate for me to acknowledge how this was, 21 the work groups were broken up so the general 22 public in session today has a feel for how we

became gung-ho for together.

2	It was really the two individuals on
3	my left and my right that I learned on quite
4	heavily, Colonel Matt Amidon and Admiral Tom
5	Beeman, to basically be in charge of, Admiral
6	Beeman work groups 1, 2, and 3, and Matt Amidon
7	groups 4, 5.
8	And also you're going to hear mainly
9	from the Commissioners that did the real heavy
10	lifting with all five of those work groups.
11	Mainly, again, Dr. Wayne Jones, Dr. Shira Maguen,
12	Dr. Michael Potoczniak, Matt Kuntz, and also Jack
13	Rose.
14	And certainly there was plenty of
14 15	And certainly there was plenty of input by Tom Harvey and Jamil Khan as they worked
15	input by Tom Harvey and Jamil Khan as they worked
15 16	input by Tom Harvey and Jamil Khan as they worked on various work groups and intersected on
15 16 17	input by Tom Harvey and Jamil Khan as they worked on various work groups and intersected on numerous calls on a weekly basis. And also had a
15 16 17 18	input by Tom Harvey and Jamil Khan as they worked on various work groups and intersected on numerous calls on a weekly basis. And also had a lot of input into each one of the work group
15 16 17 18 19	input by Tom Harvey and Jamil Khan as they worked on various work groups and intersected on numerous calls on a weekly basis. And also had a lot of input into each one of the work group outputs.
15 16 17 18 19 20	input by Tom Harvey and Jamil Khan as they worked on various work groups and intersected on numerous calls on a weekly basis. And also had a lot of input into each one of the work group outputs. So with that, I think it'd be

1	back here at 9:55 and prepare for general public
2	comments. Okay.
3	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
4	went off the record at 9:46 a.m. and resumed at
5	10:00 a.m.)
6	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I'd like to open
7	the general session now. This is the portion
8	over the next hour where we give the opportunity
9	for the general public to come forward with any
10	commentary, any discussions, any question to the
11	Commission. And I would like to ask if there is
12	anybody from the general public available in the
13	audience that wants to do that at this point.
14	If not, I will turn it over and just
15	say, from an administrative standpoint over the
16	next hour, that if anybody does come in that
17	wants to be recognized and talk before the
18	Commission, we will break and have that
19	individual or group do that over the course of
20	between 10:00 and 11:00.
21	With that, in order to get on with the
22	mission of going through all the things that

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Wendy earlier discussed, I would like to defer at first to Admiral Tom Beeman, who wants to add a commentary.

CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Thanks, Mr. 4 5 Chairman. I heard something yesterday and I just wanted to share it with the other Commissioners 6 7 and the team, and it's come from the senior 8 leadership at the VA. And it's really a reminder 9 of us is that there's a tremendous amount of alienation in society overall, right. 10

11 Families have broken down, people have 12 moved away from their faith-based support 13 systems. Families really no longer live 14 together, in many cases they don't have that support. A lot of our veterans, then, are coming 15 16 out when they leave a very affiliative service, 17 they're coming back to a community and world 18 that's kind of broken down. 19 And that as we were looking at

suicides, for example, although it's a very big
challenge for us in the military with our
veterans, it's a very, very big challenge in

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

1 general society as well.

2	And so to put all of this in
3	perspective, the house isn't burning down, but we
4	have a country that's dramatically changed from
5	the World War I and II veterans, and even the
6	Vietnam veterans that we were talking about
7	earlier.
8	And I just thought it was a good
9	reminder for me that this alienation is affecting
10	the nation. And being cognizant that our
11	veterans bring a set of values that may be
12	different into a world that's so alienated I
13	think can really be, impact them. And it's
14	wonderful that we have the VA, which is sort of
15	like that home they can go back to, because
16	they're not going to get that.
17	And you know, I can speak for a
18	civilian health system. We deliver really high
19	quality care, but we don't deliver it with that
20	same sense of community that you might get at a
21	VA hospital. And so I just thought that
22	coming from top leadership in the VA, it reminded

1	me of the quality of leadership that they have
2	and the sensitivity they have for the people they
3	serve.
4	So I heard that from a servant leader,
5	and I just wanted to remind us of it.
6	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you for
7	that, Commissioner Beeman. And I would also add
8	that I totally agree with the commentary, not
9	only from yourself but also what took place in
10	senior leadership yesterday, and would agree in
11	heart with what you just stated.
12	With that, Wendy, let's begin.
13	MS. LARUE: Okay, so the report
14	actually starts with an introduction. Oh, okay,
15	now it is. The report actually starts with an
16	introduction, but that is not where we are going
17	to start today. But I would like to plant a
18	little seed about that section.
19	My colleague Jennifer pointed out that
20	maybe we would like to call it something besides
21	an introduction. And I don't have, I don't have

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

that introduction is kind of boring and our 1 2 content is not. And so I would just invite everyone to 3 4 think about what might we call that instead that 5 would help our readers be more excited as they 6 enter into our content. So just tuck that away, 7 and in your --8 Wendy, oftentimes MR. HARVEY: 9 something starts out with an executive summary. Would that? 10 11 MS. LARUE: Oh, thank you for bringing 12 So I will tell you all that we are that up. 13 working on preparing an executive summary. 14 Technically, though I know some in government don't do this practice, an executive summary is 15 16 not part of the actual document. It's a separate 17 document that you hand out to people that 18 summarizes your big document for executives, That's where that came from. 19 right. 20 And so I am preparing a separate 21 document that will summarize all of the content, 22 list the ten recommendations, give the overview

of the legislation and so forth. And I will send that you all sometime next week.

It won't include any new content, but 3 4 it doesn't seem prudent to summarize the document 5 until after we've settled on what the content is. And that's what we're doing today. So that's why 6 you don't have that now. 7 I just, I didn't want 8 to spend time writing something that might 9 change. 10 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yeah, let me add,

Wendy, if I may, Tom brings up an important point that we discussed earlier this morning. He was talking about the availability for Commissioners to have what we refer to as the elevator speech.

And the elevator speech, Commissioner 15 16 Harvey, is really derived from an executive 17 summary, which will basically point out the key 18 hot topic areas that this commission has 19 suggested and recommended to our four constituent 20 parties, as I stated earlier today. But that's 21 what the purpose of the executive summary would 22 be.

1

1	So you sort of memorize the executive
2	summary reports, and that becomes your talking
3	points, besides the hundred pages or two hundred
4	pages of additive documentation, or thousands of
5	pages of additive documents.
6	MS. LARUE: And honestly, the beauty
7	of doing an executive summary the right way is
8	that it becomes your leave-behind piece. You,
9	it's going to be a designed piece that'll be
10	11x17 foldover if you choose to print it that
11	way.
12	And it'll be a nice document if you go
13	to visit constituents. To sit and talk through
14	your points, it'll be really nice bullet point
15	item for you, but also something to leave behind,
16	because you're not going to want to carry around
17	all of this.
18	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Wendy, I want to
19	recommend that we consider calling that section
20	Prologue and Opportunities. I think
21	opportunities sort of suggests that, you know,
22	they're going it, it's a positive thing. I think

introduction does get a little tedious. Prologue 1 2 implies something, you know, and implies something interesting, this is setting the stage. 3 4 MR. ROSE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 I think just another comment as we look through the recommendations and we talk about an elevator 6 speech or how we're going to present this. 7 Ι 8 think another aspect of the presentation would be 9 to, the relative timeline, how some of these recommendations can come forward. 10 11 There are some that are more doable in 12 a shorter timeline, and they can build on some of our recommendations that are longer timeline. 13 14 But I think that that is important, because some of these things we can start in a relatively 15 16 short time. Thank you, sir. 17 MR. KUNTZ: So one more thought on the 18 Prologue or the Opportunities. I do believe that 19 it makes, it sometimes makes sense to have a 20 quote and a pulling it together. And the one 21 that I would like put up for consideration is by William Gibson. 22

1	And it's, The future is already here,
2	it's just not evenly distributed. And I think
3	that out of what we've seen in the last 15
4	months, it's a standard quote for technology
5	companies around the world anyway from a sci-fi
6	writer, but it totally captures what we've seen.
7	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I think that's
8	very appropriate, Matt, and something for all of
9	us to consider. It makes sense to me and I like
10	it.
11	MR. KUNTZ: Thank you.
12	MS. LARUE: So if at some point during
13	the day you get inspired to add to that
14	conversation and it's a natural time to do it, we
15	can just keep adding to the list. I'm already
16	making lots of notes. And we'll figure out a way
17	forward, but we've got some great ideas to get us
18	started.
19	So with that, we're skipping the, what
20	may now be the Prologue and Opportunities, and
21	moving right into the recommendations themselves.
22	And recommendation one leads us to Commissioner

1	
1	Jonas. So if you'd like to just
2	MR. KUNTZ: Wendy, is there an
3	appropriate time to talk about the order of the
4	recommendations? Would that be at the beginning
5	or when would you like to have that conversation?
6	MS. LARUE: I'm thinking about that.
7	We can certainly have a conversation about order.
8	I can explain why they're ordered the way they
9	are right now if that's helpful.
10	MR. KUNTZ: And then I can explain why
11	I think it might make sense them ordered a
12	different way from a narrative standpoint.
13	MS. LARUE: Okay, so the
14	recommendations as they stand right now, my
15	thinking was kind of order of magnitude. If we
16	say we want to transform the whole system, that
17	seems to need to come first because everything
18	else becomes somewhat predicated on that.
19	I mean, obviously every one of the
20	other recommendations could be implemented
21	without doing that, but they all work much better
22	under a system that is Whole Health-oriented. So

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

that seems to be a logical place to start and it 1 2 flows very nicely from that prologue. The research recommendation ties very 3 4 directly to what is in that first recommendation 5 as you see it here. And actually refers back to So those two need to be side by side. 6 it. And 7 so that is why I chose to put those two first. 8 The third recommendation then is the 9 one that has the voice of the veterans. And I 10 honestly, having been out and talked to veterans over the bulk of the summer, it seemed important 11 12 to get those voices up front. Because there were 13 a number of veterans who had the perception that 14 we didn't really want to hear their voice. And so I think that needs to be front and center as 15 16 much as it makes sense. 17 And then all of the subsequent 18 recommendations just ordered by kind of priority 19 and what I heard in our content, our discussions 20 as being top priorities. 21 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Wendy, if I may, 22 seeing that we're in the --

1	MS. LARUE: I see that.
2	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: We're in the
3	public session, and we're honored and privileged
4	to have one of the authors of our charge, our
5	mission, as a commission here today. Congressman
6	Gus Bilirakis, if you would, the dais is yours,
7	sir, please.
8	REP. BILIRAKIS: Thank you so very
9	much. I appreciate all you're doing on behalf of
10	our heroes. And this was always a priority for
11	me. It looks like it's coming to fruition, and
12	I'm very, very pleased.
13	So this is why we run for Congress, to
14	do good things like this and save lives. And you
15	are going to do this. So I'm really looking
16	forward to seeing the report and implementing a
17	lot of these therapies, whether complementary or
18	alternative therapies, into the VA.
19	So I do have some prepared remarks.
20	But I'm not going to take very long. Because
21	you're doing a lot of good work, and I can't wait
22	to see the report. I know it's going to come

out, I was told, maybe the end of January, is
 that correct? Excellent.

3 So as the primary author and sponsor 4 of the COVER Act which became Section 931 5 Provision of the Comprehensive Addiction and 6 Recovery Act, this Commission was born out of the 7 belief that one size does not fit all, especially 8 when it come to an issue as sensitive as meeting 9 the mental health needs of our nation's herces.

10 That's why the work that you are doing 11 here is to very important. I'm confident the 12 work you are embarking upon will save lives and 13 hope that we can continue to transform the way 14 the VA system as a whole approaches behavioral 15 care.

16 I know that since the last time I was able to attend a meeting down in the James A. 17 18 Haley, well he was, it might have been the first 19 meeting. And I represent the Tampa Bay area, by 20 the way. I know you've been working hard 21 producing this report, and I'm very excited to 22 see the recommendations produced as a result.

1	Whether it's things like art therapy,
2	accelerated resolution therapy, hyperbaric oxygen
3	therapy, yoga, outdoor sports, or acupuncture, et
4	cetera, I mean, I've been around veterans most of
5	my life, and I know that these therapies work.
6	But again, we want to make sure
7	they're evidence-based, and that's why you're
8	here. I've heard many stories, as I said, from
9	my constituents, and veterans all over the
10	country, about how an alternative or
11	complementary treatment brought their lives back
12	from the brink of ruin.
13	Of course, we want to protect the
14	veteran by making sure the treatment is evidence-
15	based and effective. That's why you're here
16	doing this independent study and analysis. As
17	you all know, too often our veterans return from
18	war with debilitating PTS or TBI and are placed
19	on and get addicted to opioids. While I know the
20	medications could be part of the solution for
21	some veterans, it shouldn't be the only option
22	considered, in my opinion.

[
1	Complementary and alternative
2	therapies are so critical in this equation. But
3	I also know that there are veterans that have a
4	great deal of pain, and they do need pain
5	medication, and they definitely need to get it.
6	But again, there's nothing wrong with
7	complementary therapies as well.
8	So that's why I coupled this
9	legislation, again, with the Promise Act which
10	turned into Section 911 of CARA which required VA
11	providers to receive training and utilize best
12	practices, again, prescribing practices when
13	treating with opioids.
14	These two issues go hand in hand in my
15	eyes. Substance abuse and mental health issues
16	are often co-occurring in the general population
17	as well. And the treatments the Commission
18	approves for the VA to use will have the impact
19	of treating these issues in a holistic manner
20	which is very critical.
21	I believe it is a key component of
22	reducing the suicide rate within the veteran

community and helping service members

1

2 successfully reintegrate into civilian life, two
3 of our primary objectives.

Now, I will tell you again we want to
make sure our veteran, if he or she needs it, has
access to the pain medication as well if that's
what the doctors recommend and, you know, based
on the retraining that they'll receive as well.

9 This is why I'm also excited to see the final report which I know will be out very 10 soon, and review the findings for potential 11 12 further implementation into the VA system. The 13 VA's Whole Health program is an excellent 14 mechanism for this implementation. And I know it's already in the process of being set up at 15 16 the VA Medical Centers across the country, 17 including my area, Haley, and Bay Pines, so the 18 Bill Young Hospital and located in St. Petersburg 19 or around there.

20 Once the evidence is determined to be 21 effective, these treatments actually being 22 utilized for veterans is the most effective part

of the work you are doing. Again, I'd like to 1 2 thank you for your service and your continued service working on this very important issue. 3 Ι 4 look forward to reviewing your findings and to 5 seeing the implementation of your recommendations. 6 7 Additionally, I'd like to remind you 8 that I'm here to be a resource to you. If you 9 encounter any barriers, do not hesitate to reach out if you need anything. We've got to get this 10 done, folks, for our heroes. 11 12 And I have a bad cold, as you can 13 tell, but this is very important to me and my constituents. And I wanted to be here to thank 14 And I look forward to seeing the report. 15 you. 16 Thank you very much. God bless you. God bless 17 you for what you do. Thank you. 18 (Applause.) 19 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Congressman 20 Bilirakis, thank you so much for your leadership 21 and also for being there. Yes, you are correct when we first came down to one of our first site 22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

visits at the VAMC in Tampa. And you'll be happy 1 2 to note that the James Haley VAMC is so noted for its exceptional service and quality of care and 3 4 delivery of care to our veterans in our report. 5 REP. BILIRAKIS: Excellent. Oh, that's wonderful. 6 7 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you so much, sir, for being there for us. 8 9 REP. BILIRAKIS: Dr. Ruiz, who is the 10 co-sponsor, the Democrat lead, I believe, plans to attend as well and give some remarks. 11 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Terrific. 12 Thank 13 you so much. 14 I appreciate it. REP. BILIRAKIS: 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Any other general 17 comments at this time from the general public? 18 If not, we'll go right back to where I so 19 conveniently interrupted you, Wendy, at that time 20 when you were making some statements. 21 MS. LARUE: Okay, so this is what I 22 would like to do, if it's okay with you, Matt, is

having explained that line of thinking, I think probably the best plan is to stew on that, put that over there in that parking lot with our name for that first section. And let's get through agreeing what the content is, and then we can come back at the end to that conversation about how to organize it.

8 Because there may be things that come 9 up today that none of us have thought about 10 before. And that seems like a way forward that 11 will keep that on the table but let us focus on 12 getting the important part that we need to vote 13 on first.

MR. POTOCZNIAK: Just to add to what Matt also said, and also keeping in mind it's in the parking lot, you know, something that you said earlier about the voices of the veterans, I found the quotes to be, in the section with the focus group quotes, to be pretty impactful.

20 And, you know, we're used to getting 21 reports with a lot of analysis, and a lot of --22 but I felt the quotes really captured a lot of

why we're doing what we're doing. And so part of what Matt was saying was kind of how, if we're reorganizing it, we might think of somehow frontloading that section. Because it does speak so eloquently to what we're doing in the parking lot.

MS. LARUE: As you know, that's one of
my favorite sections.

9 MR. KUNTZ: And I guess, so for me, my 10 only thing that's sitting in the parking lot is 11 swapping one with three with the idea that, if we 12 lead with what the veterans want, and then we say 13 what the research says, and then we go into what 14 our big recommendations are, we have a ton of 15 credibility as we move in to transform the 16 system.

MS. LARUE: Okay. So I think if we work through these today, and I think that that's the recommendation, then by the time we get to the end it should be an easy conversation. People will know yes or no based on what they hear today. Does that make sense?

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

1	All right. So then not worrying about
2	order, we're just going to go with the order of
3	the pages as they are at this moment. I will
4	turn things over to Commissioner Jonas to talk
5	about just an overview of what this
6	recommendation is about and how we came to it.
7	And then after that we can have a
8	formal discussion and call a vote for this
9	particular recommendation. And we'll just move
10	forward in that manner with each recommendation.
11	So over to you, Wayne.
12	MR. JONAS: Thank you very much. And
13	before I do that, I would like to make a
14	suggestion on what was just discussed around
15	order that might help. And, you know, we can
16	again get to that later.
17	You know, my personal preference is
18	the big picture down like you've described, but I
19	really understand the power of the stories that
20	came out of the qualitative research. And that
21	was also one of my favorite sections. I hadn't
22	seen a lot of that before, you know, the actual

detailed stuff in that. And it was really, 1 2 really nice to read. I really did. So one thought, just another way to do 3 4 that, is that you talked about putting quotes in, 5 for example. Very often, when there are sort of highlighted, higher type font things that you 6 7 want people to sort of get the message, because 8 they skim over it anyway, it may be that we want 9 to take some of these more pithy, important quotes that support this sort of thing from the 10 11 qualitative component and actually take some of 12 those, separate from, instead of condensing them all into Number 3, is actually put them 13 14 throughout the entire report, including right up 15 front. 16 Because then, you know, you see the 17 voice of the veteran spread throughout the entire

18 thing. And so that's another option, I would 19 think, that I'd just like to throw out on the 20 table as a possible way to actually, you know, 21 bring that there.

22

It doesn't mean dismantle Number 3, I

mean, it's still there, but it means pull out a
few of those things and show, highlight, here's
what the veterans are talking about throughout
the entire thing that illustrate what we're
doing.
MS. LARUE: We have ample content,
just
MR. JONAS: Yeah.
MS. LARUE: just not time today,
but if this is something, again, we can confirm,
even at the end of the day, if Commissioners are
comfortable with the idea that they leave here
voting yes, but that some of that kind of content
would be added even after this meeting, we have
literally hundreds and hundreds of pages of
transcripts.
MR. JONAS: Yeah.
MS. LARUE: What you see here is a
small fraction of the really poignant things that
were said to us by veterans. And because of the
way the coding took place, it would be very easy
to add veteran comments in virtually every

I

section.

1

2	Perhaps not the research section,
3	that's pretty straightforward and clinical. But
4	beyond that section, I don't see a section on
5	this report that couldn't have veteran voice
6	added to it. We just haven't had the opportunity
7	to do that today.
8	So again, we'll put that on that list
9	of things to revisit at the end of the day, that
10	aren't essential to voting yes or no today, but
11	may help make the report even stronger.
12	MR. JONAS: Yes. I think it would
13	supercharge the entire the report if the quotes
14	were actually, you know, because you have so many
15	of them.
16	MS. LARUE: Oh, and we have new ones
17	to add
18	MR. JONAS: And I understand.
19	MS. LARUE: that we don't have to
20	repeat.
21	MR. JONAS: And finding the ones that
22	really are, you know, right on the mark, and

pithy, et cetera, and spreading those throughout, and I wouldn't exclude the research section, okay, at all in this area for that. Because patient-centered research is something that we actually made a recommendation for, bring the voice of the patient into the research decision making process up front.

And so again, you know, if there are some comments on, I think we just actually heard a comment on we know these things work, but we've got to have evidence-based. Well, what does that mean, evidence for who? Well, for the veteran, obviously, in those areas. So I would include that section in those areas. So thank you.

15 In terms of the actual Recommendation 16 1, first of all I just want to commend you on 17 having pulled that together and organized it 18 under a single recommendation. When we were 19 working on that, and I'm thinking there were all 20 these things, how would that ever happen, and I 21 thought you did an excellent job.

22

So I have very few sort of comments on

that, perhaps others do. There are some, a couple grammar, typo, other kinds of errors which you said don't worry about those. I actually 4 went through and listed them. I'll send them to you so that you can look at them and all that kind of stuff in there. But I'm sure those will 6 be kind of cleaned up.

8 In the one that you sent us, which 9 looks like it's already been corrected in here, there was some confusion about the figures, the 10 numbering of the figures, and then the wording 11 12 around referring to the figures within the text. 13 And it looks like, I haven't done it in detail, 14 but it looks like that's all been corrected in 15 those areas.

16 One thing that we might want to 17 consider, because people, when they do look at 18 figures then they kind of want to know what all 19 those things were, and they can't necessarily 20 find it in the text, we may want to put a caption 21 underneath that that sort of briefly explains 22 what are all those things in the figures. So

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

5

when anybody looks at that, they can kind of get the essence of that.

3	And I'm not sure if I did that or not,
4	or sent a draft to you or not. I'll have to go
5	back and look, but if you agree with that, I'd be
6	happy to help write sort of a caption that goes
7	underneath each figure that actually allows you
8	to sort of look at the figure, read about what
9	it's about, and understand it immediately without
10	having to try to find an explanation for it in
11	the text, okay. So that would be one thought
12	about those areas.
13	And I've got a couple other things.
14	MS. LARUE: That's something easy to
15	do. Just a point to consider on that is keeping
16	a caption really short. Because if the caption
17	starts to get to be the same size as the graphic
18	
19	
	MR. JONAS: Yes, got to be short,
20	MR. JONAS: Yes, got to be short, right.
20 21	

1

1	MR. JONAS: I agree, right. Yes, so
2	then the other thing I wanted to, there's
3	actually some data in here that's wrong. And
4	it's not so much it's wrong, but that we didn't
5	actually get the right data in there, because
6	when we were first putting it together, we pulled
7	data from early, early on.
8	And during the course of looking at
9	the information that was being collected,
10	actually even as the Commission was being done,
11	there were studies going on, we heard updates of
12	those, you know, during the Commission meeting.
13	And some of that actually didn't get in there.
14	So there's a couple paragraphs in here
15	where the data actually isn't, it's not actually
16	data. And I can show you what it is. It was on
17	Page 16 in the original thing. I think it's now
18	been moved to Page 17.
19	And it was specifically, and we heard
20	a couple iterations of this during the course of
21	the year. And starting down on the early
22	analysis, which is like the second paragraph from

1	the bottom, those two paragraphs, those were
2	brought in from very, very early in the
3	Commission, out of a report that Whole Health
4	provided to us.
5	But that was actually updated a couple
6	times, actually. And even recently, there's been
7	some data that was updated. And so that's not in
8	here. And so I'd like to propose that we correct
9	that and actually put the actual data in there
10	from the early analysis that exists in that.
11	And I can pull that for you from the
12	right sources and send that to you in there. So
13	that was one sort of section correction that I
14	think needs to be done.
15	What's described in the current report
16	is really the modeling and the predictive
17	component. It wasn't the actual data. It was
18	the model that they said that they wanted to use
19	in their estimates on that. So it actually is
20	not data. Well, they now have data in those
21	areas. So I think we should actually put the
22	data in there. So that was just one, you know,

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

larger component that I thought we should put in there.

And then just again, fairly minor, but 3 4 there are some updated references from current 5 When we describe why, you know, it's research. important for the VA to now begin to build on 6 7 what it's been doing to transform its own 8 healthcare into this sort of new transformative 9 model that has these characteristics of personcentered, relationship-based, recovery focused 10 11 value payment components that are described in 12 here, there's actually been new data from research literature reinforcing that and adding 13 14 to that that has just come out in the last couple 15 of months. 16 And I'd just to make sure we have the 17 most updated references in that. And I'll go

18 through and point out where that is. I'll give 19 you an example of that.

You know, Journal of the American
Medical Association just published a massive
study on the rise in mortality in this country,

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

and why that's happening, and the fact that it 1 2 has now happened for three years in a row, a huge study that was, you know, came out of University 3 of Virginia and actually had a bunch of other 4 5 authors on it. It builds on an IOM report that was 6 published in there, but it's actually much more 7 8 updated data on that. So we should probably 9 stick that in there. And there's a couple of other things like that we should put in there. 10 11 So that's pretty much all I had in 12 terms of those areas in terms of changes. And so 13 anyway, I'll stop there. 14 MS. LARUE: So let's start with those Everyone's comfortable with we'll make 15 points. 16 those changes, and you can vote on this 17 recommendation knowing that there will be updates 18 to the data? 19 I have, I quess one thing MR. KUNTZ: 20 I'd like to bring up before we vote on this 21 recommendation. It's on Page 26, right underneath both recovery-focused care and Whole 22

Health care. The on recovery-focused care,
 there's a bullet that says invest one billion
 dollars over the next five years to exhilarate
 this training and hire providers with recovery focused skills and processes.

6 And then in the second sentence of the 7 first Whole Health bullet is the funding of each 8 medical center will be enough to support the 9 initial costing of implementing the Whole Health 10 system and is estimated \$2 billion over four 11 years.

12And I guess, from my perspective, I13don't believe that we have done enough analysis14on costing to be able to put that accurately in.15The Congressional Budget Office will16do the costing analysis. We have not had a17costing person to that team. We do have those

18 recommendations very strongly. I think that if 19 we just eliminated Bullet 3 under recovery-20 focused care, because the recommendation is 21 described in Bullet 2, and then eliminated the 22 second sentence under that first bullet on Whole

Health care, so basically the two sentences 1 that 2 say how many billions of dollars will be invested, we don't have that skill set. And the 3 Congressional Budget Office will. 4 5 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: And I concur. And 6 thanks for bringing that forward. As far as a 7 monetary amount, that is not in the scope of the 8 Commission, but what I would recommend is that we 9 at least keep the line in there that investment is going to be required and leave out the amount. 10 11 MR. KUNTZ: I fully agree, Mr. 12 Chairman. 13 MR. JONAS: Yes, I agree with you on 14 that. I think the data, I mean, this came from a 15 projection based on information that we got from the VA that it was estimated to be about \$550 16 17 million for going from the current Centers of 18 Excellence to roll out what they were planning in 19 the first year to another, I think, 56 or something like that. 20 21 But that doesn't necessarily mean 22 that'll be what's required to do the entire VA.

So that should be left up to, you know, again, 1 2 the bean counters in terms of figuring that out. CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: 3 Yes. 4 MR. JONAS: I think we should say 5 sufficient amount be to roll it out or something like that. 6 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: 7 Exactly. 8 MR. JONAS: And then leave it up to 9 them. 10 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I was just going 11 to start to do a Wendy-ism here and say, you 12 know, take out the amount and say investment 13 required --14 MR. JONAS: Appropriate --15 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: -- appropriate 16 investment over the next five years, sufficient 17 investment. The powers that be that will take 18 this charge and move forward with it will do the 19 costing and the analysis from recommendations on 20 the amount required or needed. Or they will look 21 within internally and see if the dollars can be resourced from other factions within their 22

budget.

2	But the key is to be able to
3	substantiate the requirement of what's going to
4	be needed based on your assessment, Wayne. I
5	think we need to keep at least that marker in
6	there without the dollar.
7	MR. JONAS: Right, I agree.
8	MR. HARVEY: Mr. Chairman, Wendy, in
9	reading over what I got electronically from you
10	awhile back, in the first section there's
11	MS. LARUE: Can I stop you one second?
12	Because I have a question.
13	MR. HARVEY: Oh, sure, sure. Mine is
14	totally separate.
15	MS. LARUE: Okay. So what I want to
16	do is clarify, because this is something we can
17	do right now. So some suggested language under
18	the recovery-focused would be invest dedicated
19	funds over the next five years sufficient to
20	accelerate this training and hire providers with
21	BLA, BLA, BLA.
22	And then I think we don't actually
ļ	" Nool R. Cross and Co., Inc.

1 have to take out the whole sentence under whole 2 healthcare. We can say the funding for each medical center will be enough to support the 3 4 initial cost of implementing the Whole Health 5 system, period. Re-read the first one? PARTICIPANT: 6 7 MS. LARUE: Re-read the first one? 8 Invest, take out one billion, dedicated funds and 9 then after the word years, add sufficient. And 10 we've got the other one. You ready? 11 **PARTICIPANT:** Yes. 12 Okay. All right, thank MS. LARUE: 13 you. 14 Okay. In reading over MR. HARVEY: the initial, what we got electronically a week or 15 16 so ago, in Section 1 there is reference to the 17 Ouadruple Aim stuff. And there's no definition 18 of what that is. And it struck me that we ought 19 to, that may have changed. But I was just 20 reading the Quadruple Aim. But if you haven't 21 sort of sat through some of this, what 22 specifically is that? And it's ---

1	MS. LARUE: I know it's in here,
2	because I remember a dash and the four items.
3	MR. HARVEY: Okay.
4	MS. LARUE: But what I will do is make
5	a note to make sure that it's defined early on.
6	MR. HARVEY: Well, and early on, so
7	that we don't get to, you know, Recommendation 3
8	that then has a dash in the four items
9	MS. LARUE: I feel like it's somewhere
10	in Recommendation 1 which may not be that
11	anymore.
12	MR. HARVEY: It may well be. It's
13	just that in looking over that edition of this, I
14	didn't notice it early on.
15	MS. LARUE: And that may be something
16	that we can work into the prologue so that it is
17	at the very beginning. And that's where I'm
18	going to put my sticky note. Thank you.
19	MR. JONAS: It needs to be defined
20	when you use it, the first time you use that,
21	right.
22	MS. LARUE: Right.

1	MR. JONAS: There's a description of
2	it, but I think it's built into the improvement,
3	innovation improvement section which is later on.
4	And we've already used it a couple of times in
5	the beginning. So we should do that, yes.
6	MS. LARUE: One of the things that I
7	will do over the next few weeks is actually read
8	from beginning to end when we know what the
9	beginning and end actually are. And it really
10	helps when you read things sequentially to notice
11	those sorts of things. So I have a sticky note
12	to remind me.
13	MR. JONAS: You know, just a point on
14	this aspect, and again, to what extent you want
15	to define that I think is important, because it
16	plays off of what are the success metrics. What
17	do we mean by it's working, okay, it's happening?
18	And also, it defines the quality
19	metrics of improvement too that the VA uses and
20	healthcare uses in those areas. When we were out
21	looking at both internal VA systems and then
22	looking at civilian systems that were taking care

of veterans to look at them, we had decided to 1 2 use the Quadruple Aim as sort of the framework for that and even went to IHI where it sort of 3 was invented and got their description of it. 4 We actually developed a very detailed 5 6 list of types of metrics for each of the 7 Quadruple Aims as options that are now being 8 collected, most of which are already being 9 collected within the VA, actually quite robustly within SAIL. 10 11 So there actually is a fair amount of 12 detailed data behind this that we sort of 13 describe in a couple sentences in terms of the 14 findings in there, which is fine. I'm not suggesting that we change that. We don't 15 16 necessarily want to increase that. 17 But I just wanted to point out to the 18 Commissioners that the Quadruple Aim was not 19 simply a picture saying, oh, here there is that 20 you should look at. There's actually some very 21 defined metrics that VA is collecting, and that 22 the civilian sector is saying it should collect,

and in some cases doing, in many cases is not 1 2 doing, that define what is quality care in those I just wanted to point that out. 3 areas. You know, we should somehow point to 4 5 that. And the references are in there, but again, we don't want it to get lost, that this is 6 a fairly robustly developed model that the entire 7 healthcare system is saying we should go to and 8 9 the VA is actually moving towards guite rapidly. 10 MR. ROSE: If I may, Mr. Chairman, I 11 think when you talk about the Quadruple Aim, that 12 was decided near the front end, because one of 13 the tackers of Group 4 was sufficiency, and 14 sufficiency across the board for our care, our mental health care in this specific area. 15 So we 16 need to, that should be part of it so there's no 17 question what we mean by that. 18 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you. 19 MS. LARUE: Okay. So there is one 20 item that I believe needs to be added in the 21 implementation, and I wanted to bring that up and 22 thank Matt for pointing out that it was missing

from this report. And that is that at previous meetings we had talked about an exemption from the Paperwork Reduction Act in terms of using patient survey data as part of the continuous improvement process.

And last night, I remembered that we 6 also had discussion about putting something to 7 8 that effect in the research section. And I 9 couldn't remember what we resolved. But this morning, in thinking about it, that is what I 10 recall the decision was, was to consider that 11 12 part of the continuous improvement process.

13 And right now, one of the challenges 14 is that that data is subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act. So I will work with Dr. Jonas to 15 16 add the correct words in the right place. 17 MR. JONAS: It's not in there. 18 MS. LARUE: Right, it's not anywhere 19 in here, and thank you, Matt, for noticing that, 20 because I think that's a really important 21 implementation step that we don't want to lose. Wendy, could I just 22 MR. GOODRICH:

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

1	interject? I did talk to someone from Dr.
2	Clancy's staff earlier this week. And they
3	provided us some language that NIH uses. It's
4	under the Cures Act, the 21st Century Cures Act.
5	And so I can share that with you, Dr.
6	Jonas, and the rest of the team, for
7	consideration to put it in the implementation
8	steps. It wasn't like a panacea by any stretch
9	of the imagination, but it might at least put us
10	down the right path.
11	MS. LARUE: Perfect, thank you.
12	CO-CHAIR BERMAN: And just as an
13	aside, it's befuddling to me how you could get
14	patient information back and not use it as part
15	of continuous quality improvement. I mean,
16	that's what we do. We get questionnaires. It
17	just boggles my mind that there's an issue there.
18	What I wanted to do is, although we're
19	not using Robert's Rules of Order, at least we
20	didn't adopt them, since we have a recommendation
21	from a committee, I'd like to second that so we
22	actually have something on the table that we can

take action. And then we can have real
discussion on it. So I'd like to second the
recommendation as it now stands and then ask Mr.
Chairman to open it up for, you know, any final
discussion so we can move on it.
(Off-microphone comment.)
CO-CHAIR BERMAN: The recommendation
is that we adopt Recommendation Number 1,
Transferring the plus the attendant
information that we just talked about, and
revisions.
CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: So what the co-
chair is doing is establishing a method to the
madness at this point as far as that is now
accepted and seconded. But now formally, under
Robert's Rules, we would have debate and
discussion before an actual vote.
MR. HARVEY: But this then also
includes the parking lot where Wendy has
CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: It does. It does
include that.
MR. HARVEY: Yes?

1	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yes. So we're
2	having faith, trust, and confidence in Wendy to
3	go back and, over the course of the next three
4	weeks, to clean that up, Tom.
5	Does that make sense to the rest of
6	the Commissioners as far as the process? So at
7	this point, we're in the discussion phase before
8	doing a first vote on proceeding with that
9	recommendation.
10	Further discussion, Commissioners?
11	And the other point is you do not have to take
12	the time to allow or to say Mr. Chairman. Just
13	go blurt it out, please.
14	MS. LARUE: Anything else?
15	MR. AMBITION: I just want to be clear
16	that we're talking about this recommendation in
17	isolation to Commissioners Kuntz and Potoczniak
18	about the sequencing of events that will come
19	after we talk about each one in isolation, so
20	just to be clear on that.
21	MS. LARUE: In theory, the order and
22	such is not really a matter that needs, it can be

1	resolved, but it doesn't need a vote. The
2	recommendations are the part that you're voting
3	on. Because that's the meat here. So yes, we're
4	focusing on what is currently Recommendation 1.
5	MR. ROSE: As corrected.
6	MS. LARUE: As will be amended.
7	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Any further
8	comments, amendments, corrections to
9	Recommendation 1? If not, I would like to open
10	this up to a formal vote by Commissioners, and
11	we'll start with Commissioner Harvey. Let's do a
12	yay or nay for proceeding.
13	MR. HARVEY: Yay.
14	MR. KHAN: Yay.
15	MR. JONAS: Yay.
16	MR. AMBITION: Yay.
17	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yay.
18	CO-CHAIR BERMAN: Yay.
19	MR. KUNTZ: Yay.
20	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yay.
21	MS. MAGUEN: Yay.
22	MR. ROSE: Yay.

1	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: All yay, hurray.
2	MS. LARUE: We now have a
3	recommendation. Congratulations.
4	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Congratulations.
5	Wendy?
6	MS. LARUE: All right, moving ahead
7	then to what is Page 32 in the printed copy that
8	you have, this is our recommendation related to
9	research and C.H. And I will turn things over to
10	Commissioner Maguen to talk about that.
11	MS. MAGUEN: Great, thank you. So can
12	you all hear me? There we go, that's a little
13	better. There were a couple of things that I had
14	sent you, Wendy, too, and that I wanted to just
15	make sure we added all of these. They're pretty
16	minor tweaks.
17	But in reading this over again, one of
18	the things that I thought would be very helpful
19	is to, I know that we're going to link up to the
20	methods, but I thought, similar to what we're
21	doing for the executive summaries, I suggested
22	adding some language just about the methods up

front for us. And I suggested some language
 about how to do that.

But I think it will be important for people reading this to understand that we were looking at randomized controlled trials, that we were excluding observational studies, that we were looking specifically at evaluating the strength of the evidence and the quality of the research that was done.

10 And so I've definitely suggested 11 language but feel like unless we put that up 12 front people might not be able to track as well. 13 So similar to what we're doing in the executive 14 summaries, that will also be linked to the 15 recommendations. So that was one suggestion that 16 I had made.

17 Also, in the introduction I thought it 18 would be helpful to move and define that the CIH 19 modalities were pulled both from the legislation 20 as well as from the Commissioners, adding some 21 additional CIH modalities and additional 22 treatment. So I wanted to spell that out up

front.

2	The other minor tweak that I'm going
3	to suggest, throughout the section we refer to
4	chronic insomnia disorder. And just to be
5	consistent with DSM-5, I'm just recommending
6	that we switch to just calling it insomnia
7	disorder.
8	And then one additional thing that I
9	think I would just want to run by Commissioners
10	is, if you turn to the implementation section,
11	and that is on Page, towards the very, very end,
12	let's see, Page 48, I believe. So we have a
13	section specifically that is focused on, let's
14	see if I can find it here, that ensure that all
15	studies include adequate representation of women
16	and racial, ethnic minorities.
17	I'm going to suggest that we also put
18	a sentence in there that there are going to be
19	certain studies that we recommend that over
20	sample for those populations. Because I think
21	that, again, if we include those, that certain
22	studies will include at least 20 percent.

I think we also want complementary 1 2 studies that are going to over sample both women as well as racial and ethnic minorities, so we 3 4 can answer some other research questions. And I 5 want to call that out specifically. So those are 6 just a couple of things that I sent in the edits 7 that I recommended. 8 And other things are just more 9 language. So that is what I have to add, you know, if people have any questions about anything 10 that I just mentioned, or want any clarification. 11 12 MR. HARVEY: You say certain studies 13 you'd want to oversample some of those. 14 MS. MAGUEN: Correct. 15 Give me an example of MR. HARVEY: 16 that. Does that have to do with things like 17 military sexual trauma or ---18 MS. MAGUEN: Exactly, that's exactly 19 So if a study, you know, one of our other right. recommendations is that we include military 20 21 sexual trauma studies specifically focusing on that population. And so if we know that that 22

1 occurs more frequently in women, we want to 2 oversample women and also include men. That's very important. But we want to oversample 3 4 certain groups if we know that the problem is 5 more prevalent in those groups. Agreed, thank you. 6 MR. HARVEY: Just 7 wanted to clarify --8 Thanks for the MS. MAGUEN: clarification. 9 MR. HARVEY: -- for my simple little 10 11 mind. 12 MS. MAGUEN: That was a great 13 clarification. So thank you for that. 14 MS. LARUE: Shira, Jennifer and I had a question last night when we were looking at 15 16 acronyms. 17 MS. MAGUEN: Yes. 18 MS. LARUE: And we noticed that 19 bipolar disorder had two different acronyms used. 20 And we didn't get a chance to look it up in the 21 DSM. But I thought you could probably answer 22 that for us real quickly.

1	MS. MAGUEN: Absolutely.
2	MS. LARUE: So what is in here to
3	date, there are some references that are BD and
4	then the other's
5	MS. MAGUEN: BPD, yes. So, and this
6	is in reference to bipolar disorder, yes, so
7	bipolar disorder specifically, yes. So I think
8	BPD is usually used for borderline personality
9	disorder. So I would definitely say that we want
10	to, for the abbreviation for bipolar disorder, we
11	want to stick to BD.
12	MS. LARUE: Okay, perfect. Thank you
13	so much.
14	MS. MAGUEN: Sure.
15	(Off-microphone comment.)
16	MS. MAGUEN: Borderline personality
17	disorder.
18	MS. LARUE: Okay, yes. We don't want
19	to lead people to think we're talking about
20	something we're not, so that's good to know.
21	Did you have anything else that you
22	wanted add, Shira, before me move on?

1	MS. MAGUEN: I think that's it.
2	MR. KHAN: Just to comment. Any time
3	you have an abbreviation, first time please use
4	the entire, because there are places here where
5	it has not been we've got so much technical
6	language in there, that you lose the, you get BPD
7	on the fourth page, it started on the first page.
8	So I'm just sharing with you, it gets a little
9	frustrating.
10	MS. LARUE: So we have actually spent
11	quite a bit of time already working on acronyms.
12	And I will tell you what our approach is.
13	Because this report is extremely acronym heavy,
14	usually the rule is the first mention in an
15	entire report, but 200 pages ago is a long way
16	back to remembering.
17	It assumes that somebody's reading
18	every single page of the report, which is naive.
19	So what we're doing is re-naming at the beginning
20	of every recommendation. So in each
21	recommendation, whatever is the first mention of
22	something that gets an acronym, it will be

1 spelled out the first time.

2	And Jennifer has spent many hours
3	already working on that. And that's something
4	that we'll keep working on over the next couple
5	of weeks. It's kind of a process of identifying
6	all those acronyms and getting that straight.
7	But know that we're doing even better than that
8	by doing it with each recommendation.
9	MR. JONAS: Wendy, will there be a
10	glossary also?
11	MS. LARUE: There is acronym list in
12	the appendices. It's the very last one which
13	makes it nice if you need to go to that list.
14	You don't have to thumb through any pages. It's
15	the end. And I credit Jennifer for that great
16	idea. It just makes it really nice for our
17	readers.
18	So I think if nobody has, Jack, did
19	you want to add something?
20	MR. ROSE: No. That was it.
21	MS. LARUE: You had that look on your
22	face.

1	MR. ROSE: Yes.
2	MS. LARUE: Okay. So I think then
3	(Simultaneous speaking.)
4	MR. KUNTZ: I guess that I just want
5	to say thank you to Shira and the staff on this.
6	Like, this recommendation took an enormous amount
7	of work and trying to pull it off with limited
8	resources, how you performed this is just
9	remarkable, so thank you.
10	(Off-microphone comment.)
11	MS. MAGUEN: A compassionate and kind
12	way, right.
13	(Laughter.)
14	MS. MAGUEN: Well, you know, I just
15	want to also thank the work group, you know, the
16	leadership of Tom Berman and also, you know, the
17	SIGMA team and all of the support staff. This is
18	definitely a team effort. And it literally
19	required everyone that we had working on this
20	full force. So thank you, I appreciate that.
21	And it definitely takes a village.
22	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: We do have a

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1	formalized second. Is there any further
2	discussion at this point?
3	Hearing none, we'll start again with
4	Commissioner Harvey, yay or nay?
5	MR. HARVEY: Yay.
6	MR. KHAN: Yay.
7	MR. KUNTZ: Yay.
8	MR. JONAS: Yay.
9	MR. AMBITION: Yay.
10	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yay.
11	CO-CHAIR BERMAN: Yay.
12	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yay.
13	MS. MAGUEN: Yay.
14	MR. ROSE: Yay.
15	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Hooray again.
16	MS. LARUE: All right, it's not even
17	11 o'clock.
18	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: And well done.
19	Commissioner Maguen, thank you so much for the
20	diligent work, and your team, and the support
21	that was taken to get this done. We couldn't
22	have done it without you, Shira. Thank you so

1	much.
2	MS. MAGUEN: Thank you.
3	MS. LARUE: Okay, so that
4	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Let's take a, I'm
5	seeing that it's 11 o'clock. We're formally off
6	of the public charge. Let's take a ten minute
7	break, and we will proceed with Number 3. Let's
8	make it 12 minutes, that means we'll be back in
9	15.
10	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
11	went off the record at 10:58 a.m. and resumed at
12	11:16 a.m.)
13	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: All right,
14	Commissioners. We have gotten through and have
15	approved unanimously Recommendations 1 and 2.
16	And this time, we'll begin with Recommendation 3.
17	Wendy?
18	MS. LARUE: All right. Recommendation
19	3 was from Workgroup 2, and I'll turn things over
20	to Mike Potoczniak to talk about that
21	recommendation.
22	MR. POTOCZNIAK: There we go. So

Workgroup 2, I've been going back and forth with 1 2 Wendy about any kind of needed changes. And most of those have gotten implemented or were 3 4 addressed in some way. Just going through, this is a new version of it, so I wanted to just put 5 in a few things. 6 You know, this Recommendation 3 is 7 8 very, very broad. You know, it's a 9 recommendation that is pretty broad and just is to implement the concerns, basically, that the 10 11 veterans raised. And it's got a lot in the 12 implementation. So on the surface, it doesn't seem, you know, to have, it seems to be very 13 vague but is actually probably one of the most 14 rich recommendations, I think. 15 16 One of the things I wanted to address 17 was the idea that we're calling the peer support 18 providers providers which usually, it's kind of 19 a, yes, they're usually more like, that's usually 20 like a licensed provider kind of thing. So that 21 would just be one thing. 22 The peer support specialists would

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

1	make sense. And I think it's just a wording
2	issue. If you work in the VA, providers
3	typically are licensed, independent providers.
4	So that would just be one thing to address. I
5	didn't catch that until we looked at it.
6	MS. LARUE: So you bring up an
7	interesting point that came up, again, last night
8	when we were doing some continuing work. And
9	again, not something that needs to be resolved
10	here, but perhaps we can come up with some
11	generic term that describes those people that
12	aren't physicians, pas, nurse practitioners, and
13	so forth.
14	Because we have peer support
15	specialists in here. And then in another
16	recommendation I think we talk about mental
17	health technicians, things like that. And I
18	think they would fall in that same category. So
19	let's just remember to think about that and see
20	if
21	MR. POTOCZNIAK: There are some words,
22	but they're not real great, like paraprofessional

i	т П
1	is the one that's used most often, I think, in
2	literature when you're talking about non-licensed
3	mental health people. But I've always hated that
4	word, because it feels very, like, parasitic.
5	It's like
6	MS. LARUE: Non-licensed mental health
7	professionals sounds like a really good term, if
8	that's one you like.
9	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yes.
10	MR. ROSE: If I may, Mike, I mean,
11	we've been talking about peer support specialists
12	from the beginning. I think our veterans know
13	peer support specialists. Maybe we need to do a
14	better job of letting them know what they are,
15	that they're available. But personally, I think
16	it would not be correct to not include peer
17	support specialists. Thank you.
18	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yes. So we can come
19	up with terminology, I guess, peer support
20	specialists. And then also, the one thing I did
21	want to take, I mentioned this the last time, and
22	it's a little bit of an issue for me, is around

the recommendation related to pay of peer support specialists.

3	Currently, peer support specialists
4	are, you know, basically the majority of them sit
5	in the GS-9 category which is also where marriage
6	and family therapists start who are licensed
7	people. So, I mean, they start at nines and they
8	go to 11, I think, or something like that.
9	And so you have people that don't have
10	a real education requirement who could be high
11	school graduates that have a mental health
12	experience who are becoming are becoming peer
13	support specialists which is great. We need a
14	diversity of background.
15	But going into a GS-9 in the Bay Area
16	GS-9s make, you know, 89 grand, not bad for
17	having a high school education. So I kind of
18	want to push back, because as you start to kind
19	of amp up pay you're going to start to maybe even
20	close out people. There's got to be some reason
21	for that.
22	And I feel like that part doesn't sit

1

well with me as a mental health provider, that 1 2 people that went to school are going to be earning pretty much the same as somebody who 3 didn't but had a mental health experience. 4 So 5 that was just my two cents on that one. Isn't this sort of in the 6 MR. HARVEY: 7 same category as the other place where we took 8 out some numbers, you know, to say be paid 9 adequately or be paid, you know, in accordance 10 with --11 Well, I mean, I guess MR. POTOCZNIAK: 12 my part is that I kind of feel like they are paid 13 adequately. 14 MR. HARVEY: No, that may be so. But, I mean, rather than saying they should be, I 15 16 mean, I don't have the background or the 17 experience to say somebody should be a particular 18 grade, but there's somebody who's doing this who 19 could say the grade should be appropriate to the skill sets that --20 21 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Let me interject, 22 if I may, at this time as the Chair. I think

we're starting to conflate Recommendation 3 with Recommendation 8. So in order to stay away from that, what I really want to do is press the Commission at this point to really take a look at what I asked of Mike and Mike's workgroup, that being Commissioner Potoczniak. And it's really the voice of the

8 veterans on their mental health care. And there 9 were three items that I said these are really 10 going to be hard to get to. And I wonder if we 11 answered the mail correctly on this 12 recommendation.

13 It was perceptions, number one, 14 perceptions regarding the available mental health Number two, the Commission 15 treatments to them. 16 was to consider the frequency which VA prescribes medication. And number three was that VA's 17 18 outreach. What is the Secretary doing to do 19 better outreach efforts to inform veterans about mental healthcare available to them? 20 21 Now, I think from the voice of the veteran, when you look at all of those things, 22

there's some very strong statements that were 1 2 made. But I don't know if we have come to the conclusion or made a strong enough recommendation 3 4 at this point. So I'm going to challenge us to 5 work with Commissioner Potoczniak. And maybe I'm Maybe the mail has been answered on each 6 wrong. 7 of those. I just don't know if it's strong 8 enough at this point. 9 Rather than talk about peer support 10 specialists, that's why I say we don't want to conflate the two, we're going to talk about that 11 12 in Recommendation 8 extensively. Does that make 13 sense? 14 MR. POTOCZNIAK: So are you thinking 15 that you'd want to move the peer support stuff 16 out of this recommendation? 17 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: No, not at all. 18 Because that was certainly the voice of the 19 veteran feedback very much. 20 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yes. 21 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: So it remains part of that, Mike. I'm just saying that we're going 22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

to address, I think, what Tom was getting at 1 2 earlier about incentivizing, or the pay, or whatever, over making a general statement to 3 incentivize that role. 4 And then also, you know, how that 5 term, to Jack's point, Commissioner Rose said we 6 need to keep peer support specialists front and 7 It is, and we're going to call that out 8 center. 9 in Number 8. But there's also a behavioral 10 health specialist, we're talking about health 11 coaches, chaplains, and I think that all goes 12 back to Wayne's model ---13 MS. LARUE: With ---14 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Right. 15 CO-CHAIR BERMAN: Mr. Chairman, could 16 we say something like assure that the requisite 17 level of professional and support personnel are 18 available to address the three issues that you 19 I think we have to be careful about said? 20 getting into pay, because pay should be related 21 to level of education and those things. I understand what Mike is saying about 22

paraprofessional. Unfortunately, the word 1 2 professional implies you have a license. And paraprofessional implies you probably don't. 3 And 4 so that's what's used. But I would just suggest that 5 something like support might be okay too. 6 7 Because that's what they are. I mean, if you think about, they call them peer support for a 8 9 They're support personnel. reason. So just a thought that we should make a more generalized 10 Rather than be predictive and 11 comment. 12 prescriptive, we can maybe just suggest. 13 MR. KUNTZ: I'm sorry, Mike, can you 14 pinpoint the recommendation, just on the page, just so we can see where it fits in the report 15 16 and what the actual language is? So Page 76 has, that's 17 MS. LARUE: 18 legislative peer support recommendation which 19 says ensure funding is earmarked specifically for 20 the continued development of peer support 21 specialists. And then on Page 78, there are three items in the executive branch 22

1 implementation, establish peer support 2 specialists as a necessary component. Seventy-four is the 3 MR. POTOCZNIAK: 4 page. 5 MS. LARUE: Okay. So it's outside of 6 the 7 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yes. 8 MS. LARUE: -- the implementation 9 then. Yes, 74 is where it 10 MR. POTOCZNIAK: 11 says VA should consider the merits of increasing 12 pay to bolster recruitment and retention efforts. 13 MS. LARUE: Which paragraph? 14 MR. POTOCZNIAK: That is the first 15 paragraph on Page 74, about mid-way down the 16 paragraph. It's not so much, you know, yes, it's 17 not so much, yes, it is. But I think we're 18 saying a lot, you know, in this report. And 19 there's a lot of sensitivity about peer support 20 specialists. And I think us coming out and 21 saying that they're not paid enough is, it's just a piece of information I don't know that we need 22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

to add, given that they kind of are, you know. 1 2 MR. HARVEY: Well, I don't know it's the kind of information we're equipped to, what I 3 4 was trying to say is I can't tell you what a peer 5 support specialist should be paid. Somebody in the personnel office can say, well, you know, 6 7 this grade equates to this much education, 8 equates to this much experience, you know. 9 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Right. 10 MR. HARVEY: It's not my job to say 11 what you should pay. 12 MS. LARUE: How about revising to say 13 VA should consider ways to bolster recruitment 14 and retention efforts and just take the pay part 15 out of that? 16 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Right. 17 MS. MAGUEN: I'll just add, I think, 18 the other thing that is concerning, it says the 19 turnover issue is a concern. And then it 20 connects it to the pay, but we actually don't 21 know, you know, I mean, there can be a lot of reasons for turnover, including not feeling like 22

you have enough support or other things. And it
 might not be a pay issue, right?

MR. POTOCZNIAK: But the biggest issue 3 really, when it comes to, and, Jake, I'm just 4 5 noting that you basically told us to get into that part, but the one last piece of this, I 6 7 think, is that we've also mentioned that peer support specialists, this is in Wayne's part, are 8 9 not reimbursable on the VERA schedule which makes it very unattractive for facilities to increase 10 11 the number of those people.

So it really does, it's not so much 12 13 about the pay, it's much more about the facility 14 putting out the amount of positions necessary. So I think, you know, if you put it out there, we 15 16 had 150 applications for one peer support 17 specialist recently. There's no problem getting 18 them. It's a problem of getting the facility to 19 do it. And so that's my two cents on that. 20 MR. KUNTZ: Mike, would you be --21 would it make sense for you -- like, the way that 22 sentence currently reads is the turnover issue

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

among peer support specialists needs to be 1 2 rectified, comma, and the VA should consider the merits of increasing pay to bolster recruitment 3 and retention efforts. 4 5 Would you be okay with eliminating everything after rectified? So we're saying that 6 7 the issue needs to be addressed, but we are not 8 going more specifically --9 MR. POTOCZNIAK: I do. 10 MR. KUNTZ: -- into why. 11 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yeah, totally. So I 12 had --13 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Why are we not, 14 Mike, addressing the VERA impact on peer support specialist via head count? 15 16 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Via head count? 17 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Well, I look at --18 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Oh, okay. I hear 19 what you're saying. 20 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I'm sorry. The 21 issue that you just spoke to was that you get 150 applicants, but there's only one position that's 22

required. And I think what we're talking about 1 2 here or asking is the increased peer support specialist systemwide. And there's a reason 3 4 we're doing that because for 15 months, we've 5 heard loud and clear that they bring a heck of a lot of value --6 7 MR. POTOCZNIAK: So --8 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: -- to veterans. 9 MR. POTOCZNIAK: So on page 78, I said 10 basically that we should establish peer support 11 specialists as a necessary component to a 12 behavioral health interdisciplinary program team which is akin to the PACT team. 13 14 Currently, they're not part of the They're part of the clinic. 15 BHIP team. And so 16 if you make them a set part of the formula, then 17 you would have -- it would be based on head count 18 and based on density of veterans as opposed to 19 just an add-on which is what they currently -- I 20 mean, there's probably a more professional way of 21 saying that, but that's what they are. 22 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Do you think it is

widely known within the VA system that VERA --1 2 and VERA is not accounting for the peer specialist optimization. If you do not have that 3 4 particular statement that you just made and is 5 probably factual. I know it's factual, right? 6 MR. POTOCZNIAK: I hope so, yeah. CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Why would we not 7 8 point that out in the paragraphs dealing with 9 peer support? 10 MS. LARUE: So --11 MR. POTOCZNIAK: There is. 12 MS. LARUE: -- I think that that's 13 what's in Recommendation 8. So maybe what we 14 should do, based on the parking lot description, this will, no matter what, precede that. 15 And we 16 can add a reference here to that other 17 recommendation. 18 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yeah, because it is part of -- I think Recommendation 8 is, folding 19 them into the VERA --20 21 MS. LARUE: Right. 22 MR. POTOCZNIAK: -- so they would earn

1	VERA dollars for the facility. So I think part
2	of what your concern is, Jake, is addressed in
3	either page 78 or in Recommendation 8 where it
4	does talk about VERA.
5	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I just found it.
6	It's page 95. You're right. And that's when I
7	said we're sort of conflating three and eight.
8	MS. LARUE: Right.
9	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: My recommendation
10	then would be we need to clean that up because,
11	again, you're speaking about it in three, trying
12	to make us solve in three. And then we come back
13	to eight, are we saying the same thing?
14	MR. POTOCZNIAK: We're not saying the
15	same thing.
16	MS. LARUE: No.
17	MR. POTOCZNIAK: It's we are saying
18	that could be so it could be put together.
19	But one of the because the veterans spoke
20	about it in the focus groups, it's what landed it
21	in this recommendation. And part of what they
22	were saying is the lack of peer support

	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
1	specialists. They were talking about the lack of
2	them. So to address the lack of the number of
3	them, I said, okay, let's make them part of a
4	BHIP team.
5	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Apologize because
6	I'm going back and forth looking between three
7	and eight.
8	MR. POTOCZNIAK: So
9	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: And you're exactly
10	right. I mean, when you're listing now your
11	three major points under peer support, Mike, you
12	address every one of those needs dealing
13	specifically from the voice of the veteran of
14	what you heard and then calling out why you think
15	the increase is needed.
16	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Right.
17	MS. LARUE: I think then referring to
18	8 so that our readers who actually read from
19	beginning to end know that we are aware that
20	we're addressing that in two places is we need
21	to do that.
22	So Jake, you brought up something.

You were talking the charges for this workgroup, and you mentioned prescription medication. And I think you were alluding to the lack of reference to that here.

And I did want to make the point that 5 a small section could be composed to add to this 6 7 from the focus group material. It was not one of the most prominent things, but I can tell you 8 9 what -- the veterans who brought up prescription medication tended to say things, like, all they 10 want to do is medicate me, and I want to do these 11 12 other things. So I had to get a different 13 doctor. Or I'm really trying to -- worked hard 14 to get off medication.

So it does support the concept that 15 16 veterans are interested in CIH and having their 17 care be something more than the standard talk 18 therapy and medication. So if that's something 19 that you would like added, I think that there's 20 enough content to make a small section --21 subsection to add that to this discussion. 22 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Personally, I

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

1	believe it's important because it's something
2	that was spelled out as one of our charges
3	through the legislation for us to review or get
4	input back from the veterans. And so you already
5	told me that you and Mike got input back.
6	So I would at least include something
7	that we have listened to the voice of the
8	veterans. And then also I think from the
9	clinical side, you have to take them through
10	which we are doing to the evidence-based
11	practices of why it is necessary to start out in
12	the medication realm, if you will, to begin with
13	and probably stay with to some degree.
14	But it's a matter of degree if they
15	have complementary or integrative health that
16	actually does. To Wayne's point, does it act as
17	a placebo? And if it comes down a recovery path
18	for well being, so be it. And so that's great,
19	right?
20	MS. LARUE: Placebos work, right?
21	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: But you have to
22	remember. I mean, I heard three years ago when I

first came on board from the voice of the 1 2 veterans that in their eyes, there was a lot of overmedication. I think that's why the 3 congressional writeup asked us to review that and 4 5 ask the veterans about that. And I think that in many cases, there 6 7 are veterans that don't or did not early on. Ι 8 think the care is so much better now on the 9 clinical side with PACT teams, and we've discovered that in our 17 months about having 10 11 great conversations with why they need to start 12 on this path. 13 MS. LARUE: So what I can say with 14 confidence, though it was not one of the most prominent themes, that's what I tried to pick out 15 16 to include here, not a single veteran said, I wish I could be medicated more. So what would be 17 18 added would be commentary about looking for 19 alternatives to medication. 20 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yeah, I quess I hear 21 what Jake is saying. We should comment on that

22

because it is part of the charge. I don't know

if the feedback is truly representative of kind of the topic.

3	That's the only issue is if you have
4	a lot of veterans saying basically we're getting
5	meds shoved in our face, there are veterans out
6	there that definitely would they weren't in
7	these focus groups. But there are definitely
8	lots of veterans out there that'll say, it's hard
9	for me to get the medication I need. They just
10	weren't represented in the focus groups.
11	And so I'm worried that the message
12	would be sent in this report that we're kind of
13	saying veterans want less of this, when actually
14	the wait list for psychiatry are super long, so -
15	_
16	MS. LARUE: So I have
17	MR. POTOCZNIAK: So it's
18	MS. LARUE: a point to ask for
19	clarification. When you say, those that don't
20	get the medication they need, are the not getting
21	care at all?
22	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yeah, either well,

I

1

2

1	either they're not getting they can't get a
2	psychiatry appointment. They need to get their
3	meds transferred and they're having difficulty
4	with that.
5	Like, there's lots of issues when it
6	comes to medication that aren't really in the
7	focus groups because they're speaking you're
8	talking about a very we talked about this
9	being a convenience sample. And basically, the
10	people that showed up are the people that are in
11	the system most times.
12	MS. LARUE: Right.
13	MR. POTOCZNIAK: And so therefore,
14	they've been offered psychiatry and either
15	refused it or had a bad experience with
16	medication which happens. But you have also
17	plenty of people that weren't there that have
18	good experiences, didn't speak up because it's
19	not a problem for them. And also people that
20	have tried to get appointments and can't because
21	there's a lack of availability.
22	There's whole systems that don't have

psychiatry, whole sections of healthcare systems. 1 2 So I guess I get worried about using that as answering -- using that section, using those 3 4 quotes to answer that part of the charge. 5 MS. LARUE: I quess if the charge is 6 in the spirit of considering CIH options as what they are, complementary care, I feel pretty 7 8 confident that the content that we could add 9 could be couched in those terms without misrepresenting what the veteran said. 10 11 I mean, people, they love their yoga 12 and their tai chi and their acupuncture. And they find a lot of value in that. And I think 13 14 that a lot of the guotes about medication are tied to, don't take away my yoga because that's 15 16 helping me too. So I think it could be done in a 17 way that wouldn't discount people who are not 18 getting care. 19 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Okay. 20 MS. LARUE: So we can work -- if 21 everyone is comfortable with it, we're going to Then Mike and I can work together on 22 add that.

making sure that it doesn't accidentally imply something about the clinical reality that is not correct.

4 MR. ROSE: If I may, on this, I mean, 5 we've talked about it before, and we say that each veteran is an individual. And so we need to 6 7 have a toolkit that is available for that 8 individual. And just so we state it properly 9 because maybe that medication may not work for an individual. But the CIH may work, and I think we 10 11 have to keep that in mind. 12 Thank you.

I think that would be a 13 MR. JONAS: 14 great approach to do this. And it's consistent 15 then with what we've talked about in 16 Recommendation 1 too which is saying there needs 17 to be a personalized plan for each veteran. 18 Because we've seen this in the opioid 19 It's just, like, we way overused drugs epidemic. 20 for pain management and neglected some of the

21 non-drug approaches. And then the response to 22 that was, well, just take away the opioids,

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

right? Now we're seeing a backlash. Wait a 1 2 I can't get my opioids, and I need them. minute. And I have cancer or I have whatever. 3 And so it really needs to be 4 5 integrated and personalized to each. So it has to be appropriate delivery of good evidence-based 6 grounded care for that particular individual and 7 8 customized to that. That's where personalized 9 care comes in, and I think that's what the veterans are actually asking for. 10 I also have a 11 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: 12 suggestion to consider, and it would be a 13 generalized statement that might go like this 14 because there's so many comments that we can't 15 address every single comment to say during the 16 course of its discovery, the COVER Commission had 17 the privilege of hearing from hundreds of 18 veterans and getting input. 19 We would recommend that since the 20 Commission cannot address every comment that a 21 high-level task force be empowered with expedited 22 powers within six months to address those that

are not specifically covered by the Commission. 1 2 What I'm worried about is you had somebody out there. He or she made a comment. 3 4 The ten things don't necessarily address that. But I think if the VA with the undersecretary 5 appoints the Assistant Chief of Staff to take a 6 7 look at these with about five people across the 8 system and say, are we addressing these? Are we 9 not? We have a six-month time period to 10 11 address these in and then publish them as part of 12 the overall educational thing. It may help us deal with some of these loose ends that we can't 13 14 get to. Just it's a thought. I like that, and 15 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I like it because it's transparent. And it also 16 17 answers the mail as far as outreach, better 18 outreach to veterans. And I think, Mike, that you've done a 19 20 great job of linking back to number one when I 21 looked at access to mental health care and your 22 eight or nine bullet points that you had on page

77 as well. I just read those seeing that they
 were done. So it's matched up better now, Wendy,
 than what it was.

What I would also recommend on top of 4 5 what Admiral Beeman just stated was that there be something in there that we've discussed for 16 6 7 months now and along with the Secretary. Why is 8 the VA not doing what the private sector is doing 9 as far as better communicating and receiving feedback on a daily basis from their veteran 10 11 population that is receiving care?

12 So it's answering the five basic 13 questions that we all talked about at the 14 previous meeting. So somewhere in there I would 15 say, and I would imagine knowing the current 16 Secretary and the executive in charge that we are 17 working on this diligently to do it.

But I think that from the Commission, we should make a note of that, that this should be a new ongoing common practice to outreach to those veterans, first of all, on available care but also how was their care. Are they getting

1	better in their eyes? Are they receiving the
2	best care that they deserve for their mental
3	health issues? Is that fair?
4	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yeah.
5	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: I think you could
6	actually include that in the recommendation and
7	say, and develop a feedback mechanism that
8	specifically addresses.
9	What I'm afraid might happen is Mike's
10	group went through an awful lot to collect all of
11	this information, and people went all over the
12	country to collect it. And we if we don't have
13	some mechanism to continue to review that, all of
14	that resource will just be lost.
15	And so getting a formalized mechanism
16	together to address it, I think, is a way not to
17	lose it. Because I don't think we're going to be
18	able in one week, one month, or one year to be
19	able to address all the concerns they have out
20	there. But I think the VA can.
21	MS. LARUE: Tom, do you see that as
22	something that Congress needs to make VA do, or

that VA can do that on their own? 1 2 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: I think the VA leadership -- I don't think it's a congressional 3 4 issue. I think the VA -- any good leadership 5 team, and they seem like they are, wants to deal with the feedback. I think if we provide the 6 7 feedback an sort of urge them to -- I don't think 8 we should urge the report back to Congress on it. 9 I think it's the way you run an 10 organization. You get feedback from both your 11 staff that provide the services from the people 12 that you serve and you try to make improvements. 13 I'm just worried that we might lose all this good 14 stuff that we came up with. MS. LARUE: So based on that 15 16 clarification, I would recommend two things. One 17 being that we add an Executive Branch subhead at 18 the top of everything that's there that is an 19 overarching Executive Branch recommendation that 20 captures all of this. 21 And then the other would be to add the reference to -- we talked to lots of veterans and 22

this is a snapshot. And VA needs to keep doing 1 2 this. And the concept of doing what is done in the public sector every day to the letter which 3 4 is the very reason why we will not be signing the 5 actual letter today but rather providing 6 signatures because we wanted to have the 7 flexibility to make changes there. 8 The reason I recommend that is exactly 9 what you're saying. We don't want to lose that content. And I think if the veterans are what 10 11 comes first, making sure that it's in that letter, probably that'll be the most read part of 12 13 our report. So getting it there as well is not overkill, I believe. 14 So I want to just be 15 MR. POTOCZNIAK: 16 sensitive to get through any other comments I 17 had. Did anybody else want to talk about --18 MS. MAGUEN: I was going to say that 19 I really love this section because I think you 20 guys did a fantastic job of really making the 21 voices come alive and also balancing kind of the 22 positive with the negative. So I really

appreciate the concerted effort to include quotations from both of those experience. So I think that this will -- and I also love sort of making that come to life even more through embedding quotations throughout.

One -- these are very, very minor 6 But some thoughts that I had. 7 tweaks. I was really struck by the implementation piece about -8 9 - or the veterans quotation about being taken to collection for community care. And I'm wondering 10 if one piece that we want to add is more 11 12 education about the process of community care and 13 having a patient facing document.

And this may already exist, but I'm not aware of this, where you hand something to the veteran saying, here's the process. You're going to be responsible for these payments or sometimes the VA will cover all of it.

19 So whatever it is, to really outline 20 that and have some kind of -- that we recommend 21 that some kind of a document be handed out so 22 that people understand the process a lot better.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

And I think that can really help with surprise 1 2 around these kind of situations. The difficulty -- I 3 MR. POTOCZNIAK: 4 Difficulty with a document like that is agree. 5 that it would have to be customized for eligibility groups. 6 7 MS. MAGUEN: Right. I see that. 8 MR. POTOCZNIAK: And because it's 9 drastically different from Eligibility 1 to Eligibility -- what is it, 9? So it's very -- it 10 11 can be very different. So a simple document 12 makes sense. But you'd be alerting people to 13 things that they may not experience in that 14 document. Right, yeah. 15 MS. MAGUEN: So I think 16 what I'm struggling with, and I don't have the 17 perfect solution to this, is just creating 18 something that can just really provide some 19 background for the veterans. Because I think 20 oftentimes what happens is that they might not 21 have the full knowledge. 22 And so there's gaps in terms of the

1 knowledge that they have when they're seeking
2 community care. And then they're surprised by
3 certain things that happen. So I'm very open to
4 how we want to say that. But just recognizing
5 that something like that could be helpful.
6 MR. KHAN: If I may add to it, peer

7 support specialists, that link to my knowledge --8 because I am one of them. And even otherwise 9 when I go and see my psychiatrist, I have to fill out certain information. It is included in sales 10 11 data. And I don't know how the hierarchy is 12 looking at it. But this feedback that we are 13 trying to get is available in the system.

14 So my question to you guys are whoever you interviewed, X, Y, Z veterans, they do not 15 16 give the complete pictures of the veterans 17 community. I personally know veterans who are 18 living on opioid. So they wanted themself to get 19 off of it. They were taken off of it. But then 20 they had pain.

So the provider gave them Tylenol,
liquid form. That liquid form is 100 percent

Now they're trying to get away from 1 alcohol. 2 alcohol. Now they have the same issue. It's a clinic which is giving them the medicine. 3 And 4 for them, they're living much worse than ever 5 before. So I think be cautious of the group 6 that you interviewed. Just like Admiral Beeman 7 8 mentioned, that we are not looking at the entire 9 picture. We are just taking what was given to 10 us. 11 Thank you. 12 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Thanks, Jamil. 13 MS. LARUE: Mike, I'm wondering if 14 that education piece could be handled under the transition issue because I think one of the big 15 16 problems is service members come from federal 17 healthcare. And so they don't get that education 18 at 18 or 22 on how to deal with insurance. 19 And that, I think, is where the 20 disconnect happens, that they don't understand if 21 I miss an appointment, I'm going to be charged for that. And oh, I don't have money to pay for 22

(202) 234-4433

150-dollar appointment that I didn't go to. 1 2 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yeah, that could be captured in that area, I think, yeah. 3 4 MS. LARUE: Okay. Wendy, I had two more 5 MR. KUNTZ: pieces on this section. So one on page 76 under 6 7 the second -- or it's the fourth heading down 8 under complementary and integrative health. We 9 have a pretty substantial white paper that was developed by Duty 5 that I would like to see 10 11 referenced as an appendix if there's someone from 12 Congress that wants to know kind of why that one 13 is there and why it came, that there is more 14 data. And then on page 77, the second bullet 15 16 from the bottom. And I'm really grateful for 17 this one. Part of it was generated out of the 18 Montana visit and what we saw. It says, rural 19 veterans should have painless access to care. 20 And I guess I would prefer that we use a term 21 like seamless. Pain is pretty specific in this 22 context.

1 Thank you. 2 MR. POTOCZNIAK: So there were two other points I wanted to make. Actually, maybe 3 only one being we've kind of talked about it. 4 So 5 the one about eligibility difficulties, I just wanted to say this one was part of the -- we 6 haven't really talked about this as much. 7 But this kind of, for me, came out of 8 9 the Fort Belknap visit which is you have combat veterans sitting in Montana that have tried for 10 two or three years to get eligibility completed 11 12 for the VA. And that is totally unacceptable. 13 And so you have to consider that 14 veterans don't understand. Like, there's so much 15 information given to you at discharge, et cetera, 16 et cetera, that you can't process. And it would 17 be so much easier to automatically have veterans 18 -- combat veterans registered. 19 It's just they're entitled to the 20 service. Why not register them there? Why make 21 them come down from Fort Belknap to get registered two hours away and then have to go 22

back home to get more documentation, and then go back again and then give up because they can't do it.

If the VA is trying to improve access and reaching out to veterans and helping with veteran suicide, you've got to remove some of the basis barriers. And that eligibility system is the most archaic part of the VA.

9 So I just wanted to emphasize that 10 because we hadn't really talked about it as much 11 and that did come out of a breathtaking visit to 12 Fort Belknap where it was just amazing how much 13 people had been trying to get basic eligibility 14 for the VA. And they're combat veterans sitting 15 there not able to get basic services.

16 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I think every 17 Commissioner 100 percent agrees with that, Mike, 18 and fully supportive of that, seeing that for my 19 three years and it's ongoing.

20 And I gave a couple of Commissioners 21 a great side story to that about a Vietnam 22 veteran who I approached, I'm not going to go

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

into detail at this meeting, that had no idea 1 2 that he was even eligible for VA care. Over 35 years and found out that he did, and he started. 3 4 He got enrolled. It was seamless. It was 5 painless. And he's been amazed at the quality of care, and all of this took place in the last two 6 7 months to that story. But you're right. Eligibility needs 8

y to be cleaned up. I love the portal idea, but I think it should be done prior to that service member being discharged. And I think that's called out as one of the action steps as well. So well done.

MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yeah, thank you.
CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: One of the
challenges about a huge bureaucracy, and I think
we can look to the military. The way they run

18 them is that you can -- and big systems like the 19 Navy and the Army. You have protocols you have 20 to follow. But it's really your sergeants and 21 your lieutenants that get to make the independent 22 decision making that addresses some of this

2	And one of the things I would think
3	the VA would want to look at is, do our
4	lieutenants have the flexibility to make mistakes
5	but to help veterans as opposed to, you know
6	what? We have a book here that says you can't do
7	it. And so that's just a thought.
8	Having said that and also back to my
9	earlier comment, I would be okay with that one
10	proviso about it being in the letter it
11	doesn't have to be in the document that says,
12	we have a unique opportunity here. We collected
13	data. It took us a lot to get the data. You
14	might as well use it kind of thing.
15	But having heard everyone, with the
16	revisions that both Mike and you all suggested,
17	I'd like to second Mike's recommendation from
18	this task force and then ask the Chairman to put
19	this out for discussion.
20	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: We have a formal
21	second to Commissioner Potoczniak's
22	Recommendation 3. Is there any further

discussion at this point? 1 2 (No audible response.) CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: 3 Hearing none, I would like to start again with Commissioner Tom 4 5 Harvey. Yay or nay? 6 MR. HARVEY: Yay. 7 MR. KHAN: Yay. 8 MR. KUNTZ: Yay. 9 MR. JONAS: What are we actually voting on? We're not voting on the entire 10 11 recommendation, right? 12 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Three. MR. JONAS: I'd like to defer because 13 I don't know if there's been sufficient 14 15 discussion on changes -- the actual changes that 16 need to be made in this at this point. I mean, I 17 had some additional things I wanted to ask about, 18 so --19 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Then let's hold. 20 I asked Wayne if there was further discussion. 21 You deferred. 22 MR. JONAS: I thought there was a

specific --1 2 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: So now we will 3 rewind the tape --MR. JONAS: 4 I'm sorry. CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: -- while we have 5 three yays, and let's have further discussion. 6 7 MR. JONAS: I apologize. 8 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: No problem. 9 MR. JONAS: My sense is that part of what this entire discussion was and what 10 11 Commissioner Beeman recommended is -- in terms of 12 the committee and this type of thing is that the VA needs to improve its responsiveness to 13 veterans in a realtime basis. And it needs to 14 develop tools and systems that personalize the 15 16 care so that it can actually respond to the needs of the veterans on an individual basis. 17 18 I don't see a recommendation at all to 19 that, and it needs to be proactive which is a 20 particular requirement. And actually, I see a 21 lot of recommendations in there that say do this 22 and that. Provide access to specific things that

came out of the groups.

2	But I don't see anything in here that
3	actually addresses this overarching thing,
4	Chairman, that I think you were trying to get at
5	earlier. And I'm just wondering if that needs to
6	be put in here. Or is that a bridge too far at
7	this point?
8	And then I had a second question which
9	I just didn't understand. Under the bottom of
10	page 75, it says, adopt the Improved Well-Being
11	for Veterans Act to help provide grants to
12	support I just don't know what that is. I
13	don't know what the Improved Veterans' Well-Being
14	Act is or what's in that.
15	So anyway, those were just
16	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Good point.
17	MR. JONAS: a few things.
18	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I mean, that's why
19	I spent 45 minutes going back and re-reading
20	everything that was put together by Wendy and
21	Mike and the team that worked on this. And just
22	on the Executive Branch of what we're asking,

there are a lot things to your point. 1 2 I look at these as action steps and are they actually -- again, to use the reference, 3 4 in turn -- answering the mail as to what that particular workgroup was supposed to do. 5 Ι believe they do after I read them extensively now 6 in the last 15 minutes. 7 8 Going through in my mind, Wayne, 9 probably what you're struggling with, are we 10 really getting to the main things that came out of the surveys and focus groups -- I should say 11 12 focus groups -- that came out loud and clear? 13 And I had the same trepidations you did until I 14 went through each one and then saw the linkage 15 of, okay, what do we expect the VA, Congress, or 16 the Executive Branch to do? 17 And to me, it works now because, I 18 mean, there's a -- I'll just use the very first 19 Address barriers that contribute to one. 20 eligibility difficulties in the VA. We just got 21 done discussing that. We all agreed to that. We all know that needs to be done. 22

1	Similar to the VA claims portal, the
2	VA should create an eligibility portal online
3	that will allow veterans to upload eligibility
4	documents. That is an essential call out and
5	step and call to action for the VA to take.
6	And I think that when I went through
7	each one, Wayne, it got me to where three came
8	out from the voice of the veteran. And also
9	deals loud and clear with peer support to what
10	Jack referenced earlier. And I said, well, maybe
11	we're conflating the two. So I went ahead to
12	look at eight and we're really not.
13	They both are standalones because one
14	is the voice of the veteran and the other one is
15	an actual recommendation of how to implement that
16	even better within the entire system which we go
17	back to your number one.
18	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Jake, I don't
19	disagree. My worry is there's 380,000 VA
20	employees and six million people that actually
21	get services. So we could have 6,380,000
22	different recommendations based on everybody

having one thought.

2	And I think trying to address some of
3	the things that we heard is good. But I agree
4	with Wayne to having an overarching
5	recommendation that says, develop a feedback
6	mechanism for those we serve and create a
7	mechanism to address that feedback and integrate
8	it into quality is much more important than
9	because we could've done 500 other veterans and
10	gotten 500 other issues.
11	The key here is let's not lose what we
12	heard. But in addition to that, there doesn't
13	seem to be a formal mechanism at the local or
14	national level to address some of these issues or
15	they wouldn't keep coming up.
16	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: And I think that's
17	what we as a Commission have been discussing at
18	least the last six months, at least. And I think
19	I did say the same thing about half an hour ago
20	is that actually they're in the report. So what
21	I think you're calling out, both Commissioner
22	Jonas and Commissioner Beeman, is it should be in

the recommendation.

2	And so what I would suggest at this
3	point is that we parking lot that particular
4	piece and have Wendy and Mike work on the wording
5	along with Admiral Beeman and myself and Dr.
6	Jonas. Does that make sense as far as including
7	that as an action item for the VA to take?
8	MR. POTOCZNIAK: So are we talking
9	about adding a recommendation, or
10	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yes.
11	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Oh, okay.
12	MR. JONAS: We can vote on it
13	currently, but then that would need to be voted
14	on, developed and voted on separately. Or I
15	mean, is that what you're talking about?
16	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: No.
17	MR. JONAS: No? Okay.
18	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: No, I was going to
19	include that piece which is an action item for
20	the VA to do under the recommendation that we're
21	currently voting on. So it would not be a
22	separate recommendation. It would be

1	MR. JONAS: No, no. I agree. I
2	agree.
3	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: an action step.
4	MR. JONAS: But the vote might be done
5	separately on that. Or should we table the
6	entire vote until that's been put in?
7	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yeah, I think that
8	we're going to have to have some trust. And
9	Wayne, you will see the document again. So I
10	think the right thing to do at this time is that
11	somebody is taking notes and we have parking lot
12	items at this point.
13	So every one of those parking lot
14	items, at the end of this meeting after the vote
15	will be given back to each Commissioner and who's
16	responsible and by when to complete the parking
17	lot items. And then there'll be a turnaround
18	time for us to review prior to the final report
19	to make sure that those have been written and
20	included as noted. Matt.
21	MR. KUNTZ: We already have that as a
22	recommendation. I mean, that is the whole

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

I

thing that you talked about was that feedback 1 2 And I mean, we have 20 pages discussing loop. And yes, it may make sense to come after 3 that. 4 the veterans' experience as Mike and I suggested 5 before. But if we add another recommendation 6 for another feedback loop -- you captured this. 7 8 You captured this in your recommendation. And 9 maybe we need to talk about how the recommendations are linked. But it was fully 10 11 covered in your recommendation. 12 The feedback loop, the system that 13 learns from the veterans' experience, the talk of 14 the clinicians, that is in that person centered recommendation. So that would be my -- instead 15 16 of adding additional implementation steps, refer 17 back to the --18 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: I'm fine with that. 19 I don't disagree. I just worry that we can't

focus on each individual thought that somebody
had on whatever particular day. And you know how
a lot of this becomes anecdotal. You go to your

(202) 234-4433

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

doctor's office. You wait 15 minutes. 1 You get 2 really PO'd, and that's what you remember. The fact that he gave you exceptional 3 4 care or she did, you forget. And maybe it 5 happened that day, so that's what you're thinking And I agree. I think Wayne has it in his 6 about. 7 section. The VA has to have a systematic way to 8 get feedback from both its staff as well as the 9 people they serve. And they have to have a formal mechanism to then address those issues. 10 11 I mean, there's not an organization 12 that I've ever been associated with that is a 13 quality organization that doesn't have that kind 14 of feedback loop. I think it is probably adequately addressed. One of the problems is, is 15 16 that we're going through these things and we 17 forget there's another place in the document. 18 And I think that's good that you brought that 19 out. 20 So you know, again, I go back to If you know, if Dr. Jonas is satisfied 21 earlier. 22 for what we have in one, that based on what we've

heard and the amendments we've already made, I'd 1 2 like to second -- again, second what Dr. Potoczniak came up with. Because I think it was 3 very thoughtful and laborious. I can't even tell 4 you how laborious it was. 5 Well, let me just say 6 MR. POTOCZNIAK: 7 straight out this document, the interviews would not have been possible without Wendy who made the 8 9 tremendous effort in this whole series of 10 implementation steps and gathering all the data 11 and all that other stuff. So I just want to make 12 sure Wendy gets some props for doing that. And 13 really, it's been a pleasure working with you. 14 Several months ago, we MR. HARVEY: 15 heard from Dr. Lynda Davis about, I guess, the 16 SAIL program. I don't know what the acronym 17 stands for. But wouldn't she, if she were here, 18 say, this is what we do? We are trying to 19 solicit feedback and articulate --20 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: And I know Lynda. 21 And Lynda, yeah, it was not SAIL. It's Veteran 22 Experience Office, and they do have a new

technology now that is doing just that, Tom. And it's supposed to get there. So I think what we're doing is just reinforcing the obvious.

4 MR. HARVEY: Rather than saying you 5 should recreate another entity or another office 6 to do it.

7 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: And I think the 8 way the entire report is written, 100-plus pages 9 at this point with all the actions steps from 10 each branch. When you look at it holistically, 11 going to back to Wayne, starting with number one 12 -- and I'll get back to you. A lot of things are 13 already there like Admiral Beeman stated.

14 So a couple of these are going to be, I'm glad that the Commission addressed it. Yes, 15 16 it's probably still something that's out there. 17 But we have fixes for it. And I would venture to 18 say that in most cases, the VA is doing a lot of 19 the things that we're asking or looking at. So 20 it's going to be -- it's going to reinforce what 21 I hope faster implementation. Or all of us 22 Commissioners hope is faster implementation.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

	-
1	And then the big piece is, are they
2	willing to do what Wayne in the workgroup and the
3	rest of the Commissioners voted on with
4	Recommendation 1, which is a big ask but the
5	right thing to do if you're going to have the
6	best mental health and healthcare system in the
7	world for our veterans. And that's what that is
8	all about.
9	So I think at this time, I heard a
10	second on Recommendation 3. For the last time,
11	is there any further discussion on Recommendation
12	3?
13	(No audible response.)
14	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Hearing none, if
15	I may start again with Commissioner Harvey.
16	MR. HARVEY: Yay.
17	MR. KHAN: Yay.
18	MR. KUNTZ: Yay.
19	MR. JONAS: Yay.
20	MR. AMIDON: Yay.
21	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yay.
22	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Yay.

1	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yay.
2	MS. MAGUEN: Yay.
3	MR. ROSE: Yay.
4	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Unanimously yay'd
5	by the Commission, and thank you for that. And
6	thank you, Commissioner Potoczniak, for the great
7	work along with Wendy and the entire support team
8	for getting us through a very difficult thing. I
9	know you struggled with, Mike, personally,
10	through all the hoops for the first six months,
11	and it got to the finish line. Well done.
12	I think we have time to jump into
13	Recommendation 4 before our lunch break.
14	MS. LARUE: I think so. So
15	Recommendation 4 starts on page 80. And Matt, I
16	think this is yours.
17	MR. KUNTZ: All right. Thanks, Wendy.
18	So Recommendation 4 came out of Duty 5, specific
19	Duty 5A. And a lot of that focus on suicide
20	prevention, while the committee was at work,
21	different things were happening around the
22	country. And there was a new Commission started

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

I

by the President last march, I believe. And we
 made a change in leadership in suicide
 prevention.

So it was a really active time for suicide prevention, and our group tried to focus on super specific things that we could add that would deliver some value to the larger ecosystem of suicide for veterans.

9 And we had the main focus, and I will cover the findings. The risk assessment in 10 11 suicide prevention research, there was pretty 12 clear evidence that we need more. We just don't 13 have enough research to be able to say about how 14 to prevent veteran suicide. That systematic review that we relied on for that statement is 15 16 very clear. We took the language directly from 17 there.

But one of the more -- the second piece is one or more proven suicide prevention methodologies has been fully utilized as a suicide assessment and follow engagement program in the emergency rooms. And that was one of the

ones that was really highlighted by Dr. Stanley 1 2 in her -- when we went to Columbia Psychiatry. It's a well developed program. 3 It's got a lot of research behind it. And it does 4 5 make sense that those veterans that come to care at the emergency room that are suicidal, we do 6 7 need a more consistent way of engaging them. And 8 while there may be an ability to do that in the 9 flagship VAs, how about some of those other facilities? 10 11 So this is a way to provide that via 12 telehealth and it has a lot of evidence behind And then also just that logic of those folks 13 it. 14 are in serious need. And if we have an evidencebased way -- bless you -- to deal with it, it 15 16 makes sense. 17 The next one up is the lethal means 18 storage options. And basically when the veterans 19 are counseled to go find some help in storing 20 their weapons, that's great. But what does that 21 mean for it actually on the ground? Who do they 22 work with? And this would create a grant program

to help those communities develop those lethal means storage options.

And what's nice about a community 3 4 grant program is working within mental health and 5 being involved with commitments. The commitment laws vary from state to state. The gun laws vary 6 7 from state to state. So the VA is not going to 8 wade into that. The federal government is not 9 going to wade into that. That is a local level thing. But it's critical for the VA to make sure 10 11 that those options are available for the veterans 12 that need them.

13 The next piece that we focused on was 14 kind of the overall suicide messaging platform for the VA and how to describe suicide. 15 And we 16 saw this on a number of different occasions where 17 people would focus on mental health or they would 18 focus on this or that. And it would kind of get lost in the weeds, and the suggestion was to 19 20 create a larger platform that would include both 21 environmental factors and the susceptibility. 22 Really developed with Dr. Mann of

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

www.nealrgross.com

Columbia Psychiatry. They've had that diathesisstress model, a version about that for decades that has stood up well within the research and saying the suicide prevention model has to be able to cover things like adverse childhood experience.

7 It has to be able to cover -- have the 8 flexibility to cover people with mental illness 9 who are at higher risk of it but also include divorce and the reality that divorce is a risk 10 factor for suicide. But not everybody that gets 11 a divorce goes suicidal. 12 So that model has that 13 flexibility, and that is the kind of guidance 14 that the VA can help give our local communities 15 that are working to develop suicide prevention 16 programs.

17 One of the other pieces that seems a 18 little bit unrelated at first is the VA 19 disability rating system. But work is a critical 20 part of mental health. And not being successful 21 in your career is a high risk factor for suicide. 22 And Dr. Shana Bakken's presentation to our

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

Commission on March 12th really highlighted that. 1 2 And what this is saying is that the VA should eliminate the penalties for veterans that 3 4 do go try and develop their vocation, even though 5 they have benefits. And this will allow the veteran to continue to try to engage in vocation 6 7 without worrying that they may do well for a while, lose their benefits, and then have their 8 9 mental health symptoms come back up and end up in a worse state than they were before. 10 11 So this supports -- provides a 12 baseline support for that veteran's recovery that 13 can't be taken away. So these are really 14 specific issues. And as you go into the 15 implementation steps, it does add support for the 16 public health model that's been utilized really 17 well in Arizona as we saw and then as some of the 18 Commissioners saw in Montana as well. 19 Are there any questions? 20 MR. POTOCZNIAK: One small question or 21 point. The -- about revising or about the 22 evidence of employment part. I guess my only

1	exception to that would be for the unemployable
2	veterans, the people that achieve 100 percent
3	through means of being unemployed because that
4	part that's a major part of it, right?
5	And if they're not, I can see
6	something being implemented where it says, okay,
7	we can't look at employment. So then how do you
8	even judge employability basically? Because
9	there is that. You know what I'm talking about?
10	MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. So this
11	recommendation would not be in terms of setting
12	them. But just the idea that, okay, if you're
13	100 percent, go try and do something. Like,
14	okay, we deemed you unemployable, so your
15	disability rating has been set. But the idea
16	that so this is not to help people gain the
17	system.
18	But once that rating is set that you
19	can go get on a Fiverr website and have somebody
20	pay you to do drawings or go try to drive for
21	Uber. Go try to do something without the fear
22	that your benefits might get taken away. So it's

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

not in terms of setting. It has nothing to do
 with setting. It's purely in terms of not taking
 them away.

MR. POTOCZNIAK: So a little bit -but a little bit different because the 100 percent rating, right? The 100 IU or unemployability rating is usually -- so you only get that when you are unemployed.

9 So if you start working, you lose your unemployment status and then you will go back to 10 11 70 percent, 60 percent, or whatever it is. So 12 when you're unemployed because of your symptoms, 13 right, you may have a 70 percent rating, right? 14 But then become 100 percent because the symptoms have gotten so bad with that rating that you're 15 16 now -- we say that you cannot work.

And if you do work, we will take away that unemployability status. So that's a whole class of people that I just want to make sure you're aware of because it's a large group of 100 percent people that literally the VA has said, if you work, this goes away.

(202) 234-4433

And it's different than the ratings --1 2 all the other ratings, the 100 percenters that are not on employability. Like, there is a class 3 of people they just said, we're giving this to 4 5 you because you can't work. And if you do start working, you lose this. 6 So there's a little bit of a piece I 7 8 just want to make sure you're aware of it in 9 saying that because it would definitely get 10 brought up. You can't -- you would -- like, see 11 what I'm saying? 12 MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. 13 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Okay. 14 If I may add to it, Mike, MR. KHAN: The unemployability, 100 percent, 15 you're right. 16 is a different category. But if a veteran is 17 given 100 percent disability, total, they can't 18 go back to work. That condition is not for them. 19 But unemployability, yes, you're right there because then they lose their 100 percent is 20 21 reverted back to 70 percent. I just want to make sure that clarification. 22

	т. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
1	MR. ROSE: If I may, though, back to
2	the other point, not the 100 percent
3	unemployability. I mean, you see that so many
4	times in the civilian community. Work is such an
5	important part of your recovery. And it's a
6	tradeoff between how much you make and what your
7	benefit is. And you go so high and you're
8	working and then you lose your benefit.
9	I mean, it's the same way here. If
10	somebody is working, I hope that doesn't end up
11	in losing your benefit because they need both.
12	They really do. And I'm not talking about 100
13	percent. I'm talking about the range in between.
14	MR. POTOCZNIAK: To get the
15	unemployability status, you have to have a rating
16	to start off with that I believe is 70 percent or
17	something like the 70 percent. And so you're
18	given this status, and some people maintain it
19	for a very long time.
20	And so you don't lose the whole thing,
21	but you will lose a huge chunk of your benefits
22	when you start working again. And I just some
21	but you will lose a huge chunk of your benefits

people stay on it for a very long time. 1 2 MR. KUNTZ: And I guess that that's my point is that we've set up a system that 3 4 penalizes people for working. And we've set up a 5 system that -- I've seen it. I've seen people 6 not even try because they're so worried that they've get reduced and then something bad will 7 8 happen in that job which there's a high 9 likelihood that'll happen. And then they're out of it. Like, so then how they care for their 10 11 family. 12 I mean, this recommendation is about 13 -- or this subpiece of this recommendation is 14 about setting up a penalty for people to try 15 employment. And yeah, the VA has a lot of 16 different ways that they try to do that. But 17 that is the goal is we've got all of these 18 employment systems. 19 And I was with a lady from vocational 20 rehab said was we get these veterans ready to go 21 to work. And then they're so terrified that they're going to lose their benefits that then 22

they don't engage. And because -- and that's 1 2 what we're trying to get at on this recommendation. 3 And I think that there's probably 4 5 plenty of nuance that you could pull into it or out of it. But that is the overall takeaway is 6 7 that vocational -- making vocation a risk. 8 MR. POTOCZNIAK: So what I would just 9 propose as far as implementation goes with this 10 is that you somehow exempt the IU people out of 11 that process because the process is good. What 12 you're talking about is good with probably 90 13 percent of the veterans out there, right, that 14 have regular ratings. It makes sense what you're 15 saying. But you got to keep in mind that you got 16 to -- if you don't exempt those people with 17 unemployability, I don't know what happens to 18 that at that point. So if you exempt the 19 unemployable status people, then this 20 recommendation or implementation makes more sense 21 to me. If you don't, then it kind of -- I don't 22 know what they're going to do with the

unemployability status.

2	MR. HARVEY: As a practical matter, I
3	don't think the VA very often takes the
4	disability payment away. I think that, I mean
5	theoretically they can do that. As a practical
6	matter, has anybody ever seen that happen?
7	MR. KUNTZ: And it did come up in Fort
8	Belknap, exactly this came up with one of the
9	veterans that we talked to. And because they had
10	a tribal veterans rep that was able to resolve it
11	was the only way that they were able to get it
12	fixed.
13	So I guess you bring up a very good
14	point, Commissioner Potoczniak. I do think that
15	the VA has the capacity to create a veterans
16	rating that doesn't penalize people for working.
17	And this may require them to go back to the
18	drawing board for some ways. Like what is a
19	benefit system that doesn't penalize people for
20	working or create the threat that they could be
21	penalized for working? And do think that they
22	may need to address that. But I believe that the

1	VA is capable of rising to that challenge.
2	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yeah, if we're
3	going to do the right thing for our veterans,
4	which is what this Commission is all about, first
5	of all, with mental health and now incorporating
6	it in total health, with whole health. To that
7	point, Matt, it fits perfectly as far as what has
8	been one of the obstacles.
9	And it also relates back to potential
10	suicidality by not being able to be employed,
11	which the current Secretary and I think the
12	executive in charge of VHA would also agree to
13	some degree to explore that, that it needs to be
14	because they know that a job is important.
15	Family structure is important. Being married and
16	remaining married is important, your kids around
17	you, et cetera, et cetera. We just had that
18	conversation yesterday.
19	So that being said, 17 months ago I
20	probably would've disagreed with this because I'm
21	looking at, oh, boy. How much is this going to
22	cost? What I've learned is: so what? Let's err

on the side of the veterans. Let's make it 1 2 easier for our veterans. And these veterans are not making a lot of money. And they have served 3 4 their country. They're less than the 1 percent. 5 So I think what you're asking and requesting is absolutely appropriate, and it matches with what 6 7 the Commission is about. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 MR. KUNTZ: 9 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: I have one question

10 and that's just for clarification, because I'm 11 hearing what Mike is saying, but I'm not sure I 12 completely understand the issue.

13 If we said something as a modifier at 14 the end of the sentence that said something like: 15 additionally, it is recommended that those deemed 16 unemployable not be affected at all if they try 17 to seek some meaningful work. Is that what I'm 18 hearing you say, or is that already covered? 19 MR. POTOCZNIAK: I get -- here's the thing. 20 A lot of -- so there's a sizable portion 21 of those veterans that are on like hospital 22 status, right? You get unemployability when you

go into the hospital. Sometimes it's short lived; sometimes it's longer.

And so the fear that I have is if it's 3 4 not addressed in the implementation steps is that 5 the VA will -- it could happen where people -- we could be actually affecting veterans' benefits if 6 they do it the wrong way. I actually -- while I 7 8 love the VA that I work for, I don't know that 9 implementation-wise it always is going to fall in the favor of the veteran. 10 I'm just going to be 11 straight out about it. It's politics. 12 And so there are veterans that 13 literally that are rated at 70 percent, become 14 100 percent unemployable, and they need that. And if the VA decides to basically get rid of 15 16 that or alter it in a way that makes it more 17 difficult to obtain, then you could lose the 18 unemployability status for large groups of

19 veterans.

1

2

20 MR. KUNTZ: You make a good point 21 about the short-term unemployability.

MR. ROSE: But just back to your

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1	example, Mike. Okay. So a person goes in the
2	hospital. And so he's there. He's unemployable.
3	How long does it take him once he's out of the
4	hospital and in recovery to get so he can be
5	employed?
6	MR. POTOCZNIAK: So if a veteran is
7	like in a domiciliary
8	MR. ROSE: Okay.
9	MR. POTOCZNIAK: he could be in
10	that domiciliary for six months, seven. I mean,
11	it could go on for a while. And then exactly
12	when do you we just because you're discharged,
13	do we now say that you're ready to work? It's
14	hard to gauge, and so that's why veterans will
15	stay on that list sometimes for years. I mean
16	you can speak to that probably even more than I
17	can. Just because it becomes this thing like
18	when is somebody done with regular disability?
19	That's really in the private sector. And that's
20	the VA's form of disability is this unemployable
21	status for people. But it's lumped in with all
22	the benefit. Their regular rating, it gets added

1

on. So yeah, anyway.

_	
2	MR. ROSE: Thank you.
3	MR. KHAN: In most cases, for example,
4	a veteran has a surgery. They remove his one
5	leg. While he's in the hospital, he's 100
6	percent. He's given unemployability. Then he
7	recovers and they give him the artificial limb.
8	He's back to employable. So the VA will take
9	that unemployability away from him. And this
10	happens in a lot of surgical specialities.
11	Thank you.
12	MR. KUNTZ: So from drafting this
13	standpoint, I think that where this would go is
14	we have it on page 85, the Executive Branch
15	recommendations, Revised 38 CFR Chapter 1, Part
16	4, to ensure that no evidence of unemployment of
17	a veteran will be used to reduce their veteran's
18	benefits.
19	And I think that adding long-term
20	veterans' benefits or Mike, if you know or if
21	someone on the team can come up with the kind of
22	unemployment that's for surgery or something that

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

is really short lived by design because it's 1 2 supposed to be. MR. POTOCZNIAK: So it would exempt 3 4 the IU ratings which is that's what that is. 5 Because those are the ones I'm talking about. 6 MR. KUNTZ: Okay. MR. POTOCZNIAK: 7 Individual unemployability. 8 9 MR. HARVEY: Is that what that reference to 38 CFR is? 10 11 Yeah, it would be in MR. POTOCZNIAK: It would be in that. 12 that. It's lumped in with So if you're addressing -- if you're 13 that. 14 basically saying, don't look at unemployability or don't look at employment status as a sign of 15 16 -- to affect the ratings basically. You just 17 want to make sure that then they don't mess with 18 the unemployability thing which works fairly 19 well. 20 And it specifically addresses employment. And veterans -- certain veterans do 21 22 need that, that are rated at 70 percent but can't

work anymore so they're 100. And so yeah, you'd 1 2 want to amend that or put something in basically exempting the IU status so they don't mess with 3 4 that. 5 MR. KHAN: You can just add the word after benefits, of individual unemployability. 6 That'll complete the sentence. 7 I'm afraid about having it 8 MR. KUNTZ: 9 be that specific. Like long-term disability seems like it avoids the short term, you know, 10 and we can work with the subject experts to find 11 12 out exactly what part of that reg fits in to what 13 you're describing. But I think as long as we 14 say, will not be used to reduce their long-term 15 benefits. Do -- I mean, do --16 MR. POTOCZNIAK: The terminology is 17 wrong because we don't do anything -- like the VA 18 doesn't have long term or short term. It has 19 permanent and it has temporary, right? And so 20 the ones you're speaking about are permanent and 21 temporary ratings. Okay? You don't want those

22

(202) 234-4433

touched.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

But you do want the individual -- you

www.nealrgross.com

want to exempt the individual unemployability 1 2 ratings separately because the temporary and -that's what you're talking as long term. 3 So 4 temporary and permanent are long term. 5 MR. KUNTZ: I quess from my recommendation, Mr. Chairman, is that we would 6 move on this with the idea that Dr. Potoczniak 7 8 and I would work with Wendy to ensure that the 9 language in that particular implementation step hits the right spot because I don't think its's 10 11 realistic for us to go back and forth on 12 administrative roles right now. 13 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I was just going 14 to get to that point. But thanks, Commissioner And if you and Commissioner Potoczniak 15 Kuntz. 16 along with Wendy will parking lot that, we know where it's headed which is a matter of -- it's 17 18 more than semantics at this point because it does deal with money and ratings. But I think that 19 20 every Commissioner, unless they have further 21 discussion -- and I have not heard a second yet. 22 I'll second it. MR. JONAS:

	L
1	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I've heard a
2	couple of seconds. Further discussion?
3	MR. JONAS: I have just a minor I
4	hope will be a minor thing on the same area.
5	It's just a wording a slight wording change
6	because it's a little confusing the way it reads
7	to me. It says: ensure that no evidence of
8	employment will ensure maybe could we say,
9	ensure that evidence of employment of the veteran
10	will not be used to reduce the veteran benefits.
11	It seems to me that's a clearer statement of what
12	it is we want to do, so anyway.
13	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Second.
14	MR. JONAS: Okay. That's the only
15	thing I would change.
16	MS. LARUE: Yeah, and I'll just add
17	one more quick thing. I would also recommend
18	it's great the section on SAFE VET is great.
19	I think that what I would add is just a very a
20	one-sentence description so that people who
21	aren't familiar with that program, you kind of
22	hit the key points of what that program is aimed

1	to do.
2	MR. KUNTZ: Perfect. Thank you.
3	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Further
4	discussion?
5	(No audible response.)
6	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: All excellent, and
7	I would like to start with Commissioner Harvey
8	once again.
9	MR. HARVEY: Yay.
10	MR. KHAN: Yay.
11	MR. KUNTZ: Yay.
12	MR. JONAS: Yay.
13	MR. AMIDON: Yay.
14	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yay.
15	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Yay.
16	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yay.
17	MS. MAGUEN: Yay.
18	MR. ROSE: Yay.
19	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Unanimous on the
20	yays. For Recommendation 4, Commissioner Kuntz,
21	extremely well done, Matt, you and your team.
22	Thank you so much.

1	MR. KUNTZ: Thank you.
2	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I think at this
3	point if the Commissioners agree, we could
4	probably take John, what would you recommend
5	as far as a lunch break? And I know there's a
6	cafeteria on this floor.
7	MR. GOODRICH: Yeah, and it's pretty
8	it's got lots of good food. So I would say 30
9	to 45 minutes.
10	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Let's do 45
11	minutes. So let's be back at 1330, 1:30 civilian
12	time. Wendy, in your eyes, do you think that
13	will allow us enough time to get through the
14	remainder of the agenda?
15	MS. LARUE: I do.
16	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: You do?
17	MS. LARUE: I do. I think that the
18	sections with the most content have already been
19	addressed. So
20	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Agreed. Perfect.
21	MS. LARUE: I think things will
22	move quickly after lunch.

1	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: 1:30. Thank you
2	very much.
3	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
4	went off the record at 12:40 p.m. and resumed at
5	1:33 p.m.)
6	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Good afternoon and
7	welcome to the December 12th COVER Commission.
8	This is the afternoon session. We had very
9	robust discussions, debates, and deliberations
10	and approvals of Recommendations 1 through 4
11	earlier this morning. We also had a general
12	public comment by Congressman Gus Bilirakis. And
13	at this time, I'm going to turn back to Wendy
14	LaRue. And Wendy, I think we are going to start
15	with Recommendation No. 5, correct?
16	MS. LARUE: So we are indeed on
17	Recommendation 5 which is about treatment
18	resistant depression and turn things back over to
19	Matt to introduce that.
20	MR. KUNTZ: All right. Thanks, Wendy.
21	So this recommendation is provide universal
22	access to effective care for treatment resistant

depression for all veterans in the mental health system.

This is a very real issue. 3 It is 4 recognized in the VA and Department of Defense's 5 clinical practice guidelines. When we conducted 6 our analysis, and it wasn't referenced in here. But we did find that there's very little 7 8 treatment being delivered through transcranial 9 magnetic stimulation and electroconvulsive therapy. And it was almost -- well I guess, for 10 11 me, it was shock the conscience numbers because 12 it is in the clinical practice guidelines. It is 13 a major part of the care. And there are states 14 like mine where you can't access this care. 15 And so it is something that, for me, 16 having seen one veteran that I love that couldn't 17 get this kind of care and we lost him. If it's 18 in the clinical practice guidelines, it should be 19 available. But I do think that, Wendy, it's worth the discussion on -- it was John Klocek 20 21 pulled that data and whether it's -- how we integrate it into this section. But I do think 22

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

that it's a very clear statement that the issue 1 2 -- I mean there are regions of the country that things in the clinical practice guidelines are 3 4 not being offered in. Any questions? CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Just a comment on 5 ECT. I think the number of practitioners is 6 7 limited because it hasn't been done for a while, and now it's had a resurgence. We have the 8 9 experts here; I feel silly talking about. But I know my wife had to actually be recalled in her 10 11 medical center because she had expertise to work 12 with a psychiatrist doing it. And I think what 13 happens maybe in a state that's got a low 14 population is the number of psychiatrists that are qualified to do it is fairly low. 15 It may 16 almost be nonexistent. 17 So maybe looking at the training and 18 availability as something. You know, to mandate 19 something that isn't available to anybody might 20 be difficult, you know. And maybe the 21 transportation of the patient is what we're

talking about -- giving them access to it, but it

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

may not be available in every state.

2	MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. So Admiral Beeman,
3	you bring up a very good point. I can say from
4	living in a state where the VA does not provide
5	this service, it is available in the private
6	sector. We do have other entities in our state.
7	And even in Helena, when we talk about
8	transcranial magnetic stimulation, seven miles
9	away there's a psychiatrist with two machines.
10	The Billings clinic is doing ECT. So it's not
11	it is a chosen unavailability.
12	MR. ROSE: So Matt, with that, so it
13	would be a community outreach situation in those
14	locales where you have the technology, you have
15	the expertise, and it is the matter of the VA
16	crossing that bridge?
17	MR. KUNTZ: So from this
18	recommendation is to make it available. And I
19	think a big part of it is the reporting because
20	if there's no reporting that this isn't being
21	done for big parts, it's very hard. Like people
22	just don't know. But it is letting the VA know

that we are -- that Congress is paying attention 1 2 and this is a level of care that needs to be available to our veterans, especially because for 3 depression, there's a high percentage of folks 4 5 that do not respond. And I don't have the exact But we had like -- it was like 6 number on me. 7 2,000 veterans in that data pool that were 8 getting these services across the country. Ι 9 mean, it was a shock the conscience small number when we know this is part of the treatment base 10 that needs to be understood and built in. 11 12 MR. POTOCZNIAK: And part of the 13 problem with it not being offered in VAs or 14 talked about more, or I think that giving it press is a good idea because providers choose 15 16 their treatment options based on what's 17 available, you know, and what's talked about. So 18 it's kind of like essentially when you say ECT, I 19 realize I probably haven't thought of ECT in a 20 while. And part of the reason is because it 21 falls into that -- I hate to say it, but that like too hard pile because it's like oh, I don't 22

1	even I wouldn't even know where to start with
2	that in our system. Like I know that San
3	Francisco offers it, but it does happen that way.
4	And so unless it's with these kind
5	of treatments, I feel like if they're not
6	advocated for, they fall they just kind of
7	vaporize as being like but it's effective.
8	It's just that it's not really advocated for.
9	You have to advocate for it. So I appreciate
10	this.
11	MR. KUNTZ: Thank you. And I think
12	that one of the other things that comes is like
13	from talking to our local VA about it is with
14	TMS, they didn't understand that most of it is
15	provided by a technician. This isn't going to
16	absorb all of your psychiatry time because it's
17	not a psychiatrist running that machine every
18	time it's turned on or working with a veteran.
19	This is a technician level of care. So at least
20	opening the door about what these are and
21	ensuring that more veterans can access it.
22	MR. JONAS: I had a question. Was

there a reason in this? I think it looked like 1 2 the recommendation's goal is to -- actually the primary one is to partner with the National 3 Institute of Mental Health to advance the 4 5 precision mental health initiative. That's a different MR. KUNTZ: 6 7 recommendation. 8 MR. JONAS: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm on No. 9 Backtrack, you're on No. 5, right? 6. Sorry. How did I miss that? Be right back. 10 11 MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I would 12 second the motion for No. 6. 13 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: We have a second 14 for No. 5. It is. And this 15 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: 16 is, again --17 MR. ROSE: No. 5. 18 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: -- Recommendation 19 5, provide universal access to effective care for 20 treatment resistant depression for all veterans 21 in the VA mental health system. And this was Commissioner Kuntz. Further discussion after the 22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

1	second at this point?
2	MR. JONAS: I found my question.
3	Sorry. I was on the
4	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Sure.
5	MR. JONAS: wrong one. What I was
6	the list that you have here of ECT and TMS, I
7	mean I'm just wondering why these were sort of
8	pulled out. For example, I think it was just
9	this was just last week, so it's fairly new data.
10	There was a good, randomized study showing that a
11	certain type yoga for treatment resistant
12	depression actually was quite profoundly
13	effective and it was published in a major
14	journal. But you're talking about really making
15	those kinds of this available, right?
16	MR. KUNTZ: That will if it comes
17	into what we had to go from was not something
18	published last week, and this is a broad
19	recommendation. And the evidence that we
20	utilized is by laying out the VA and the
21	Department of Defense's clinical practice
22	guidelines as they exist, what they have defined

as evidence-based care. And then using their
 data to say this is how many veterans actually
 got that.

MR. JONAS: Got you.

And so it was a one-to-5 MR. KUNTZ: But this recommendation does have the 6 one. 7 flexibility for -- I think as ketamine has come 8 along, yoga. I mean if other things end up 9 hitting that VA clinical practice guideline or if the VA wants to highlight something that's not in 10 their guidelines, but yeah, we've added --11 12 MR. JONAS: Make them available. 13 MR. KUNTZ: -- yoga for thousands of 14 veterans to help deliver. It's just focusing at 15 that --16 MR. JONAS: So you just took the guidelines as they currently exist and say, make 17 18 sure these are available? 19 MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. 20 MR. JONAS: Got it. Okay, understand. 21 MR. KUNTZ: Yeah, we didn't want to 22 have the fight in this section about what should

or should not be in the clinical practice 1 2 guidelines. Just focusing on the clinical practice guidelines as they exist now. 3 And as I recall from John 4 MS. LARUE: 5 Klocek's work, basically more people are getting yoga than TMS, which is kind of shocking. 6 So 7 I'll work with John to try and capture more of 8 that in here in a paragraph because I recall 9 looking at those numbers as well and being blown away by the fact that something I can hear an ad 10 11 on the radio every day driving to work, only 12 2,000 veterans are getting that care. 13 MR. KUNTZ: And especially for like 14 our state, that is something covered by insurance for people that hit a certain criteria. And if 15 16 our insurance providers believe that it's 17 necessary, and if it's in the clinical practice 18 guidelines, it is something that they need to 19 move on. 20 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Any further 21 discussion, Commissioners? Question? Okay. 22 (No audible response.)

1	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Tom?
2	MR. HARVEY: Aye.
3	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Jamil?
4	MR. KHAN: Aye.
5	MR. KUNTZ: Aye.
6	MR. JONAS: Aye.
7	MR. AMIDON: Aye.
8	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Aye.
9	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Yay.
10	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yay.
11	MS. MAGUEN: Yay.
12	MR. ROSE: Yay.
13	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: A couple of yays
14	and ayes, all meaning yes, approved unanimously.
15	Once again, Commissioner Kuntz, on Recommendation
16	5, well done. We move forward with Recommendation
17	б.
18	MS. LARUE: And No. 6 starts on page
19	89, and this is about VA's precision mental
20	health efforts. And again, Matt.
21	MR. KUNTZ: Thank you. So this
22	recommendation is to expand the VA's precision

mental health efforts in partnership with the 1 2 National Institute of Mental Health to more effectively diagnose and treat mental health 3 conditions. Where this began for our Commission 4 5 was at Palo Alto when Dr. Amit Etkin presented to It is a big -- I guess a big issue that 6 us. 7 National Institute of Mental Health and others 8 have been working on for years and trying to 9 provide more specific analysis of how we can ensure that the veteran gets the right care at 10 11 the right time. 12 And it's a scientifically moving 13 target. And what this recommendation is, is to 14 get the VA to really continue to expand and support their precision mental health initiative. 15 16 And to highlight what Dr. Etkin was working on at 17 the time and that was later published was with 18 veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder. 19 What he had identified was if their memory wasn't 20 working optimally, it was very hard for them to 21 have a good response to cognitive exposure 22 therapy because that relied on the memories. And

therefore, it didn't work. But if you didn't 1 2 know that, the veteran would receive that care, and they wouldn't be able to benefit from it. 3 Some of the additional really 4 5 interesting testimony on this came from Dr. Trivedi at the University of Texas Southwestern. 6 He leads the EMBARC study which I'm sorry. 7 Ι 8 don't know exactly what that stands for, but it 9 is a big acronym. But it's a longitudinal study looking at developing biosignatures to better 10 11 understand how to treat depression. So this is an 12 active part of the field, and Congress has 13 already been active on it. And they have 14 specifically been working on it as part of the precision mental health initiative and the 15 bipartisan Commander John Scott Hannon Veterans 16 17 Mental Health Care Improvement Act. 18 And as part of that, I guess I'll get 19 pretty personal on this was that that was my 20 friend and he was a Navy SEAL commander. And he

22

21

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

had post-traumatic stress disorder and substance

abuse disorder. And he really valiantly fought

those two conditions and was able to really get a 1 2 handle on his trauma symptoms, was able to overcome his substance abuse. And that was when 3 4 we figured out the bipolar disorder. And it was 5 the bipolar disorder that we lost him to. And in 6 spending time with his family after the death, 7 and I mean we had worked so hard. But his sister 8 said: I just wished that we had known about the 9 bipolar disorder earlier. The Navy is willing to spend money on their SEALs. 10 Everybody was 11 engaged in this, but we just didn't know what he And by the time they were ready that they 12 had. 13 knew what he had, he was so fragile that anything 14 that went wrong was life threatening.

15 So that was the purpose behind that 16 legislation, and I know that when we talked about 17 this in October, Dr. Jonas had brought up: why 18 don't we include a bunch of different agencies? 19 Why don't we do a bunch of those things? And I 20 think that -- and the reason for this one was, 21 was that is an existing bill that was well thought out and this is a difficult thing. 22 It's

	18
1	a difficult hurdle to try to jump. So for us to
2	make additional requirements past the existing
3	legislation was unrealistic for my opinion. Are
4	there any questions?
5	MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I would
6	second Recommendation No. 6.
7	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you,
8	Commissioner Rose. Any further discussion or
9	deliberations or questions from any of the
10	Commissioners after the second?
11	(No audible response.)
12	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Hearing none, I'll
13	start with Commissioner Harvey.
14	MR. HARVEY: Aye.
15	MR. KHAN: Aye.
16	MR. KUNTZ: Aye.
17	MR. JONAS: Yay.
18	MR. AMIDON: Yay.
19	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yay.
20	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Yay.
21	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yay.
22	MS. MAGUEN: Yay.

	-
1	MR. ROSE: Yay.
2	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Unanimous once
3	again. Well done, Commissioner Kuntz.
4	MR. KUNTZ: Thank you, sir.
5	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: And Initiative
6	Recommendation No. 6, and we'll move to
7	Recommendation No. 7 at this time.
8	MS. LARUE: So we are on page 92, and
9	this is on gaps in practice. And again, this is
10	yours as well, right, Matt?
11	MR. KUNTZ: Thank you, Wendy. So as
12	some of you may remember from October that this
13	was a very contentious recommendation. And it
14	wasn't because no one believed in the reasoning
15	behind it; it was about the language. And Admiral
16	Beeman had some great recommendations.
17	Commissioner Rose had some solid points. And we
18	tried to bring those through, and Wendy was great
19	in sussing out both of those sides. And this is
20	the recommendation that we have before you, is:
21	identify and rectify availability gaps for
22	evidence-based psychotherapeutic interventions.

1	And probably the most blatant place
2	where I saw this in my time as a Commissioner was
3	on our trip to Chicago. And there was Lovell and
4	Jackie Brown. And when we went to Jesse
5	Brown, I'm sorry. So when we went to Lovell,
6	they really bragged about how they utilized eye
7	movement EMDR, eye movement desensitization
8	and reprocessing, which thankfully I won't have
9	to say four times. But they really bragged about
10	how their veterans loved it. It was a key part
11	of how they served their veterans, and they said
12	it was essential to their care. They said it was
13	an evidence-based practice that had been
14	highlighted by Secretary Shulkin.
15	And then we went down Jesse Brown,
16	like 35 miles away in the same VISN. They shared
17	staff. And in some ways, the staff did go back
18	and forth. You might start at one and go to the
19	other later on in your career. And it just
20	wasn't offered at all. It wasn't part of their
21	treatment. It wasn't something that they valued.
22	And those veterans who would've benefitted from

it if they had been at Lovell wouldn't even know about it if they were at Jesse Brown. And that was -- the fact that it was that close and we saw them both on the same day helped highlight the issue.

And then we did a really big data 6 7 analysis. And I really want to say that Yesenia 8 Castillo did an amazing job with SIGMA to compile 9 all of these and just to show that there were so many different interventions, and that there was 10 a large variety of what was out there. 11 And 12 again, like we're not mandating that this be 13 fixed for everybody, but just if you go to the Executive Branch recommendations -- there's no 14 legislative recommendations, but the Executive 15 16 Branch recommendations, the first one comes from Admiral Beeman. 17

Conduct a gap analysis throughout the VA healthcare system of the use and availability of psychotherapeutic interventions, recommended and widely used clinical practice guidelines. That came from Dr. Maguen because it was more

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

1	than just what the DoD and the VA had outlined,
2	and then share the results across the enterprise.
3	And Commissioner Rose then was very forceful in
4	saying that they should adopt a plan with
5	measurable, time-limited steps to address gaps
6	that limit veterans' access to care that is
7	essential to treating their condition. So that
8	was how we tried to take these two steps and
9	weave them together with what we talked about in
10	October.
11	MR. KHAN: Mr. Chairman, I make the
12	motion.
13	MR. AMIDON: Second.
14	MS. MAGUEN: Well I just have a quick
15	question before we do that. So the thing that I
16	just want to make sure in terms of the problem is
17	so I think the first sentence is great. We've
18	moved towards an evidence-based model of
19	medicine, yet the availability of different
20	evidence-based therapies varies widely. The part
21	that I think that we discussed last time which we
22	may want to include there too just has to do

about the clarity of -- I think that we had a whole discussion about why some programs are included and others are not, right? So to have more clarity about that and what is available and what's not.

And so in addition to the gap 6 7 analysis, just a clear indication of what people 8 can get and where and why certain things are not 9 offered if that decision has been made, right? 10 Because if you do a gap analysis but there are 11 certain reasons why things are not included and 12 we're not aware of those reasons, that's -- do 13 you see? There's a missing piece there. So I 14 just want to kind of highlight that. I think that makes a lot 15 MR. KUNTZ:

16 of sense. Can you say where you would add that 17 in that first bullet?

MS. MAGUEN: Sure. I think that maybe either after the first sentence before the example or even after the example. But just to kind of highlight that part of the issue, it's not only doing a gap analysis. It's maybe

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

	- -
1	understanding because we had talked about how
2	the rollouts, right, and how CPT and PE are
3	specifically targeted as part of the treatments
4	that the VA rolls out. EMDR is not.
5	And so there's a in addition to the
6	gap analysis, I think there has to be clarity
7	about why certain things are and are not
8	included. If you just do a gap analysis and find
9	that EMDR isn't provided at a certain number of
10	facilities, you're still not getting at that core
11	issue of what I think you're trying to bring up.
12	MR. KUNTZ: So and I guess just
13	that was a really important point, Dr. Maguen.
14	So I always like to focus on the part of the
15	document. And just to get really specifically,
16	there's the text where I think that you're right,
17	that we should flesh out a little bit more in the
18	text. But more important, making sure that your
19	recommendation hits the implementation step. So
20	conduct and Admiral Beeman, please weigh in
21	because your view of what I thought a gap
22	analysis might include that. But maybe we need

to make it more specific. So a gap analysis with 1 2 like description of why the gap exists. I have a suggestion of 3 MS. LARUE: words. A comma at the end of that and then 4 adding, and report on why certain interventions 5 are not widely implemented, may capture 6 7 everything that we need. 8 Yeah, that makes sense. MS. MAGUEN: 9 Just to be clear that just because we recognize that certain things aren't provided at a certain 10 clinic, there may be a reason for that. And if 11 12 we don't understand the reason, then it's -- the 13 gap analysis isn't going to go a long way if we 14 just have the numbers but not understanding the reason behind that. 15 16 So I agree maybe with just better 17 understanding implementation or why certain 18 treatments are provided -- training for certain 19 treatments are provided, or some language around 20 the clarification of why those gaps may exist, 21 understanding at a systemic level. MR. POTOCZNIAK: Well it's also about 22

-- it's about why certain therapies are chosen essentially to be on the rollouts and why certain ones aren't. So for EMDR to be offered uniformly or any of these therapies, they would have to be on a national rollout. And there's plenty of therapies that are, right, nationally rolled out through the VA.

8 And so basically what you're kind of 9 looking at is: why are some therapies chosen, and why are some therapies not chosen? Because it's 10 11 chosen at the top and not at the -- well it's 12 chosen in mental health in VACO as to why this 13 gets includes and that doesn't get included. And 14 they made that decision to not do EMDR for some 15 reason. So you just want to know -- the gap 16 analysis would really be like: why aren't these 17 therapies offered on the national rollout? 18 MS. MAGUEN: So I've added to my 19 amendment to say, and report on why certain 20 interventions are not widely implemented or are 21 excluded from VA-wide rollout. That works? All 22 righty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

1	MR. KUNTZ: And I just want to say
2	thank you for bringing that in because it's
3	exactly what we saw. And without an
4	understanding of why, it doesn't make sense. So
5	thank you.
6	MS. MAGUEN: No, absolutely. I just
7	want to add, too. If we do some analysis and
8	find that there's a very legitimate and strong
9	reason for why certain things were left out, we
10	want to just make sure we acknowledge that,
11	right? So I think that that's an important
12	piece.
13	MR. KUNTZ: Absolutely. And I think
14	that that is there is plenty of reason or that
15	within this, the VA does have the ability to say
16	that it's an incredibly expensive copyrighted
17	therapy that is only two percentage points more
18	effective than the one that we get for free. And
19	that is why we chose this one instead of that
20	one, and that's why it's not offered in VA's
21	system.
22	So I think it does have the ability

1	
1	for them to state that. But without stating it,
2	you've got veterans and their families wondering,
3	you know, in a non-transparent environment what
4	care they can access and why some care isn't
5	available.
6	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Does this change
7	your wording of Recommendation 7 at all with
8	these additives that we have?
9	MR. KUNTZ: No, sir.
10	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Perfect. We had
11	a second by Jamil. Any further discussions,
12	questions of Commissioner Kuntz?
13	(No audible response.)
14	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Hearing none,
15	Commissioner Harvey?
16	MR. HARVEY: Aye.
17	MR. KHAN: Aye.
18	MR. KUNTZ: Aye.
19	MR. JONAS: Yay.
20	MR. AMIDON: Yay.
21	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yay.
22	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Yay.

	2
1	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yay.
2	MS. MAGUEN: Yay.
3	MR. ROSE: Yay.
4	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Unanimously yay.
5	Recommendation 7, again, Commissioner Kuntz,
6	thank you very much. Moving on to Recommendation
7	8.
8	MS. LARUE: That puts us on page 95
9	and brings us back to the peer support discussion
10	that we touched on earlier. And again, Matt.
11	MR. KUNTZ: Thank you, Wendy. So this
12	recommendation is to recognize and incentivize
13	the roles of peer support specialists, behavioral
14	health specialists, health coaches, and chaplains
15	and mental health care in the Veterans Equitable
16	Resource Allocation system. For the purposes of
17	this, I will referring to it as VERA from now on.
18	And I think that Dr. Potoczniak
19	brought this up really well earlier that VERA is
20	why healthcare administrators choose to adopt
21	certain positions or not. And if these positions
22	are not recognized in VERA, those healthcare

administrators are going to be fighting an uphill battle for these parts of the systems that we saw evidence behind and appear to be very effective, especially on the civilian side.

In a capitated rate environment, these 5 kind of positions do very well, and they're a 6 So while we're 7 critical part of the system. working on the overall model, we're making the 8 9 recommendation that these ones be added in now. And I do want to highlight that one of these 10 positions is effectively creating a new position 11 12 within the VA to parallel what is already offered 13 by the Department of Defense. So we are saying 14 that behavioral health specialists, which are utilized within the Department of Defense, will 15 16 be within this mix, but they will be separate 17 from peer support specialists.

And I want to highlight that part of the debate from last time was what a peer support specialist is, and why it is what it is. And the VA does have a very specific term, peer support specialist, which we referenced in Chinman et

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

al., 2013. So that is a term of art within the
 VA system which is why we added behavioral health
 specialist to say like Harvard said that you
 don't need to be someone to has a mental health
 condition to serve this population.

So we did add that in for the folks at 6 7 that side of the table that said: the DoD does 8 this, and there's places like Harvard that have 9 really added to their system through these positions. And then not only to create the 10 position but to ensure that they hit the VERA 11 12 system as well so we don't have to wait five or 13 10 years for that.

14 I wanted to bring up just MS. LARUE: a quick point of order because it will change the 15 16 words at the top there. Jennifer had noticed 17 last night when we were doing acronyms, the DoD 18 term is a behavioral health technician. And 19 that's what we talk about in the body. So I 20 think it's really important that that's reflected 21 in the words on top because that changes that the top-level recommendation. I didn't want to just 22

do that in the editing process. 1 2 MR. KUNTZ: Nice catch, Jennifer. 3 Thank you. 4 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Hearing a second 5 of Recommendation No. 8, any further discussion, debate, or deliberations or questions at this 6 7 time from any Commissioners? If not --8 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Let me just --9 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Sorry. 10 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Just one correction. DoD actually does call them behavioral health 11 specialists, not technicians. 12 13 MS. LARUE: Okay. 14 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: So that changed 15 now. 16 MR. KUNTZ: Double thank you. 17 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Are we sure? 18 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Because there are 19 behavioral health techs, but that's a separate 20 thing. Behavioral health specialists are the DoD 21 equivalent. 22 So this Defense MS. LARUE: Okay.

Health Agency citation, I'm assuming that the 1 2 technician's term came from there. So I just want to make sure that what's in the body and 3 4 what we're going to refer people to if they get 5 curious is reflective of what we're actually So we can definitely pursue this outside 6 saying. 7 and still vote on this, but I just want to point 8 that out. We may need to tweak this paragraph a 9 little bit so that we're not referring people to a document that contradicts --10 11 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Will you please --12 MS. LARUE: -- our terminology. 13 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: -- highlight that 14 in the parking lot as far as a follow-up action? And we'll leave it at this point as --15 16 MR. KUNTZ: And I want to ask that Dr. 17 Potoczniak be lead on that. 18 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: You just did. 19 Well done. 20 MR. KUNTZ: Thank you. 21 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Well you can ask all 22 you want.

1	(Laughter.)
2	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: We're good at
3	asking, and you will follow up. With that, is
4	there any further discussion? Any questions or
5	comments after the specialist technician debate?
6	MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, just one
7	comment back to peer support specialist. VA
8	hires peer specialists and peer support
9	technicians. Is that the verbiage we want?
10	MR. KHAN: What page? Hang on.
11	MR. ROSE: I right on page 95, peer
12	support specialist. I think it should say, VA
13	hires peer support specialist. It's got and
14	delete peer support technicians.
15	MR. KUNTZ: So actually in that
16	document, it does say very specifically the VA
17	hires peer specialists and peer support
18	technicians. And then and it does lay it
19	out, so they are using both terms. And that's
20	why we lumped it into that. And that's what they
21	did in the document too.
22	MR. ROSE: Okay.

1	MR. KUNTZ: So they refer that the VA
2	is using these. But according to that document,
3	there is really no difference between those two.
4	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: That Chinman
5	document of 2013?
6	MR. KUNTZ: Yes.
7	MR. ROSE: Okay, thanks.
8	MR. AMIDON: I just had a to
9	Commissioner Potoczniak's commentary on either a
10	behavioral health specialist or technician, I
11	want to make sure our recommendation is specific
12	not only to the name but the clinical services
13	being provided. Does a technician and a
14	specialist this inventory list of things, is
15	it differentiated by those two roles? Would we
16	have to adjust that as well based on whether
17	there is a specialist or a technician? Because
18	that's material to the recommendation.
19	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: It's at page 97,
20	I think.
21	MR. AMIDON: Page 97 at the top. And
22	not for now, but I'm wondering if we can

1	appropriately vote on the recommendation if this
2	inventory list is different based on specialist
3	or technician?
4	MR. POTOCZNIAK: This is what
5	behavioral health specialist does.
6	MR. AMIDON: Okay, fair enough. I
7	just wanted to make sure we reconciled that.
8	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: This is a parking
9	lot item only for the clarity of the technician
10	and specialist terminology that will be worked on
11	by Commissioner Potoczniak along with Dr. Wendy
12	LaRue. And we'll be hearing back within the next
13	10 days at least as to the parking lot items and
14	the rectification prior to the final writing.
15	With that, we had a second and no
16	further discussion or questions. Commissioner
17	Harvey.
18	MR. HARVEY: Yay.
19	MR. KHAN: Yay.
20	MR. KUNTZ: Yay.
21	MR. JONAS: Aye. Oh, I'm sorry. Yay.
22	MR. AMIDON: Yay.

	2
1	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yay.
2	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Yay.
3	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yay.
4	MS. MAGUEN: Yay.
5	MR. ROSE: Yay.
6	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Unanimously passed
7	and approved with the parking lot addition to
8	come in the next 10 working days. Thank you
9	again, Commissioner Kuntz, for Recommendation 8,
10	unanimously accepted and approved by the
11	Commissioners. Move on to Recommendation 9.
12	MS. LARUE: And that puts us at page
13	100. Woo-hoo. And this recommendation is about
14	the benefits and costs of medical cannabis. And
15	I know that some conversation that occurred
16	yesterday on the Hill.
17	PARTICIPANT: I think did you steal
18	a cup from them?
19	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I did. I procured
20	a cup that I will take back.
21	MS. LARUE: And that is now on the
22	record along with cannabis, which is a little

1	odd.
2	(Laughter.)
3	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: What? Do you
4	think this will become Commission glassware?
5	(Laughter.)
6	MR. SPERO: So I think it was brought
7	up to Jake and Admiral Beeman yesterday that a
8	more effective way to word this or to present
9	this recommendation may be to as opposed to
10	directing the VA to conduct the research that it
11	really should be on cannabis more specifically
12	from a federal perspective, it should be the FDA.
13	They're the ones who evaluate prescription
14	medications and determine if so saying that
15	the VA should determine that maybe is not really
16	in their lane in the VA's lane.
17	While they may support it, VA
18	resources may be engaged to conduct the research
19	that specifically having the VA in the driver's
20	seat may not be the most effectively way to get
21	this done. So I think Jake had some thoughts on
22	how to kind of tweak this a little bit to make it

a little bit more actionable.

2	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I think Admiral
3	Beeman and I were both with an individual that
4	gave us really good guidance in order to get this
5	moved forward because it is out of scope within
6	the VA because they need compliance and direction
7	by the FDA. So the wording, I think, Admiral
8	Beeman, was something that was suggested and I
9	asked Casin to write it down. So somewhere in
10	your book, I know you were taking some notes.
11	MR. SPERO: It wasn't super specific.
12	But we are specifically saying in here: ensure
13	that VA practitioners are updated on this
14	research. So that's one thing, but then in the
15	implementation phase there's conduct research and
16	things like that.
17	Maybe it should say something more
18	like: work or legislatively, somebody needs
19	to tell the FDA to go do something. I mean,
20	that's for you all to decide. But I think it's
21	more in the implementation than in like the
22	recommendation itself, Jake, is what he was

saying.

2	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: So I have a question
3	on this. Basically what I think we understood is
4	the VA shouldn't be the lead on this for a lot of
5	reasons. One, you don't want to be leading with
6	your neck and realizing that this may be a no-go
7	and perhaps shouldn't be a go.
8	But I think we initially felt that
9	enough veterans were employing this that we
10	should at least address it. But I think what we
11	heard yesterday is to say: the VA will
12	collaborate, cooperate with the FDA and any other
13	agency as appropriate. I wouldn't take it much
14	further than that. It may be that the VA has a
15	great group of people to study because they're
16	already using it. But I know that we just don't
17	want to be the lead on this. So we have to be, I
18	think, circumspect about the way we say this. We
19	can collaborate and cooperate, but we're not
20	going to recommend that they take a lead on this.
21	Is that what you heard, Mr. Chairman?
22	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: It is. And I was

1	trying to come up with the exact wording again.
2	MS. LARUE: I have words.
3	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: But FDA needs to
4	take the lead in this. I mean I think that we
5	keep the recommendation because of what Tom just
6	said. We've heard anecdotally again, but there's
7	also been surveys from the American Legion that
8	about 2 million veterans are self-medicating with
9	medical cannabis or cannabis.
10	So that being said, it's a relatively
11	high number. And there's also been a lot of
12	confusion as to the ability that I think after
13	the last meeting we've gotten cleared up as to
14	what the VA clinicians can actually talk to their
15	patients veteran patients about the use of
16	cannabis or not talk to them about.
17	So I think we need to clean this up
18	and come up with more precise wording with the
19	collaboration between FDA and VA and other
20	agencies to explore the benefits, risks and
21	costs. And we did not have risk in our original
22	one.

I

1
But the more that you read and hear
from practitioners, a couple of them here as
Commissioners and recent articles I read, there's
short-term and long-term psychotic downside to
this. So I mean, that's somewhat known already.
So I think there needs to be a lot more
discussion, research, and interaction with our
veterans if there truly are two million that are
self medicating.
CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: So Mr. Chairman,
since this we can't make recommendations to
the FDA that I know of. Maybe we should say
something like, the VA should follow FDA
guidelines and cooperate when requested to assess
efficacy of these treatments, or something like
that.
So that the only thing we can do is
recommend that the VA collaborate. We can't tell
the VA to they can't go out and study this
because if it's not being studied by the FDA,
they don't have any independent authority to say
that we're going to use this drug or not.

	4
1	MS. LARUE: So in choosing wording, a
2	strong verb at the beginning is helpful.
3	MR. SPERO: We can provide a
4	recommendation for that, though.
5	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Oh, we can?
6	MS. LARUE: Yeah.
7	MR. SPERO: Yeah, with the
8	legislation, we can make recommendations to all
9	federal agencies.
10	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Oh, cool.
11	MS. LARUE: So a top level that would
12	say to VA, stay in this game, because I'm hearing
13	that as an undercurrent of concern, would be
14	collaborate with the Food and Drug Administration
15	and other agencies as appropriate to explore the
16	benefits, risks, and costs of medical cannabis
17	and then just all the words that are there.
18	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: I actually would
19	push back a little bit. I think that encourage
20	the FDA to study and the VA to cooperate is much
21	better. I don't think this is something that we
22	want the VA to take a lead on or to call up the

FDA and say, you study. I think Congress has to 1 2 direct the FDA to study. CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I don't know if 3 4 the FDA has to study more than give the approval 5 to the VA to progress with -- and I could be wrong -- progress with doing what we want them to 6 7 do and that is research. 8 I think that was the intent, to 9 research with probably a robust audience of veterans that anecdotally claim that they've had 10 11 numerous benefits come from this, whether it's 12 anxiety, sleep disorder, blah, blah, blah. You 13 hear them all. 14 And also using less opiates. We at 15 least owe them the right to find out if it's 16 actually working for them. And is there actually 17 larger downsides, short and long term, that 18 they're unaware of -- we're unaware of? 19 So I think it is right for this 20 Commission to bring this forward. It's getting 21 the precise wording coming out of yesterday's 22 conversation that we might as well take the time

to get. And I'll turn it to you, Matt. Go right
 ahead.

3	MR. KUNTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
4	So the recommendation is to conduct research and
5	the benefits and cost of medical cannabis and
6	psychedelic drugs. I'm sure that VA
7	practitioners are up to date on this research and
8	their ability to discuss these benefits and costs
9	with veterans.
10	That is our recommendation. Our
11	recommendation does not say that the VA should be
12	in the forefront of this research. That is the
13	recommendation as it stands.
14	And then the implementation steps are
15	ensure that VA researchers have a streamlined
16	pathway to safely conduct research on the medical
17	use of cannabis and psychedelics including 3, 4-
18	Methylenedioxymethamphetamine, MDMA.
19	The next one is ensure that NIDA, the
20	National Institute of Drug Abuse, develop strains
21	of cannabis with tetrahydrocannabinol levels
22	equivalent of those being used by medical

cannabis users in the state where medical
 cannabis is legal.

3	The next ones are for the Executive
4	Branch, conduct research in the positive and
5	negative effects on veterans' mental health of
6	medical cannabis and psychedelics including MDMA.
7	Provide VA providers with up-to-date information
8	on research related to the use of medical
9	cannabis and psychedelics including MDMA.
10	The next one is educate VA providers
11	about their ability to discuss the benefits and
12	possible negative effects of medical cannabis
13	with veterans in their care.
14	So that is our book that we have. And
15	I guess from my experience working with people
16	who presented to the FDA, so whether it's a drug
17	company or a medical technology, the FDA does not
18	conduct the research. They are more the cop.
19	They are the judge of whether or not the research
20	is valid.
21	So I don't think that we can really
22	tell the FDA that they need to be conducting the

I

1	research. I mean, maybe it is another area, NIMH
2	or something like that. But I don't know if we
3	could truly just point this back at the FDA.
4	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Well, I think it's
5	problematic if we recommend that a drug that's so
6	controversial and being so much lobbying. I
7	mean, the lobbying that's happening in Congress
8	and all over the nation in state legislatures
9	around cannabis is beyond belief.
10	And I don't think the VA it's
11	appropriate for the VA to take the lead, whether
12	or not and that's why I said, let's
13	collaborate, cooperate with FDA, NIH, or any
14	other agency. But we have the research material
15	to do that. But I think what this is suggesting
16	is we actually take the lead. And I think that's
17	problematic.
18	MR. KUNTZ: So in the document, the
19	spot where I see that that could possibly be
20	and I don't and I had talked to Wendy about
21	that. It's on page 101, the second paragraph.
22	And it says, the VA should be on the forefront of

the research, because there's nothing in our implementation steps that says the VA should take the lead. And I think that that would make sense to pull that one out.

5 What we were trying to -- during our 6 discussion at the October meeting. And that's 7 what I did have a problem with that paragraph was 8 what isn't really adequately stated was in the 9 October meeting, we highlighted that these medical cannabis and psychedelics can have 10 11 negative consequences. And right now, the ones 12 conducting these studies are the people that are 13 pushing them.

14There's no one conducting the research15that's really looking at the negative effects,16and that's why if we leave it entirely up to the17people working with the FDA, that means we may18not find out about the negative consequences19until a decade or two down the road.20So that's why I don't think that

21 there's anything wrong with if we take out the 22 forefront but that the VA does do research

1

2

3

4

because in particular the number of veterans that 1 2 come into the emergency room in psychosis that have THC levels in their blood would seem like 3 4 the exact kind of study that the VA could do in 5 ways that no one else could do. So that's why I think that it's right 6 7 to take out the forefront. It's right to take 8 out the lead. But if we're not studying the 9 negative effects on veterans, then we're just leaving it to basically the cannabis and 10 11 psilocybin pharmaceutical companies to determine 12 that. 13 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: And we know how that 14 turns out. 15 Exactly. So that was what MR. KUNTZ: 16 we did. I didn't word that right in that 17 paragraph because this is in some ways more of a 18 constraint in staying that the VA needs to stay 19 in the game because there's positives and 20 negatives. But I don't know if our 21 implementation steps are necessarily wrong, and I 22 don't know if our recommendation is wrong.

1	MS. LARUE: I have a quick question
2	about the meeting yesterday. Was the perception
3	that this was a recommendation for VA to be a
4	leader in cannabis prescription versus research?
5	Do you know? Because nothing here this reads
6	as a cautionary recommendation, not a pro
7	cannabis recommendation in my mind. And that
8	maybe part of the issue.
9	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: It is. It was
10	construed, I believe and I don't want to speak
11	for the individual. But I'll also reflect back
12	with Tom and Casin was in the room as well. It
13	seemed to be a potential huge red flag negative
14	to the VA.
15	MS. LARUE: So perhaps early, right in
16	that problem statement, we could just add a
17	sentence or two that says basically, this is not
18	a we're not the problem is not that we need
19	to prescribe cannabis but rather than we have
20	many, many veterans who are using it and we don't
21	understand if that's actually as helpful as they
22	think it is. And we need to do that research.

1	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I think it's even
2	more than that, Wendy. And that's why I think
3	Admiral Beeman was trying to get the FDA
4	involved. And I know the individual was very
5	clear because there will be a perception if this
6	wording it may be a perception comes out
7	that the COVER Commission is basically
8	recommending and ordering the VA to conduct
9	research rather than explaining that the VA may
10	love to do the research, but there are certain
11	steps.
12	And I think Matt already had them in
13	the documentation here with the FDA and then the
14	prescribed type of cannabis that would be used as
15	a control, that process for approval. So it's
16	sort of in there. But I think we need to be
17	cautious and maybe go back and get the correct
18	wording that's going to be more suitable and
19	acceptable.
20	MS. LARUE: I'm also wondering
21	you've added risks and costs. I wonder about the
22	value of removing benefits. That seems to be a

red flag because the first thing you see is 1 2 benefits, and this sounds specifically pro cannabis. And then oh, yeah, they threw in that 3 4 other stuff. But if we say, research the risks and costs, and those are things we don't know 5 about, that's where the concern lies, right? 6 7 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: How about a positive 8 statement that gets us a little off the hook and 9 says, engage with other federal agencies to research the benefits and costs of medical 10 11 cannabis and psychedelic drugs? 12 The key is engagement with the people 13 that actually can make the decision. I think the 14 worry is -- and I would agree with that. My health system, the same thing. You know what? 15 16 We're not taking a big lead in medical cannabis. 17 We're seeing some bad stuff that's happening in 18 our emergency departments where some kids have 19 taken it. And so we don't want to be the lead on this. 20 Having said that, I think it's 21 22 appropriate for the VA with two million potential

users to engage with others to allow that group of people to be studied but not to be the one that's on, unfortunately, the bleeding edge of this stuff. And I think it's a hot potato in Congress.

And the last thing that the VA needs 6 7 is to be a hot potato and say, well, the VA recommended this and Congress won't approve of it 8 9 and then have everybody mad at the VA or Congress mad at the VA which, to me, would be even worse. 10 11 MR. KHAN: So just to comment, 12 listening to both of you, I would not make this recommendation. I wouldn't even touch this thing 13 14 because we want VA -- we want to give VA more power to do things, not to get in a position 15 16 where it becomes more adversarial since you both 17 have already reached the higher level.

18 MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yeah. I mean, I 19 think even being from the Left Coast, I 20 definitely struggle with this recommendation 21 after being a clinician in addiction treatment 22 for ten years and seeing slews and slews and

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

veterans on pot that they don't -- a lot -- I 1 mean, there may be two million veterans that self 2 medicate with cannabis. But there are millions 3 4 more alcoholics that claim it's helping them too. 5 And I don't know -- I'm not at the place yet in my mind where I can say that this 6 7 avenue is actually a good one because I've actually seen many more adverse consequences of 8 9 personality changes, psychosis. And people don't 10 like to mention it as a gateway drug, but it is 11 for some people. 12 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: And let me tell 13 you the person we talked to yesterday brought 14 The 18 to 24 year olds, and 33 percent that out. of that population has either tried or is using 15 16 cannabis at this point and it's accelerating. 17 MR. POTOCZNIAK: And at a different 18 time in history, we might call that an epidemic. 19 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Right. But here's 20 the importance of why I believe we need to do 21 this. And it's not -- as we discovered yesterday 22 and thought about it last night up through this

morning, it's not just potential benefits. And
 that's a word I want to put in because I want to
 leave it, Mike, for this reason.

There is going to be, if there is not already, an epidemic. There are side effects and downsides that you have seen and notice in your clinical practices. There potentially may be some benefits. We don't know what we really don't know if we don't do the due diligence that I think we owe our veterans to research it.

11 And we should be researching and not 12 just for our veterans but I think for our country 13 because this is something that is now in two-14 thirds of our states. It's coming fast to a lot 15 of other states.

16 So I mean, it's going to be there 17 until it's researched and until what you as 18 clinicians, as Commissioners have discovered in 19 emergency rooms and with other veterans something 20 that I think we need to find answers to which 21 will probably be more risks than benefits. 22 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: So Jake, you just

said something that's critical for me and that is 1 2 I would like to hear something like engage with other federal agencies to assess the potential 3 benefits and risk of medical cannabis in the 4 5 treatment of these conditions. I like the risk piece because there's 6 7 an implication to me here that we think it's good 8 and we should -- we don't know if it's good. 9 Everybody is rushing to judgment because they've been wanting to do this for years. And so that's 10 11 a potential --12 MS. MAGUEN: Yeah. I would go a step 13 further and maybe start with the short and long-14 term risks. So having the benefits be a secondary piece if we're going to go this route 15 16 and to really lead with short and long-term risks 17 and costs. 18 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Well, I like that 19 even better, Shira, because --20 MR. ROSE: You want to do both. 21 (Simultaneous speaking.) 22 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I think there has

1 to be potential. It's --2 MS. MAGUEN: Yeah, because we -- I mean, I think we --3 4 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: -- passive. Right. 5 MS. MAGUEN: I mean -- or we 6 could say, long-term -- yeah, I mean, I think 7 potential makes it so that it's more we're in the 8 process of discovery and that's what research is 9 But then I would have the benefits be a about. 10 clause that's secondary. Potential benefit, 11 yeah. 12 MR. JONAS: You want to do both risk 13 and benefits when you're doing the research. 14 That's what you're trying to weigh with the 15 research in those areas. So they should be 16 embedded in that. But what we're really talking 17 about is doing research, right? Because we just 18 don't know. It's gaps. 19 And so rather than table the whole 20 thing because it is an important area, especially 21 for veterans that are using it a lot, I suggest we -- in the spirit of simplification perhaps do 22

a compromise and roll this into the research 1 2 recommendations of Recommendation No. 2 and put it up fairly high instead of making it a separate 3 recommendation. Because it is do more research 4 5 basically on risk and benefits. And we've already said that about a bunch of other stuff. 6 7 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: That's absolutely 8 brilliant because it takes this out of being a 9 major headline and puts it into the context of what we truly are asking for is research. 10 It 11 does not ignore it and then you'd go through the 12 normal research channels as well to get to that 13 place which is FDA and NIDA. 14 Mr. Chair, I second MR. POTOCZNIAK: that option. 15 16 MS. MAGUEN: Okay. Hold on. My only 17 thought on that would be that we really framed 18 everything around very specific searches that we 19 did. And so I'm just worried about putting this 20 in and it not being a clear link to the searches 21 that we did. So we did look at cannabinoids, but 22

1	
1	that's very, very different than looking at this
2	particular piece. So I don't want people to read
3	it and there's a flow. And then all of a sudden,
4	there's this thing that doesn't go along.
5	MR. ROSE: We did, however, point out
6	
7	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: We did all of that
8	work. You're right.
9	MR. ROSE: Right. We did, however,
10	point out that there were as we didn't look at
11	under the systematic reviews but are still
12	important. And this, I think, falls into it.
13	MS. LARUE: Frankly, I think it's a
14	lot of work to make this integrated where it
15	makes sense in that section. I think for less
16	work, we can work on the tone here. For example,
17	using Tom's suggested words for the
18	recommendation and changing the order. I think
19	saying risks first versus benefits first connotes
20	a completely different intention in the
21	recommendation.
22	If you look at the background right

1	now, it talks about the cutting edge research
2	which presumably is promoting cannabis use,
3	psychedelic use and so forth. And I think if we
4	perceive that or follow it, the beginning and the
5	end is always way people read, with an important
6	message that about two million veterans are self
7	medicating and we don't understand what is
8	happening because they are doing that.
9	That, again, would change the tone of
10	this dramatically and capture that we are not
11	promoting cannabis use. We are promoting
12	understanding what's going to happen because the
13	veterans are doing it anyhow.
14	And that's a completely different tone
15	than what's here right now. But it would take a
16	tweak at the top in one paragraph versus hours of
17	work to integrate something that wasn't written
18	to go with the other section.
19	MR. KHAN: Wendy, in focus group
20	questions, was there any info given by the
21	veterans?
22	PARTICIPANT: We're not taking any
•	

ബ

1

questions now.

2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. KHAN: No, no, I'm just asking
4	because another place that you can put it is in
5	number three because it is the it's coming
6	from the veterans. And if they're taking it, you
7	can put it in number three condition.
8	MS. LARUE: Honestly, that would be a
9	stretch. It did come up in some of the focus
10	groups. One person with chronic pain said it
11	didn't even touch his pain. But I think not in
12	the spirit of
13	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: You know what?
14	Let me step in here.
15	MS. LARUE: this is a recent
16	recommendation.
17	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Let's not hide the
18	fact we've had it out there. We discussed it
19	last month. We got to this place last month. We
20	got some really good feedback yesterday.
21	So we're in the midst right now and I
22	think we're really close that I would vote to

keep this as a standalone, to not hide it, to be up front with the selective rephrasing and risks being the highlighted. And I think we all agreed with that as a major change.

5 And I would still leave it passive with the potential risks and benefits because to 6 7 Wayne's point, that's what the research is for. 8 And basically what we're asking is legislatively 9 do something that clears the path for the VA to use their research teams, which are some of the 10 11 best in the world, that has a population that's already self medicating as a subset group to do 12 13 the research on.

14 I think that's really what we're asking and probably going to find out because in 15 16 the last two months I've heard from the clinicians on this Commission that there's 17 18 potential major downside rather than what we're 19 hearing from the anecdotal stories, right? 20 MS. MAGUEN: Right. And then just 21 that we don't know the long-term effects because 22 those --

1

2

3

1CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: We don't know what2MS. MAGUEN: Yeah. I also just want4to add too. I know that there is already5existing legislation. So I think it would look6better if we were able to cite some of that here.7So I know, like, the American Psychological8Association has certain positions, and there's9already legislation out there. So to incorporate10that maybe would be a good step as well.11CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: The last piece,12Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of13legislation that we're aware of, both on on14both sides currently pending in some form?15MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very16big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm17not sure exactly how this fits into those. And18those pieces of legislation maybe more for or19against than what we're looking for.20So in this particular one, while we're21trying to get our other ones picked up and22weaving them into existing legislation, if we	1	23
3MS. MAGUEN: Yeah. I also just want4to add too. I know that there is already5existing legislation. So I think it would look6better if we were able to cite some of that here.7So I know, like, the American Psychological8Association has certain positions, and there's9already legislation out there. So to incorporate10that maybe would be a good step as well.11CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: The last piece,12Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of13legislation that we're aware of, both on on14both sides currently pending in some form?15MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very16big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm17not sure exactly how this fits into those. And18those pieces of legislation maybe more for or19against than what we're looking for.20So in this particular one, while we're21trying to get our other ones picked up and	1	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: We don't know what
 to add too. I know that there is already existing legislation. So I think it would look better if we were able to cite some of that here. So I know, like, the American Psychological Association has certain positions, and there's already legislation out there. So to incorporate that maybe would be a good step as well. CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: The last piece, Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of legislation that we're aware of, both on on both sides currently pending in some form? MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm not sure exactly how this fits into those. And those pieces of legislation maybe more for or against than what we're looking for. So in this particular one, while we're trying to get our other ones picked up and 	2	we don't know as I said, correct.
 existing legislation. So I think it would look better if we were able to cite some of that here. So I know, like, the American Psychological Association has certain positions, and there's already legislation out there. So to incorporate that maybe would be a good step as well. CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: The last piece, Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of legislation that we're aware of, both on on both sides currently pending in some form? MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm not sure exactly how this fits into those. And those pieces of legislation maybe more for or against than what we're looking for. So in this particular one, while we're trying to get our other ones picked up and 	3	MS. MAGUEN: Yeah. I also just want
 better if we were able to cite some of that here. So I know, like, the American Psychological Association has certain positions, and there's already legislation out there. So to incorporate that maybe would be a good step as well. CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: The last piece, Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of legislation that we're aware of, both on on both sides currently pending in some form? MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm not sure exactly how this fits into those. And those pieces of legislation maybe more for or against than what we're looking for. So in this particular one, while we're trying to get our other ones picked up and 	4	to add too. I know that there is already
 So I know, like, the American Psychological Association has certain positions, and there's already legislation out there. So to incorporate that maybe would be a good step as well. CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: The last piece, Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of legislation that we're aware of, both on on both sides currently pending in some form? MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm not sure exactly how this fits into those. And those pieces of legislation maybe more for or against than what we're looking for. So in this particular one, while we're trying to get our other ones picked up and 	5	existing legislation. So I think it would look
 Association has certain positions, and there's already legislation out there. So to incorporate that maybe would be a good step as well. CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: The last piece, Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of legislation that we're aware of, both on on both sides currently pending in some form? MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm not sure exactly how this fits into those. And those pieces of legislation maybe more for or against than what we're looking for. So in this particular one, while we're trying to get our other ones picked up and 	6	better if we were able to cite some of that here.
 already legislation out there. So to incorporate that maybe would be a good step as well. CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: The last piece, Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of legislation that we're aware of, both on on both sides currently pending in some form? MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm not sure exactly how this fits into those. And those pieces of legislation maybe more for or against than what we're looking for. So in this particular one, while we're trying to get our other ones picked up and 	7	So I know, like, the American Psychological
10that maybe would be a good step as well.11CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: The last piece,12Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of13legislation that we're aware of, both on on14both sides currently pending in some form?15MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very16big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm17not sure exactly how this fits into those. And18those pieces of legislation maybe more for or19against than what we're looking for.20So in this particular one, while we're21trying to get our other ones picked up and	8	Association has certain positions, and there's
11CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: The last piece,12Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of13legislation that we're aware of, both on on14both sides currently pending in some form?15MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very16big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm17not sure exactly how this fits into those. And18those pieces of legislation maybe more for or19against than what we're looking for.20So in this particular one, while we're21trying to get our other ones picked up and	9	already legislation out there. So to incorporate
Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of legislation that we're aware of, both on on both sides currently pending in some form? MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm not sure exactly how this fits into those. And those pieces of legislation maybe more for or against than what we're looking for. So in this particular one, while we're trying to get our other ones picked up and	10	that maybe would be a good step as well.
13 legislation that we're aware of, both on on both sides currently pending in some form? 15 MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very 16 big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm 17 not sure exactly how this fits into those. And 18 those pieces of legislation maybe more for or 19 against than what we're looking for. 20 So in this particular one, while we're 21 trying to get our other ones picked up and	11	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: The last piece,
14 both sides currently pending in some form? 15 MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very 16 big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm 17 not sure exactly how this fits into those. And 18 those pieces of legislation maybe more for or 19 against than what we're looking for. 20 So in this particular one, while we're 21 trying to get our other ones picked up and	12	Matt, wasn't there four or five pieces of
MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm not sure exactly how this fits into those. And those pieces of legislation maybe more for or against than what we're looking for. So in this particular one, while we're trying to get our other ones picked up and	13	legislation that we're aware of, both on on
 big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm not sure exactly how this fits into those. And those pieces of legislation maybe more for or against than what we're looking for. So in this particular one, while we're trying to get our other ones picked up and 	14	both sides currently pending in some form?
17 not sure exactly how this fits into those. And 18 those pieces of legislation maybe more for or 19 against than what we're looking for. 20 So in this particular one, while we're 21 trying to get our other ones picked up and	15	MR. KUNTZ: I know there was a very
18 those pieces of legislation maybe more for or 19 against than what we're looking for. 20 So in this particular one, while we're 21 trying to get our other ones picked up and	16	big one with HVAC that they were working on. I'm
19 against than what we're looking for. 20 So in this particular one, while we're 21 trying to get our other ones picked up and	17	not sure exactly how this fits into those. And
20 So in this particular one, while we're 21 trying to get our other ones picked up and	18	those pieces of legislation maybe more for or
21 trying to get our other ones picked up and	19	against than what we're looking for.
	20	So in this particular one, while we're
22 weaving them into existing legislation, if we	21	trying to get our other ones picked up and
	22	weaving them into existing legislation, if we

1 don't want to be on the for side of this, we may 2 not -- we're specifically going really pragmatic. 3 And that may -- in this particular one, it may be 4 better to avoid legislative --

I agree with that, 5 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Matt, and I think so. I think findings has to be 6 7 toned down a little bit because it basically says, in spite of the evidence that it's great, 8 9 the federal government is blocking the adoption I'd rather see us say something like 10 of this. you just said, the VA will follow federal 11 12 guidelines and will cooperate with other federal 13 agencies in studying as appropriate.

14 I mean, that's the kind of tone down I'd like to see because what's happened is 15 16 everybody rushed to judgment on this. And now 17 we're just starting to see the beginning stuff 18 that's starting to impact people in emergency 19 departments and some young people. Where there 20 was some benefit early on, there's some other 21 issues that are starting to happen.

22

And so I think -- Wendy, I think

Jake's idea of keeping it the way it was with the 1 2 changes we've just talked about, I could support. And I'd like to support the motion that's on the 3 4 floor with those changes. 5 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Can we go back? Wendy, did you write down the recommended 6 7 paraphrasing of the Recommendation 9 with some of 8 the changes at this point? MS. LARUE: I have lots of different 9 versions of it here. But in essence --10 11 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Let's try to work 12 through. 13 MS. LARUE: -- we want to collaborate 14 -- or engage with other federal agencies to explore the potential risks and benefits of 15 16 medical cannabis and psychedelics, blah, blah, 17 blah, the rest of that. 18 MR. ROSE: Do we have research in 19 there somewhere? 20 MS. LARUE: I think we changed 21 research to explore. But we can go back to 22 research.

23
MS. MAGUEN: And I think we also
wanted something about short and long term in
there as well.
MS. LARUE: Short and long-term
potential risk and benefits.
MS. MAGUEN: Yes, just as long as
those phrases are in there so it's not only the
short term that we want to study but really the
longitudinal effects as well.
MR. ROSE: And the research piece.
MS. LARUE: So do we want to go back
to research instead of explore as a more specific
term?
CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yes, because we're
asking for research, yeah.
CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: I think what I had
recommended was engage with other federal
agencies.
MS. LARUE: Yes.
CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: I think that's still
important because I think it lets them take the
lead. And the other thing you can say about the

1	research, and make VA research competencies
2	available as necessary, or something like that.
3	MS. LARUE: That would be a good
4	implementation
5	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Right.
6	MS. LARUE: step.
7	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Okay.
8	MS. LARUE: Because we're going to get
9	real worry here shortly. But that tells VA this
10	is the part we want you to do.
11	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Right.
12	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Let's take a ten-
13	minute break. And Tom, if you would stay
14	available. Matt, this is yours. Let's everyone
15	else take a 10, 15-minute bio break, be back in
16	here 1500, 3:00 o'clock civilian time, so that we
17	can get some wording on this that we can then
18	vote on. Does that make sense?
19	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
20	went off the record at 2:45 p.m. and resumed at
21	3:04 p.m.)
22	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Commissioners and

general public, welcome to the -- what I 1 2 anticipate to be the final session of today's recommendations being forwarded. And at this 3 4 time, we'll go back to the work that we've had 5 done on Recommendation 9, and I'll, again, defer to Commissioner Kuntz. 6 Matt. 7 MR. KUNTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 Up on the slide is the new version of the 9 recommendation. Engage with other federal 10 agencies as appropriate to research the potential 11 short and long-term risks as well as benefits of 12 medical cannabis and psychedelic drugs. That is 13 the recommendation. 14 Before there's a second, I want to give just one kind of statement. The people that 15 16 I work with, I do see negative things from 17 marijuana. But what's interesting is from the 18 group that I see, it's usually going into mania 19 from using marijuana. So they're biologically 20 susceptible to go into mania. It's not 21 everybody. It's a small subsection. 22 But what's interesting about that

group is it's often the same group that goes into 1 2 mania when they get prescribed an antidepressant. So if you have bipolar disorder, some of these 3 4 things, you can respond very negatively to 5 substances. So that's something I see every day, 6 and I am glad that we're at least giving these 7 8 tools to look at it more because it does -- it 9 may be how we figure out what causes the negative effect of that antidepressant. We start by 10 11 discovering what causes the negative effect of 12 cannabis. 13 So thank you. 14 MR. ROSE: I would second 15 Recommendation No. 9 as amended. 16 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you, 17 Commissioner Rose. Any further discussion, 18 comments, questions of the new, enhanced 19 Recommendation 9? MS. MAGUEN: 20 I was going to say I 21 really like the way that this is worded now. Just to your point about certain groups being at 22

1	higher risk. If you think that that's very
2	important in what you've seen, you might just
3	want to mention that as part of the
4	implementation in the research to particularly
5	study particular groups that might be at risk.
6	And I don't know. If you feel like that's
7	important, I would mention it there too.
8	MR. KUNTZ: Thank you.
9	MR. POTOCZNIAK: And I would just add
10	on to what Shira said. There also is the
11	research around the increased risk of psychosis
12	which is separate from the mania. And I've seen
13	a few cases because I deal with the volume of
14	substance use, I've seen a few cases of kind of
15	irreversible, like, non-medication responded
16	psychosis which is different than the mania, so -
17	_
18	MR. ROSE: I think also with people
19	that are diagnosed with schizophrenia young and
20	they start using marijuana. That can really make
21	it much worse.
22	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Any further

	24
1	comments, questions? If not, we'll go to
2	Commissioner Harvey.
3	MR. HARVEY: Aye.
4	MR. KHAN: Nay.
5	MR. KUNTZ: Aye.
6	MR. JONAS: Yay.
7	MR. AMIDON: Yay.
8	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yay.
9	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Yay.
10	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yay.
11	MS. MAGUEN: Yay.
12	MR. ROSE: Yay.
13	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: We have nine yays,
14	one nay. The Recommendation No. 9 passes. And
15	thank you, Matt Kuntz, and also Commissioners for
16	the work that you did on the rewording which I
17	think is a much stronger recommendation at this
18	point and much more what I would call edible
19	going forward with our constituents as well.
20	So with that, let's go to
21	Recommendation 10.
22	MS. LARUE: This is on page 102, and

this is regarding veterans' safety and
 transportation.

3 MR. KUNTZ: Thank you, everyone. The 4 recommendation is ensure that veterans can safely 5 access care by reviewing and updating transportation and security processes throughout 6 I want to point out just for the 7 the VA system. 8 drafter's sake, the problem does need to be 9 expanded in that section to cover security as 10 well. The problem section currently just covers 11 transportation. 12 So we have two different issues here,

13 and transportation is one thing that we saw in a 14 number of different locations and the 15 difficulties. And it's also something that while 16 we were in session, the transportation industry 17 was changing.

For instance, when I use Google Maps to figure out how to get to our conference center, scooters started showing up while we were in session. So the transportation system is changing. Being from rural American, before my

first Commission meeting, I did not know how to use Uber. Like, had to use Uber to be a member of this Commission.

And so it is something that is changing while we were here. And I think that the reality is that the VA transportation system needs to continue to try to keep up with what's going on in the rest of the world and how people get places.

We used a snapshot from a VHA directive to try to explain how complex the VA health care transportation system is. That snapshot was one of the more hard to understand couple of paragraphs that I have ever read.

So we use that to highlight that's what's out there. But the reality is, is even just looking at Google Maps, you can see that the transportation system is changing. And this recommendation tasks the VA with trying to keep up with that.

And again, while we were engaged as a
Commission, Commissioner Khan brought up the

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

security challenges and Dr. Potoczniak mentioned how that does relate to real life and preventing suicides on VA campuses. So we were looking at that.

5 But at the same time, the Inspector 6 General's office looked at the VA and highlighted 7 some very serious issues and provided some very 8 serious recommendations. So we incorporated 9 those in our recommendation and basically saying 10 that that's where we expect the VA to go.

11 Security is a part of getting veterans 12 the health care that they need. And we agree 13 with Secretary Wilkie in his statement that 14 realignment of the VA police force will be the most extensive since the creation of the police 15 16 force. It will fundamentally change 17 standardization and oversight of the enterprise. 18 So there's an issue that needed to be 19 This statement from Secretary Wilkie addressed. 20 I believe was from October of this year. So it 21 was something that we saw too in this

22 recommendation for this.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

1	2
1	Any questions?
2	MR. KHAN: Chairman, I would like to
3	second it, sir.
4	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Thank you, Jamil.
5	We have a second on the floor to Recommendation
6	10. Are there any other comments, concerns,
7	questions in that regards to this recommendation?
8	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yeah, I'd like to
9	just in looking at the oh, where is it?
10	It's in the implementation. One thing that could
11	be done that might make it a little bit easier
12	and gear it towards mental health is right now
13	the way that special transportation is set up,
14	mental health diagnoses don't qualify for
15	requiring transportation.
16	So if you have somebody who has a
17	traumatic brain injury or has post-traumatic
18	stress and really has difficulty navigating, you
19	can't order transportation for them under special
20	mode. You can only do for physical conditions.
21	So something that and that's out of
22	the clinicians hands. So even if I have somebody

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	that is homebound because of mental health
2	reasons, I can't get them transportation, you
3	know? So something that could be put into
4	implementation is to change that part and allow
5	transportation special mode transportation to
6	be ordered for people with mental health
7	conditions.
8	MR. KUNTZ: Wendy, did you get that?
9	Okay. I support that being added to the
10	recommendation. I think it makes sense to have
11	that as its own standalone bullet under the first
12	bullet in Executive Branch.
13	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yeah. I think,
14	Matt, my comment was on this since last month,
15	that specificity is going to rule the day coming
16	out of this because it is so broad. When you're
17	talking the recommendation is strong. Ensure
18	that veterans can safely access care by reviewing
19	and updating transportation and security
20	processes throughout the VA system.
21	I think there's a lot in that that the
22	VA would have to implement that we're unaware of.

And I think it goes back to on the security side a problem that's already been identified from an IG that the Secretary has made a predominant statement about.

5 And so this is one of the points of 6 possibly being redundant. Or you could reflect 7 and say, we want to make sure that he's going to 8 actually do this. So I mean, you have to put it 9 in the context because the problem has been 10 identified. Security is aware of it. Secretary 11 has promised action.

So I mean, is that the intent here, to ensure that that actually is coming from this Commission? Hey, we know you identified the problem on the security side. Now we're stating the obvious that -- or the unobvious that either we don't trust him to implement it or the VA to implement or both.

MR. ROSE: I think just the way the
timing came out on this, though. I mean, this is
something that we pulled out before that
announcement was made. So I think if the

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

Secretary has already made that or has made that something he wants to accomplish, this just adds more support to it from what we've seen during our Commission.

5 MR. KUNTZ: And I think I agree with 6 Commissioner Rose in that this is a big change 7 and we're very glad that the Secretary is behind 8 it. He's going to have to have support from SVAC 9 and HVAC, and this report will provide a little 10 bit more fuel for him to put in that engine.

MR. ROSE: And one other thing, if I may, going back to the transportation. I mean, the point that Mike brought out, I mean, that's critical. I mean, people with mental illness need that transportation maybe more than somebody else.

The mental health system, whether you're in the VA or on the outside, is very difficult to navigate. And if you have to get from point A to point B and you cannot have that transportation provided, you're in bad shape.

Thank you.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

22

1

2

3

	23
1	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yeah. I mean, I'm
2	perplexed by that, and I think that that's why
3	it's a necessary one to have as far as
4	transportation for just what you stated, Jack,
5	and Mike brought out here that I was unaware of.
6	But I mean, Uber, as we talked about
7	in the last few months, has been trying to make a
8	connection with the VA to do something along
9	these lines. And also the missed appointment
10	type of situation to greatly deviate from that as
11	a potential possibility.
12	So I like it. I wonder if we just
13	and Matt has already had under transportation
14	some of those other rideshare things, et cetera.
15	So I think it's deep enough. All right?
16	MR. KUNTZ: Thank you.
17	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: And we had a
18	second from Jamil. Any further comments,
19	recommendations?
20	(No audible response.)
21	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Hearing none,
22	Commissioner Harvey?
-	

	25.
1	MR. HARVEY: Aye.
2	MR. KHAN: Aye.
3	MR. KUNTZ: Yay.
4	MR. JONAS: Yay.
5	MR. AMIDON: Yay.
6	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yay.
7	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Yay.
8	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yay.
9	MS. MAGUEN: Yay.
10	MR. ROSE: Yay.
11	MS. LARUE: Super yay.
12	(Laughter.)
13	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Now we're going to
14	move on to number 11. Oh, there is no 11.
15	MS. LARUE: See, when you
16	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Started with 30.
17	MS. LARUE: When you get down to ten,
18	it goes a lot faster, doesn't it? But the very
19	most important thing needs to happen still and
20	that is collecting signatures. So I want to
21	briefly explain how we are going to do this. I
22	even brought a sample.

I	
1	MR. KUNTZ: Wendy, we still need to
2	talk about the order.
3	MS. LARUE: Oh, yes.
4	MR. KUNTZ: That had been put in the
5	parking lot.
6	MS. LARUE: Yes, we do. We should do
7	that first and then come back. So the proposal
8	was to move what is currently Recommendation 3 to
9	be Recommendation 1 and then just file things
10	back. So the current Recommendation 1
11	MR. KUNTZ: No, no. I'm sorry. It
12	was to swap one and three. So two would still
13	stay where two is.
14	MS. LARUE: With research?
15	MR. KUNTZ: We would start out with
16	the veterans' perspective, move into the
17	research, and then begin with the bigger
18	MS. LARUE: The bigger picture?
19	MR. KUNTZ: Yeah.
20	MS. LARUE: Okay.
21	MR. KUNTZ: So that
22	MR. AMIDON: Commissioner, can I ask

one question, though? Would that still presume -- to your point, there's additional information from the veteran source that we can sprinkle in throughout. Is it not mutually exclusive that way?

I think that it still is MR. KUNTZ: 6 7 a good idea to add in the veterans' perspectives 8 wherever. This is a different motion. But 9 either way, I think that quotes and however we want to sprinkle this. But the main thing is, is 10 11 from my perspective, when I read it, that 12 veterans' perspective piece gave us a ton of 13 credibility.

14 That research piece gave us a ton of 15 credibility, and then you start moving in to the 16 meat of the recommendations. And it reads as a 17 lawyer building a case saying, boom, boom. We 18 studied this. We studied this. We studied this. 19 And then we move into this big VA transformation 20 recommendation.

I think it's incredibly powerful. Ifyou start out with a giant recommendation without

1

2

3

4

5

letting people know what got us there, for me, it 1 2 doesn't feel as powerful. Matt, this is Tom. 3 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: 4 I want to support your recommendation. I was 5 fine up until this point. You said you were a And I thought, oh, that's right. 6 lawyer. He's 7 lawyer. 8 (Laughter.) 9 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: The only other 10 suggestion I have, and it gets back to what the other Matt was saying. And that is I would like 11 12 to recommend that maybe you and Wendy look at 13 some of the quotes and we take one to two quotes 14 that are relevant to each section and use them on 15 top of the section that says --16 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Voice of the 17 veteran. 18 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: -- the voice of the 19 Actually, so it's woven throughout each veteran. 20 So you have -- like you said, we're going one. 21 to have a quote in the beginning which I really But have a quote from a veteran, one or 22 liked.

1 two. 2 Like, for transformation, we see there's problems with the system or maybe I got 3 4 sent here. You could put a quote that's 5 relevant. And I don't know of a quote, so I'm sure you could really parse them out, so --6 7 MS. LARUE: So my friends --8 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: No? Are you telling 9 me no? 10 MS. LARUE: Not no. Not no. 11 Qualified yes. Jennifer and I were actually discussing this very topic at lunch today, and 12 13 we're not fans of callout boxes, per se. They 14 usually are handled poorly and actually detract from important content. 15 16 But we have great quotes. And what we 17 have not done is a tremendous amount of design 18 work partly because we're limited to just the two 19 of us to put this together and partly time, and 20 so we believe that we can highlight each 21 recommendation a little more with some design 22 work revolving around a key quote for each one.

I	2:
1	I don't think that precludes us from
2	integrating some more quotes into the body of the
3	text. Because for many of these recommendations,
4	there are things that come to mind just I
5	don't even have to read back to know where to
6	find them.
7	And because we have used NVivo to code
8	the text, it's just a matter of doing a word
9	search. It's not hard. It's all right there.
10	It's all labeled in a way that makes that
11	contextualization really simple.
12	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: You sold me. So I
13	want to come out and support of the different
14	of the new order of things
15	MR. KHAN: Mr. Chairman
16	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: with the
17	understanding that we would pepper the thing with
18	quotes.
19	MS. LARUE: You're going to be blown
20	away.
21	MR. KHAN: Sir, I concur with making
22	present Recommendation 3 as number one, and I

256

i	2.
1	would like to put a quote, I only have one life
2	to give. So Wendy, you can write it down.
3	(Laughter.)
4	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: So let's go back
5	to the actual order for the new proposed
6	recommendation.
7	MR. JONAS: Can I offer a
8	counterargument here first?
9	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yes, you can.
10	MR. JONAS: Thank you. So I disagree
11	with swapping those out. I'm a forest before the
12	trees kind of guy, and I think the first
13	recommendation lays out the forest and says, this
14	is what needs to happen. There's ample
15	justification within it as to how the VA is
16	already attempting to do that and how our health
17	care system is already going in that direction.
18	The other recommendations then fill in
19	that. For example, in Recommendation 3, we even
20	suggested that it's referring back to
21	Recommendation 1 to say, person centered. We
22	need to do more about that. See Recommendation 1

rather than putting in an additional overarching component.

3	And in the evidence-based component,
4	fundamentally, what we found that is that, gee,
5	there isn't enough research there if we use this
6	kind of methodology. And so having that up above
7	the overarching model recommendation would again
8	bring in some things that are pointing to now a
9	recommendation that's down in the third part.
10	And so I'm in favor of leaving it the
11	way it is. And at the same time, I think the
12	voice of the veterans is absolutely essential.
13	And we should have both the quotes spread
14	throughout the entire document including right at
15	the beginning if we can find a good quote from
16	these components where the veteran says, this is
17	what happens, and Recommendation 3 and its full
18	components with its references. That would, I
19	think, reinforce that component.
20	So that's my suggestion.
21	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Commissioner
22	Jones, I forgot to add you sound like a lawyer as

1

2

	∠
1	well.
2	MR. JONAS: And I'm not a lawyer.
3	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: You're making a
4	strong case and argument as well, but we know
5	you're a doctor, so -
6	MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, if I may make
7	a comment. If we do not put the fires out, we
8	will have neither trees nor forest. Thank you.
9	MS. LARUE: So a point to think about
10	as the rhetorician sitting at the table is
11	message. And I think each of you just needs to
12	really think hard about what your priority is.
13	We've talked a lot about stakeholders. And
14	frequently, we talk about Congress, the
15	President, the Secretary and so forth. The
16	veterans are also stakeholders.
17	And I can tell you from all the visits
18	this summer that there were quite a few veterans
19	who did not think that we really were there to
20	listen to them. They thought that what I spent
21	my summer doing was a charade, and some were very
22	vocal. And they have an organization where they

have talked about the fact that they think our 1 2 work is a charade. So I think what you need to think 3 about, and I don't have -- I'm not leaning either 4 I think you as Commissioners need to make a 5 way. decision based on this. 6 7 Is making it clear to that stakeholder 8 group that you did take them seriously, does that 9 outweigh what you need to say to the other stakeholder groups in terms of priority? And 10 11 that will determine whether you need to 12 acknowledge what they have to say first or speak 13 to Congress and the Secretary first. 14 CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: So my eminent colleague, Dr. Jonas, I really support his -- the 15 16 concept of the transformation. But for me, this 17 isn't a strike issue. I think by saying we 18 talked to the veterans, we did research, and 19 we've come up with a transformative system works 20 in my mind, and I can convince myself of that. 21 So even though I liked the original 22 thing, I have come to believe that it's not a

strike issue for me. And I think it's a logical
 thing to do. So that's why I support it. I just
 wanted you to know.

4 MR. JONAS: So I would be open to that 5 if we can make sure we frame it just the way you 6 just said it. Okay? Which is in the 7 introduction and the description as to the way 8 it's organized, here is why we're presenting it 9 in this way. The first principle in the new model is person centered, right? 10 So that --11 MR. JONAS: Wayne --12 MR. JONAS: -- illustrates that. 13 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: -- it bolstered 14 this discussion. I think that this is going to 15 lead into a stronger executive summary as well. 16 MS. LARUE: You bring up the other point that Jennifer and I discussed at lunch 17 18 which is that the introduction must be changed 19 because it is couched in the order that things 20 And it won't take much work to say, we are now. 21 lay out these things so that you will understand this transformational model. Just the end of the 22

introduction is going to have to --1 2 MR. JONAS: You've talked me into it. 3 I agree. 4 MS. MAGUEN: I was just going to add 5 one more quick thing. So I do still think we have to deal with the piece where we reference. 6 7 So in the recommendation that is about the 8 research, we do reference the model. So I just 9 wanted to add that logistical piece, yeah. Just so you know, those 10 MS. LARUE: 11 sorts of nitty-gritty things like cross 12 referencing and so forth, that is on mine and Jennifer's list for the next couple of weeks. 13 14 It's just part of the process of prepping for 15 publication. So no one needs to worry about 16 cross referencing to the wrong number and 17 whatever. We'll check all of those. Well, it's 18 briefly addressed in the introduction as to will 19 be, anyhow. 20 So now can I get to --Okay. 21 CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: No. 22 MS. LARUE: No?

I	2 ·
1	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: No.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MS. LARUE: Nobody better leave
4	without signing.
5	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: I think we need a
6	formal vote on this, and I'm waiting for a
7	second.
8	MR. ROSE: Second.
9	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: And what is the
10	actual reorder now?
11	MR. ROSE: The reorder would be to
12	replace number one
13	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: So it's
14	MR. ROSE: with three.
15	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yeah, got it.
16	MR. ROSE: Swap number one with three,
17	keep two where it is.
18	MS. LARUE: Swapping.
19	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Swap order.
20	Three, two, one. I wanted that to be clear,
21	right?
22	MR. ROSE: Three, two, one?

263

ĺ		20
1	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yes.	
2	MR. ROSE: Three, two, one.	
3	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: And do I hear any	
4	second at this point?	
5	MR. ROSE: Second.	
6	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Any further	
7	discussion, questions, comments? If not	
8	MR. HARVEY: Aye.	
9	MR. KHAN: Aye.	
10	MR. KUNTZ: Yay.	
11	MR. JONAS: Yay.	
12	MR. AMIDON: Aye.	
13	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Yay.	
14	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: Yay.	
15	MR. POTOCZNIAK: Yay.	
16	MS. MAGUEN: Yay.	
17	MR. ROSE: Yay.	
18	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Unanimous, three,	
19	two, one.	
20	MS. LARUE: Okay. No one may leave	
21	the room.	
22	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: Can we formally	

	20
1	close? At this time, this is the last
2	opportunity for today and for this Commission.
3	And we already made comments from each
4	Commissioner at the start of this meeting on
5	December 12th.
6	And I, again, want to congratulate and
7	thank the Commissioners for your diligence, your
8	hard work. You've heard me talk about it the
9	last couple of months but also the term, gung-ho,
10	and this group has been gung-ho.
11	But I think that we're onto something
12	big, bigger than I ever anticipated 17 months ago
13	to be honest with all of you. First time
14	Commission and being able to work and disagree
15	and deliberate and debate and then come back to a
16	three, two, one is pretty neat.
17	And to get it down from the 30-plus
18	recommendations distilled tightly, I believe, to
19	10 very solid things that will matter for our
20	core customer as Commissioner Amidon just said
21	which are our veterans and doing the right things
22	for them and their mental health care.

I	2
1	Just fabulous work, Commissioners. I
2	can't thank you enough because on a weekly basis,
3	all of you did more than I ever thought would be
4	done with this Commission. So thanks for
5	allowing me to be able to be part of your lives
6	over the last 17 months in making a difference, I
7	believe.
8	We'll find out in 90 days or so for
9	the VA and for SVAC, HVAC, and certainly the
10	White House, to take these recommendations and
11	implement them properly to make a stronger
12	Veterans Affairs Association for our veterans.
13	That being said, are there any other
14	comments of any other Commissioners at this time?
15	CO-CHAIR BEEMAN: I just wanted to
16	thank Casin and his leadership and the rest of
17	the team. I felt that they were always there
18	with us, urging us on. The professionalism of
19	the VA staff was beyond compare, and I just
20	wanted to extend that to all of you. And I know
21	everyone feels the same way, so
22	MR. KHAN: You all have my phone

		20
1	number, 24/7 I'm available to help any one of	
2	you. Thank you.	
3	CHAIR LEINENKUGEL: No other comments	
4	at this time. I officially adjourn the meeting	
5	of the COVER Commission, December 12th, 2019.	
6	Thanks again.	
7	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter	
8	went off the record at 3:34 p.m.)	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
l		

Α a.m 1:10 4:2,17 43:4,5 98:11,12 **A.O** 31:15.18.22 abbreviation 93:10 94:3 aberrations 13:17 14:9 ability 23:1 153:8 198:15,22 212:12 216:8 217:11 able 8:19 9:6 10:20,22 11:7 17:5 32:12 41:3 55:17 74:14 77:2 89:12 126:18,19 135:15 152:13 155:5 155:7 163:10.11 164:10 187:3 188:1,2 234:6 265:14 266:5 above-entitled 43:3 98:10 175:3 238:19 267:7 absolute 9:19 absolutely 93:1 165:6 198:6,13 229:7 258:12 absorb 180:16 abuse 57:15 187:22 188:3 216:20 accelerate 77:20 accelerated 56:2 accelerating 225:16 acceptable 222:19 accepted 85:15 208:10 access 25:11 34:12 58:6 124:21 133:19 135:4 139:22 175:22 176:14 177:22 180:21 181:19 193:6 199:4 243:5 247:18 accidentally 122:1 acclaim 32:1 accomplish 249:2 accountability 26:14 accounting 113:2 accurately 74:14 achieve 157:2 achieved 20:2 acknowledge 20:12 29:3 41:20 198:10 260:12 acronym 94:13,22 95:11 148:16 187:9 acronyms 92:16,19 94:11 95:6 202:17 act 55:4,6 57:9 83:3,15 84:4,4 117:16 140:11 140:14 187:17 Acting 14:21

action 36:21 85:1 136:12 141:2 142:5 144:7,19 145:3 204:14 248:11 actionable 210:1 actions 149:9 activated 34:20 active 16:6 26:8 152:4 187:12.13 actual 47:16 63:22 67:15 71:9,17 85:17 107:16 128:5 138:15 142:15 257:5 263:10 acupuncture 56:3 121:12 ad 184:10 add 39:17 44:2 46:7 48:10 51:13 61:14 65:22 66:17 78:9 83:16 91:9 93:22 95:19 109:1,17 113:16 116:6,21 121:8,22 127:17,21 129:11 131:6 146:6 152:6 156:15 159:14 170:5 172:16.19 194:16 198:7 202:6 221:16 234:4 241:9 253:7 258:22 262:4,9 add-on 112:19 added 10:7 25:1 39:7 65:14 66:6 82:20 88:15 116:19 118:18 167:22 183:11 197:18 201:9 202:2,9 222:21 247:9 addicted 56:19 addiction 2:3 55:5 224:21 adding 51:15 72:13 88:22 89:20 144:9 146:16 168:19 196:5 addition 6:5 143:12 194:6 195:5 208:7 additional 40:20 89:21 89:21 90:8 138:17 146:16 187:4 189:2 253:2 258:1 additionally 59:7 165:15 additive 49:4,5 additives 199:8 address 17:5 99:16 100:4 106:1,18 115:2 115:12 123:15,20,22 124:4,11 126:16,19 141:19 143:2,7,14 147:10 163:22 193:5

211:10 addressed 17:1 99:4 111:7 114:2 147:15 149:15 166:4 174:19 245:19 262:18 addresses 126:8 136:22 140:3 169:20 addressing 111:14 115:20 124:8 169:13 adds 249:2 adequate 90:15 adequately 17:1 103:9 103:13 147:15 219:8 adjourn 3:22 267:4 adjust 206:16 Administration 1:13 214:14 administrative 43:15 171:12 administrators 200:20 201:1 Admiral 1:14 5:18,20 42:4,5 44:2 125:5 132:7 144:5 149:13 178:2 190:15 192:17 195:20 209:7 210:2.7 222:3 adopt 84:20 85:8 140:10 193:4 200:20 adoption 235:9 adult 12:6 advance 181:4 adversarial 224:16 adverse 155:5 225:8 advisor 1:13 2:7 33:14 advocate 12:3 180:9 advocated 180:6,8 Affairs 1:1 7:20 20:5,9 266:12 affect 18:8 40:1 169:16 affiliative 44:16 afforded 28:9 Afghanistan 2:19 15:20 afraid 126:9 170:8 afternoon 175:6,8 **age** 16:13 agencies 188:18 212:20 214:9,15 223:9 227:3 235:13 236:14 237:18 239:10 agency 204:1 211:13 218:14 agenda 174:14 ago 35:19 78:16 94:15 117:22 143:19 148:14 164:19 265:12 agree 23:1 41:17 46:8 46:10 69:5 70:1 75:11

75:13 77:7 130:4 143:3 145:1,2 147:6 164:12 174:3 196:16 223:14 235:5 245:12 249:5 262:3 agreed 36:6 92:6 141:21 174:20 233:3 agreeing 61:5 agrees 135:17 ahead 88:6 142:11 216:2Aim 78:17,20 81:2,18 82:11 aimed 172:22 Aims 81:7 airy-fairy 14:15 akin 112:13 al 202:1 alcohol 132:1,2 alcoholics 225:4 alerting 130:12 alienated 45:12 alienation 44:10 45:9 **ALISON 2:17** alive 128:21 allegiance 4:8.9 Alliance 1:21 2:4 12:4 Allocation 200:16 allow 26:13 86:12 142:3 156:5 174:13 224:1 247:4 allowing 266:5 allows 69:7 alluding 116:3 alter 166:16 Alternate 2:11,11,12,14 2:16,17 alternative 54:18 56:10 57:1 alternatives 118:19 Alto 186:5 amazed 136:5 amazing 22:8 135:12 192:8 AMBITION 86:15 87:16 97:9 amend 170:2 amended 87:6 240:15 amendment 197:19 amendments 87:8 148:1 America 2:20,20 31:6 American 2:21 8:12 17:15 31:3 72:20 212:7 234:7 243:22 **Amidon** 1:16 27:19,20 29:11 42:4,6 150:20 173:13 185:7 189:18

193:13 199:20 206:8 206:21 207:6,22 242:7 251:5 252:22 264:12 265:20 Amit 186:5 amount 37:11 44:9 75:7 75:10 76:5,12,20 81:11 96:6 110:14 255:17 amp 102:19 ample 65:6 257:14 analysis 56:16 61:21 70:22 71:10 74:13,16 76:19 176:6 186:9 192:7,18 194:7,10,22 195:6,8,22 196:1,13 197:16 198:7 Analyst 2:9 and-bolts 14:16 anecdotal 15:4,10 146:22 233:19 anecdotally 212:6 215:10 **ANN** 2:12 announcement 248:22 answer 36:8 91:4 92:21 121:4 answered 104:11 105:6 answering 121:3 125:12 141:4 answers 124:17 226:20 anticipate 239:2 anticipated 265:12 antidepressant 240:2 240:10 anxiety 215:12 anybody 38:15 39:11 43:12,16 69:1 128:17 163:6 177:19 anymore 79:11 170:1 anyway 51:5 64:8 73:13 140:15 168:1 172:12 apologize 20:16 115:5 139:7 appear 201:3 appendices 39:7 95:12 appendix 133:11 **Applause** 59:18 applicants 111:22 applications 110:16 appointed 8:14 14:12 appointment 120:2 132:21 133:1 250:9 appointments 120:20 appoints 124:6 appreciate 8:21 9:9 11:8 54:9 60:14 96:20 129:1 180:9

appreciated 9:22 10:2,3 appreciation 10:15 approach 94:12 122:14 approached 135:22 approaches 55:14 122:21 appropriate 5:1 41:20 42:21 51:8 52:3 76:14 76:15 103:19 123:6 165:6 211:13 214:15 218:11 223:22 235:13 239:10 appropriately 207:1 approval 215:4 222:15 **approvals** 175:10 approve 224:8 approved 98:15 185:14 208:7,10 approves 57:18 archaic 135:8 area 9:18 14:18 25:12 55:19 58:17 67:3 82:15 102:15 133:3 172:4 218:1 228:20 areas 24:2 26:15,17 48:18 67:13.14 68:15 69:12 71:21 73:12 80:20 82:3 228:15 argument 259:4 Arizona 156:17 arms 10:21 22:15 Army 1:17,18,20 2:2 7:19 136:19 art 56:1 202:1 articles 16:1 213:3 articulate 148:19 artificial 168:7 aside 84:13 asked 24:3 33:13 104:5 118:4 138:20 210:9 asking 18:14 112:2 123:10 140:22 149:19 165:5 205:3 229:10 232:3 233:8,15 237:15 aspect 50:8 80:14 aspirational 28:20 assemblage 14:21 assess 213:14 227:3 assessment 77:4 152:10,21 assigned 7:1 assignment 6:6 Assistant 2:13 6:7 124:6 associated 12:3 147:12 Association 2:21 72:21 234:8 266:12

assumes 94:17 assuming 204:1 **assure** 106:16 attempting 11:18 257:16 attend 55:17 60:11 attendant 85:9 attended 30:18 attention 23:8 179:1 audible 138:2 150:13 173:5 184:22 189:11 199:13 250:20 audience 43:13 215:9 aunt 19:4 authentic 6:15 author 32:5 55:3 authority 213:21 authors 54:4 73:5 automatically 134:17 availability 48:13 120:21 177:18 190:21 192:19 193:19 available 20:4 43:12 101:15 104:14,20 106:18 122:7 125:21 131:13 154:11 176:19 177:19 178:1.5.18 179:3,17 182:15 183:12,18 194:4 199:5 238:2,14 267:1 avenue 225:7 average 16:13 avoid 235:4 avoids 170:10 aware 115:19 129:15 158:20 159:8 194:12 234:13 248:10 **awful** 126:10 awhile 77:10 **Aye** 185:2,4,5,6,7,8 189:14,15,16 199:16 199:17,18 207:21 242:3,5 251:1,2 264:8 264:9.12 ayes 185:14 В **B** 249:20 back 15:21 23:19 37:12 43:1 44:17 45:15 53:5 56:11 60:18 61:6 69:5 77:10 84:14 86:3

149:12 156:9 158:10 159:18,21 160:1 163:17 164:9 166:22 168:8 171:11 174:11 175:13,18 181:10 191:17 200:9 205:7 207:12 208:20 214:19 218:3 221:11 222:17 236:5,21 237:11 238:15 239:4 248:1 249:12 252:7,10 254:10 256:5 257:4 257:20 265:15 background 5:5 6:2 9:15 28:2 102:14 103:16 130:19 230:22 backgrounds 10:6 12:10 32:13 33:9 backlash 123:1 Backtrack 181:9 **bad** 59:12 102:16 120:15 158:15 161:7 223:17 249:21 Bakken's 155:22 **balances** 28:19 balancing 128:21 barren 22:11 barriers 59:9 135:7 141:19 base 179:10 based 56:15 58:7 62:21 75:15 77:4 112:17.18 113:14 127:15 142:22 147:22 153:15 179:16 206:16 207:2 260:6 **baseline** 156:12 **basic** 16:4 125:12 135:13,15 basically 42:5 48:17 75:1 99:10 102:4 110:5 112:10 119:4 120:9 153:18 157:8 166:15 169:14,16 170:2 184:5 197:8 211:3 220:10 221:17 222:7 229:5 233:8 235:7 245:9 basis 42:17 125:10 135:7 139:14,17 266:2 battle 22:6 201:2 Bay 55:19 58:17 102:15 BD 93:3,11 bean 76:2 **bear** 22:6 **beauty** 49:6 becoming 32:6 34:1 102:12,12

www.nealrgross.com

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

94:16 98:8 99:1

102:18 106:12 114:12

115:6 117:4,5 124:20

140:19 142:17 145:15

146:17 147:20 149:11

127:8 135:1,2 137:8

269

Beeman 1:14 5:18.20 5:22 23:22 42:5,6 44:2,4 46:7 49:18 123:11 125:5 126:5 127:2 132:7 136:15 139:11 142:18 143:22 144:5 146:18 149:13 150:22 165:9 173:15 177:5 178:2 185:9 189:20 190:16 192:17 195:20 199:22 208:2 209:7 210:3,8 211:2 213:10 214:5,10,18 218:4 220:13 222:3 223:7 226:22 235:5 237:16,20 238:5,7,11 242:9 251:7 254:3,9 254:18 255:8 256:12 256:16 260:14 264:14 266:15 **beer** 30:6,8 befuddling 84:13 began 186:4 beginning 39:3,16 52:4 79:17 80:5,8,9 94:19 101:12 115:19 214:2 231:4 235:17 254:21 258:15 **behalf** 54:9 behavior 19:12 26:15 behavioral 55:14 106:9 112:12 200:13 201:14 202:2,18 203:11,19 203:20 206:10 207:5 belief 55:7 218:9 believe 6:21 13:16 14:8 29:19 36:11 38:8 50:18 57:21 60:10 74:13 82:20 90:12 117:1 128:14 141:6 152:1 160:16 163:22 184:16 221:10 225:20 245:20 255:20 260:22 265:18 266:7 believed 190:14 Belknap 22:11 134:9,21 135:12 163:8 benefit 160:7,8,11 163:19 167:22 187:3 228:10 235:20 benefits 156:5,8 157:22 160:21 161:22 166:6 168:18,20 170:6,15 172:10 208:14 212:20 214:16 215:11 216:5 216:8 217:11 222:22 223:2,10 226:1,8,21 227:4,14 228:9,13

229:5 230:19 233:6 236:15 237:5 239:11 benefitted 191:22 Berman 84:12 85:7 87:18 96:16 97:11 106:15 **BERNIE** 2:20 best 19:21 38:2,3 39:13 57:11 61:2 126:2 150:6 233:11 better 35:21 52:21 88:13 95:7 101:14 104:19 118:8 124:17 125:2,9 126:1 129:22 142:16 187:10 196:16 214:21 227:19 234:6 235:4 263:3 betterment 8:15 beyond 66:4 218:9 266:19 BHIP 112:15 115:4 **biq** 8:6 21:18 40:4 44:20,22 47:18 62:14 63:18 132:15 136:18 150:1,4 178:19,21 186:6.6 187:9 192:6 223:16 234:16 249:6 253:19 265:12 bigger 252:17,18 265:12 **biggest** 110:3 Bilirakis 54:6,8 59:20 60:5,9,14 175:12 bill 58:18 188:21 **Billings** 178:10 **billion** 74:2,10 78:8 billions 75:2 **bio** 38:15 42:22 238:15 biologically 239:19 biosignatures 187:10 bipartisan 187:16 **bipolar** 92:19 93:6,7,10 188:4,5,9 240:3 bit 5:4 94:11 101:22 155:18 158:4,5 159:7 195:17 204:9 209:22 210:1 214:19 235:7 246:11 249:10 **BLA** 77:21.21.21 blah 215:12,12,12 236:16,16,17 blatant 191:1 bleeding 224:3 **bless** 20:19,19 59:16,16 153:15 blocking 235:9 **blood** 220:3 **bloodline** 19:15,17

blown 184:9 256:19 **blurt** 86:13 board 1:17 2:4 33:11,16 82:14 118:1 163:18 **body** 15:16 202:19 204:3 256:2 **boggles** 84:17 bolster 108:12 109:13 111:3 bolstered 261:13 book 15:10 31:20 32:5 137:6 210:10 217:14 boom 253:17,17 **borderline** 93:8,16 boring 47:1 born 30:1 55:6 **bottom** 18:4 20:11,13 71:1 133:16 140:9 Boxer 32:18 boxes 255:13 **boy** 164:21 **BPD** 93:5,8 94:6 bragged 191:6,9 brain 246:17 branch 107:22 127:17 127:19 140:22 141:16 149:10 168:14 192:14 192:16 217:4 247:12 break 38:16 42:22 43:18 98:7 151:13 174:5 238:13,15 breathtaking 135:11 brew 30:7 brewer 30:13 brewing 30:5 bridge 140:6 178:16 briefly 5:13 68:21 251:21 262:18 brilliant 229:8 bring 11:20 45:11 64:21 67:5 73:20 82:21 100:6 112:5 163:13 178:3 190:18 195:11 202:14 215:20 258:8 261:16 bringing 32:16 47:11 75:6 198:2 brings 10:4 48:11 200:9 brink 56:12 **BRITCH** 2:19 British 21:21 broad 99:8,9 182:18 247:16 broken 16:9 41:21 44:11,18 brother 19:5 brought 56:11 71:2 115:22 116:9 147:18

159:10 188:17 200:19 209:6 225:13 244:22 249:13 250:5 251:22 **Brown** 191:4,5,15 192:2 **budget** 20:8 74:15 75:4 77:1 budget-wise 20:7 build 50:12 72:6 building 31:6 253:17 builds 73:6 built 80:2 179:11 **bulk** 53:11 bullet 49:14 74:2,7,19 74:21,22 124:22 133:15 194:17 247:11 247:12 **bunch** 73:4 188:18,19 229:6 burdens 22:6 bureaucracy 136:16 burning 45:3 Bush 1:17 С C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S 3:1 C.H 88:9 cafeteria 174:6 California 2:3 **call** 18:14 30:4 46:20 47:4 63:8 91:5 106:8 107:8 142:4,5 203:11 214:22 225:18 242:18 called 6:13 30:1 136:12 calling 49:19 90:6 99:17 115:14 143:21 callout 255:13 calls 36:19 42:17 campuses 245:3 cancer 123:3 cannabinoids 229:22 cannabis 208:14,22 209:11 212:9,9,16 214:16 216:5,17,21 217:1,2,6,9,12 218:9 219:10 220:10 221:4 221:7,19 222:14 223:3,11,16 225:3,16 227:4 231:2,11 236:16 239:12 240:12 capable 164:1 capacity 9:17 163:15 capitated 201:5 Capitol 1:9 captain 2:2,4 12:2 caption 68:20 69:6,16 69:16 capture 184:7 196:6 231:10

captured 61:22 133:3 146:7,8 captures 51:6 127:20 **CARA** 57:10 care 2:1 6:17 9:4 14:7 20:6 21:22 25:15 29:7 33:20 37:22 45:19 55:15 60:3,4 73:22 74:1,1,20 75:1 80:22 82:2,14,15 104:8 116:17 118:8 119:21 121:7,18 123:7,9 124:21 125:11,21,22 126:2 129:10,12 131:2 133:19 136:2,6 139:16 147:4 153:5 161:10 175:22 176:13 176:14,17 179:2 180:19 181:19 183:1 184:12 186:10 187:2 187:17 191:12 193:6 199:4,4 200:15 217:13 243:5 244:12 245:12 247:18 257:17 265:22 career 18:16 155:21 191:19 careful 106:19 caring 14:11 CARRILLO 2:8 carry 49:16 case 27:13 40:12 253:17 259:4 cases 44:14 82:1,1 118:6 149:18 168:3 241:13,14 **Casin** 2:7 210:9 221:12 266:16 Castillo 192:8 catch 100:5 203:2 categories 16:18 category 16:14 100:18 102:5 103:7 159:16 caught 25:9 cause 16:10 causes 15:14,14 240:9 240:11 cautionary 221:6 cautious 132:6 222:17 center 1:10 2:2 53:15 74:8 78:3 106:8 177:11 243:20 centered 72:10 146:14 257:21 261:10 Centers 58:16 75:17 **central** 22:12 cents 103:5 110:19 centuries 24:20,20

Century 84:4 **CEO** 6:4 certain 90:19,21 91:12 92:4 131:3,10 169:21 182:11 184:15 194:8 194:11 195:7,9 196:5 196:10,10,17,18 197:1,2,19 198:9 200:21 222:10 234:8 240:22 certainly 29:9 42:14 52:7 105:18 266:9 cetera 56:4 67:1 134:15 134:16 164:17,17 250:14 **CFR** 168:15 169:10 chairman 7:9,14 11:16 13:5,21 17:7 20:12 21:2 22:21 23:15,18 39:17 44:5 50:4 75:12 77:8 82:10 85:4 86:12 106:15 137:18 140:4 165:8 171:6 181:11 189:5 193:11 205:6 211:21 213:10 216:3 239:7 246:2 256:15 259:6 **challenge** 44:21,22 105:4 164:1 challenges 83:13 136:16 245:1 chance 92:20 change 19:11,12 26:15 34:19 35:4,6,14,15,21 35:21 48:9 81:15 152:2 172:5,15 199:6 202:15 231:9 233:4 245:16 247:4 249:6 changed 19:11 45:4 78:19 203:14 236:20 261:18 changes 41:4 73:12,16 99:2 128:7 138:15,15 202:21 225:9 236:2.4 236:8 changing 230:18 243:17,22 244:5,18 channels 229:12 Chaplain 21:9 chaplains 106:11 200:14 chapter 13:1 168:15 characteristics 72:9 charade 259:21 260:2 charge 42:5 54:4 76:18 98:6 118:22 121:4,5 125:16 164:12 charged 132:21

charges 116:1 117:2 check 262:17 chi 121:12 **Chicago** 22:9 191:3 Chief 2:7 124:6 child 30:18 childhood 155:5 children 12:6 16:16 19.7 **Chinese** 32:18 **Chinman** 201:22 206:4 Chippewa 30:2 choice 39:22 choose 49:10 179:15 200:20 choosing 214:1 chose 53:7 198:19 chosen 178:11 197:1,9 197:10,11,12 **Chosin** 31:21 chronic 16:17,17 90:4 232:10 **chunk** 160:21 **CIH** 89:18,21 116:16 121:6 122:10 circumspect 211:18 citation 204:1 cite 234:6 citizen 17:20 **city** 22:9 civilian 45:18 58:2 80:22 81:22 160:4 174:11 201:4 238:16 claim 215:10 225:4 claims 142:1 Clancy's 84:2 clarification 91:11 92:9 92:13 119:19 127:16 159:22 165:10 196:20 clarify 77:16 92:7 clarity 194:1,4 195:6 207:9 class 158:19 159:3 clause 228:10 clean 86:4 114:10 212:17 cleaned 68:7 136:9 clear 86:15,20 112:5 141:12 142:9 152:12 152:16 177:1 194:7 196:9 222:5 229:20 260:7 263:20 cleared 212:13 clearer 172:11 clears 233:9 clinic 2:1 7:21 33:15 112:15 132:3 178:10 196:11

clinical 9:15.17 66:3 117:9 118:9 122:2 176:5,12,18 177:3 182:21 183:9 184:1,2 184:17 192:21 206:12 226:7 clinician 224:21 clinicians 146:14 212:14 226:18 233:17 246:22 close 24:21 102:20 192:3 232:22 265:1 closer 19:5 closure 37:3 cloth 28:9 **co-** 85:12 co-chair 1:14 5:18,22 23:21 37:9 44:4 49:18 84:12 85:7 87:18 97:11 106:15 123:11 126:5 127:2 136:15 142:18 146:18 150:22 165:9 173:15 177:5 185:9 189:20 199:22 208:2 211:2 213:10 214:5.10.18 218:4 220:13 223:7 226:22 235:5 237:16,20 238:5,7,11 242:9 251:7 254:3,9,18 255:8 256:12.16 260:14 264:14 266:15 co-occurring 57:16 **co-sponsor** 60:10 coaches 106:11 200:14 coalescing 36:20 Coast 224:19 cocktail 34:16 code 256:7 coding 65:21 cognitive 186:21 cognizant 45:10 cold 59:12 collaborate 211:12.19 213:18 214:14 218:13 236:13 collaboration 212:19 colleague 46:19 260:15 colleagues 6:11 7:10 7:11,12 collect 81:22 126:10,12 collected 70:9 81:8,9 137:12 collecting 81:21 251:20 collection 30:22 129:10 college 32:15 colonel 1:16 17:21 42:4 color 19:18

	1	I	I
Columbia 153:2 155:1	38:7 39:20 43:11,18	communicating 125:9	209:10,18 210:15
combat 17:22 18:1,2	48:18 54:5 55:6 57:17	communities 154:1	216:4,16 217:4,18
134:9,18 135:14	70:10,12 71:3 75:8	155:14	222:8
come 4:19 7:13 8:3,4	104:4,15 123:16,20	community 30:15,19	conducted 176:5
8:15 12:1 15:3 16:6	124:1 125:18 143:17	44:17 45:20 58:1	conducting 217:22
19:14 22:19 23:19	149:15 151:5,22	129:10,12 131:2,17	219:12,14
25:1 26:20 34:4 43:9	156:1 164:4 165:7	154:3 160:4 178:13	conference 243:19
43:16 44:7 50:10	175:7 186:4 209:4	companies 51:5 220:11	confidence 86:2 118:14
52:17 54:22 55:8 61:6	215:20 222:7 233:17	company 30:11 217:17	confident 55:11 121:8
61:8 72:14 86:18	244:1,3,22 248:14	compare 266:19	confirm 39:19 65:10
100:10 101:18 105:2	249:4 265:2,14 266:4	compared 35:19	confirmed 41:9
114:12 128:21 129:4 132:16 134:21 135:11	267:5 Commissioner 4:7 5:8	compassionate 24:9 96:11	conflate 104:1 105:11
146:3 153:5 156:9	9:11,12 11:13,14 13:3	compelling 27:13,13	conflating 114:7 142:11 confusing 172:6
163:7 168:21 183:7	13:4 17:12,13 20:22	competencies 238:1	confusion 68:10 212:12
208:8 212:1,18	20:22 23:12,13,22	competent 24:9	congratulate 265:6
215:11 220:2 232:9	27:18 29:11 37:4 40:8	competitive 34:2	Congratulations 88:3,4
252:7 256:4,13	46:7 48:15 51:22 63:4	compile 192:8	Congress 54:13 126:22
260:19,22 265:15	87:11 88:10 97:4,19	complain 31:8	127:8 133:12 141:15
comes 19:11 110:4	104:6 105:5 106:6	complementary 54:17	179:1 187:12 215:1
117:17 120:6 123:9	135:17 137:21 138:4	56:11 57:1,7 91:1	218:7 224:5,8,9
128:11 180:12 182:16	139:11 143:21,22	117:15 121:7 133:8	259:14 260:13
192:16 222:6	145:15 150:15 151:6	complete 131:16	congressional 74:15
comfortable 65:12	163:14 171:14,15,20	145:16 170:7	75:4 118:4 127:3
73:15 121:21	173:7,20 181:22	completed 134:11	Congressman 54:5
coming 4:15 12:18	185:15 189:8,13	completely 165:12	59:19 175:12
15:21 32:19 33:17	190:3,17 191:2 193:3	230:20 231:14	connected 19:16
37:13 38:15 44:15,17	199:12,15 200:5	complex 244:11	connection 33:5 250:8
45:22 54:11 108:20	206:9 207:11,16	compliance 210:6	connects 109:20
143:15 215:21 226:14	208:9 239:6 240:17	component 57:21	connotes 230:19
232:5 247:15 248:13	242:2 244:22 249:6	64:11 71:17 72:1	conqueror 17:15
comma 111:2 196:4 commander 21:9	250:22 252:22 258:21 265:4,20	108:2 112:11 258:2,3 258:19	conscience 176:11 179:9
		2,00,13	
187.16.20			
187:16,20 commas 39:22	commissioners 13:6	components 72:11	consequences 219:11
commas 39:22	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20	components 72:11 258:16,18	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8
commas 39:22 commend 67:16	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15
commas 39:22 commend 67:16	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9 comments 8:5 38:12	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14 Commissions 36:14	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18 concerns 16:2 99:10	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7 consolidated 40:11
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9 comments 8:5 38:12 43:2 60:17 65:22 67:9	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14 Commissions 36:14 commit 16:11	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18 concerns 16:2 99:10 126:19 246:6	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7 consolidated 40:11 constituent 48:19
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9 comments 8:5 38:12 43:2 60:17 65:22 67:9 67:22 87:8 123:14	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14 Commissions 36:14 commit 16:11 commitment 13:12	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18 concerns 16:2 99:10 126:19 246:6 concerted 129:1	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7 consolidated 40:11 constituent 48:19 constituents 4:21
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9 comments 8:5 38:12 43:2 60:17 65:22 67:9 67:22 87:8 123:14 128:16 205:5 240:18	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14 Commissions 36:14 commit 16:11 commitment 13:12 14:4,10 154:5	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18 concerns 16:2 99:10 126:19 246:6 concerted 129:1 conclude 15:3	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7 consolidated 40:11 constituent 48:19 constituents 4:21 37:16 49:13 56:9
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9 comments 8:5 38:12 43:2 60:17 65:22 67:9 67:22 87:8 123:14 128:16 205:5 240:18 242:1 246:6 250:18	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14 commit 16:11 commit 16:12 14:4,10 154:5 commitment 154:5	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18 concerns 16:2 99:10 126:19 246:6 concerted 129:1 conclude 15:3 conclusion 105:3	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7 consolidated 40:11 constituent 48:19 constituent 48:19 constituents 4:21 37:16 49:13 56:9 59:14 242:19
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9 comments 8:5 38:12 43:2 60:17 65:22 67:9 67:22 87:8 123:14 128:16 205:5 240:18 242:1 246:6 250:18 264:7 265:3 266:14	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14 commit 16:11 commit 16:12 14:4,10 154:5 commitment 154:5 committee 21:3 84:21	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18 concerns 16:2 99:10 126:19 246:6 concerted 129:1 conclude 15:3 conclusion 105:3 concur 75:5 256:21	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7 consolidated 40:11 constituent 48:19 constituent 48:19 constituents 4:21 37:16 49:13 56:9 59:14 242:19 constraint 220:18
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9 comments 8:5 38:12 43:2 60:17 65:22 67:9 67:22 87:8 123:14 128:16 205:5 240:18 242:1 246:6 250:18 264:7 265:3 266:14 267:3	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14 Commissions 36:14 commit 16:11 commitment 13:12 14:4,10 154:5 commitment 154:5 committee 21:3 84:21 139:12 151:20	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18 concerns 16:2 99:10 126:19 246:6 concerted 129:1 conclude 15:3 conclusion 105:3 concur 75:5 256:21 condensing 64:12	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7 consolidated 40:11 constituent 48:19 constituents 4:21 37:16 49:13 56:9 59:14 242:19 constraint 220:18 construed 221:10
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9 comments 8:5 38:12 43:2 60:17 65:22 67:9 67:22 87:8 123:14 128:16 205:5 240:18 242:1 246:6 250:18 242:1 246:6 250:18 264:7 265:3 266:14 267:3 commission 1:3,9 4:4	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14 commit 16:11 commit 16:12 14:4,10 154:5 commitment 154:5 committee 21:3 84:21	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18 concerns 16:2 99:10 126:19 246:6 concerted 129:1 conclude 15:3 conclusion 105:3 concur 75:5 256:21	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7 consolidated 40:11 constituent 48:19 constituent 48:19 constituents 4:21 37:16 49:13 56:9 59:14 242:19 constraint 220:18 construed 221:10 Consulting 2:10,14,15
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9 comments 8:5 38:12 43:2 60:17 65:22 67:9 67:22 87:8 123:14 128:16 205:5 240:18 242:1 246:6 250:18 264:7 265:3 266:14 267:3	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14 Commissions 36:14 commit 16:11 commitment 13:12 14:4,10 154:5 commitment 154:5 committee 21:3 84:21 139:12 151:20 committing 15:13,21	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18 concerns 16:2 99:10 126:19 246:6 concerted 129:1 conclude 15:3 conclusion 105:3 concur 75:5 256:21 condensing 64:12 condition 19:2 159:18	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7 consolidated 40:11 constituent 48:19 constituents 4:21 37:16 49:13 56:9 59:14 242:19 constraint 220:18 construed 221:10
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9 comments 8:5 38:12 43:2 60:17 65:22 67:9 67:22 87:8 123:14 128:16 205:5 240:18 242:1 246:6 250:18 242:1 246:6 250:18 264:7 265:3 266:14 267:3 commission 1:3,9 4:4 5:14 6:2 8:7,8 9:15	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14 Commissions 36:14 commit 16:11 commitment 13:12 14:4,10 154:5 commitments 154:5 committee 21:3 84:21 139:12 151:20 committing 15:13,21 16:3	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18 concerns 16:2 99:10 126:19 246:6 concerted 129:1 conclude 15:3 conclusion 105:3 concur 75:5 256:21 condensing 64:12 condition 19:2 159:18 193:7 202:5 232:7	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7 consolidated 40:11 constituent 48:19 constituents 4:21 37:16 49:13 56:9 59:14 242:19 constraint 220:18 construed 221:10 Consulting 2:10,14,15 content 40:9,16,17
commas 39:22 commend 67:16 comment 29:13 50:5 67:10 85:6 93:15 94:2 96:10 107:11 118:21 123:15,20 124:3 137:9 175:12 177:5 205:7 224:11 247:14 259:7 commentary 4:16 43:10 44:3 46:8 118:18 206:9 comments 8:5 38:12 43:2 60:17 65:22 67:9 67:22 87:8 123:14 128:16 205:5 240:18 242:1 246:6 250:18 264:7 265:3 266:14 267:3 commission 1:3,9 4:4 5:14 6:2 8:7,8 9:15 10:1,8,13 11:17 12:1	commissioners 13:6 18:11 29:4,11 31:20 32:4 35:8 36:3 38:3 40:13 41:16 42:9 44:6 48:13 65:11 81:18 86:6,10,17 87:10 89:20 90:9 98:14 135:20 149:22 150:3 156:18 174:3 184:21 189:10 203:7 208:11 213:3 226:18 238:22 242:15 260:5 265:7 266:1,14 Commissions 36:14 commit 16:11 commitment 13:12 14:4,10 154:5 commitments 154:5 committee 21:3 84:21 139:12 151:20 committing 15:13,21 16:3 common 12:11 28:3	components 72:11 258:16,18 composed 116:6 Comprehensive 55:5 compromise 229:1 computer 41:1,2 concentrated 31:5 concept 116:15 128:2 260:16 concern 15:11 109:19 114:2 214:13 223:6 concerned 16:22 concerning 109:18 concerns 16:2 99:10 126:19 246:6 concerted 129:1 conclude 15:3 conclusion 105:3 concur 75:5 256:21 condensing 64:12 condition 19:2 159:18 193:7 202:5 232:7 conditions 186:4 188:1	consequences 219:11 219:18 225:8 consider 14:14 49:19 51:9 68:17 69:15 83:11 104:16 108:11 109:13 111:2 123:12 134:13 consideration 50:21 84:7 considered 56:22 considering 121:6 consistent 90:5 122:14 153:7 consolidated 40:11 constituent 48:19 constituents 4:21 37:16 49:13 56:9 59:14 242:19 constraint 220:18 construed 221:10 Consulting 2:10,14,15 content 40:9,16,17 41:11 47:2,6,21 48:3

Ш

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

128:10 174:18 255:15 contentious 190:13 context 25:15 133:22 229:9 248:9 contextualization 256:11 continue 15:7 17:2 55:13 126:13 156:6 186:14 244:7 continued 59:2 107:20 continuing 100:8 continuous 83:4,12 84:15 continuum 16:19 Contracting 2:12 contradicts 204:10 contribute 141:19 control 222:15 controlled 89:5 controversial 218:6 **convene** 42:22 convenience 120:9 conveniently 60:19 conversation 51:14 52:5.7 61:6 62:20 164:18 208:15 215:22 conversations 6:16 118:11 convince 260:20 **cool** 214:10 cooperate 211:12,19 213:14 214:20 218:13 235:12 cop 217:18 **copy** 15:9 88:7 copyrighted 198:16 **core** 195:10 265:20 **Corps** 1:20 17:16 18:6 28:6 31:13 32:8,16 correct 55:2 59:21 71:8 83:16 91:14 101:16 122:3 175:15 222:17 234:2 corrected 68:9.14 87:5 correction 71:13 203:10 corrections 87:8 correctly 104:11 cost 78:4 164:22 216:5 costing 74:9,14,16,17 76:19 costs 25:7 208:14 212:21 214:16 216:8 222:21 223:5,10 227:17 couched 121:9 261:19 could've 143:9 counseled 153:19

count 111:15,16 112:17 counter-clockwise 5:19 counterargument 257:8 counters 76:2 country 8:16 9:2 18:6 21:19 22:3 24:16 25:14 26:3,7 30:4,5 31:10 32:2 38:9 45:4 56:10 58:16 72:22 126:12 151:22 165:4 177:2 179:8 226:12 couple 24:20 68:2 69:13 70:14,20 71:5 72:14 73:9 80:4 81:13 88:13 91:6 95:4 135:20 149:14 172:2 185:13 213:2 244:14 262:13 265:9 coupled 57:8 course 27:22 43:19 56:13 70:8,20 86:3 123:16 court-martialed 18:18 **cover** 1:3 4:4 27:22 55:4 123:16 129:18 152:10 155:5,7,8 175:7 222:7 243:9 267:5 covered 124:1 146:11 165:18 184:14 covers 15:22 243:10 **CPT** 195:2 create 142:2 143:6 153:22 154:20 163:15 163:20 202:10 created 5:16 19:3 creating 1:3 130:17 201:11 creation 245:15 credibility 62:15 253:13 253:15 credit 95:15 criteria 184:15 critical 13:15 31:22 57:2,20 154:10 155:19 201:7 227:1 249:14 cross 262:11,16 crossing 178:16 cup 208:18,20 **Cures** 84:4,4 curious 204:5 current 71:15 72:4 75:17 125:15 164:11 252:10 currently 87:4 102:3

110:22 112:14,19 144:13,21 183:17 234:14 243:10 252:8 customer 33:22 34:1 265:20 customized 123:8 130:5 cutting 231:1 D **D.C** 1:10 daily 36:19 125:10 dais 54:6 **DANIEL 2:10** dash 79:2,8 data 70:3,5,7,15,16 71:7,9,17,20,20,22 72:12 73:8,18 75:14 81:12 83:4,14 131:11 133:14 137:13,13 148:10 176:21 179:7 182:9 183:2 192:6 date 31:11 93:3 216:7 Davis 148:15 day 4:15 37:9 41:9,14 51:13 65:11 66:9 128:3 146:21 147:5 184:11 192:4 240:6 247:15 davs 4:22 11:9 32:15.16 37:19 207:13 208:8 266:8 deal 15:1 57:4 124:13 127:5 132:18 153:15 171:19 241:13 262:6 dealing 13:11 113:8 115:12 deals 142:9 **DEANNA** 2:21 dear 28:8 death 188:6 debate 85:16 201:19 203:6 205:5 265:15 debates 37:2 175:9 debilitating 56:18 decade 19:1 219:19 decades 155:2 December 1:8 4:4 23:6 175:7 265:5 267:5 decide 210:20 decided 81:1 82:12 decides 166:15 decision 67:6 83:11 136:22 194:9 197:14 223:13 260:6 dedicated 21:8 24:8 26:7,977:1878:8 dedication 24:17

deemed 157:14 165:15 deep 6:16 250:15 defeats 69:21 defending 26:7 Defense 16:3 201:13,15 203:22 Defense's 176:4 182:21 defer 44:1 138:13 239:5 deferred 138:21 define 80:15 82:2 89:18 defined 79:5,19 81:21 182:22 defines 80:18 definitely 8:1 57:5 89:10 93:9 96:18,21 119:6,7 159:9 204:6 224:20 definition 78:17 degree 17:18 117:13,14 164:13 delete 205:14 deliberate 265:15 deliberations 175:9 189:9 203:6 deliver 37:18 45:18,19 152:7 183:14 **delivered** 34:16 176:8 delivery 33:19 37:22 60:4 123:6 Democrat 60:10 density 112:18 dental 35:11 **Department** 1:1 7:20 16:3 20:4,8 176:4 182:21 201:13.15 departments 223:18 235:19 deployed 16:4 deployments 15:20 depression 175:18 176:1 179:4 181:20 182:12 187:11 Deputy 6:7 derived 48:16 describe 72:5 81:13 154:15 described 63:18 71:15 72:11 74:21 describes 100:11 describing 170:13 description 80:1 81:4 113:14 172:20 196:2 261:7 desensitization 191:7 deserve 28:10 126:2 design 169:1 255:17,21 Designated 2:9 designed 49:9

despondent 16:11 detail 68:13 136:1 **detailed** 64:1 81:5,12 determine 209:14,15 220:11 260:11 determined 58:20 detract 255:14 develop 126:7 139:15 143:5 154:1 155:15 156:4 216:20 developed 81:5 82:7 133:10 144:14 153:3 154:22 developing 187:10 development 39:6 107:20 deviate 250:10 **DFO** 2:11,11,12,14,16 2:17diagnose 186:3 diagnosed 241:19 diagnoses 246:14 diathesis-155:1 died 34:17 difference 35:7 36:16 38:1.2.8 206:3 266:6 differences 8:20 different 8:9,9,13,14 11:1,6 14:13 15:5 16:20 19:13 23:4 30:20 32:12 45:12 52:12 92:19 116:12 130:9,11 142:22 151:21 154:16 158:5 159:1.16 161:16 181:6 188:18 192:10 193:19 207:2 225:17 230:1,20 231:14 236:9 241:16 243:12 243:14 253:8 256:13 differentiated 206:15 difficult 36:2 151:8 166:17 177:20 188:22 189:1 249:19 difficulties 134:5 141:20 243:15 difficulty 120:3 130:3,4 246:18 diligence 226:9 265:7 diligent 97:20 diligently 125:17 direct 215:2 directing 209:10 direction 35:20 38:17 210:6 257:17 directionally 41:17 directive 244:11 directly 53:4 152:16

Director 1:16,19,21 2:1 7:21 33:16 Directors 33:11 disability 155:19 157:15 159:17 163:4 167:18,20 170:9 disagree 23:1 142:19 146:19 257:10 265:14 disagreed 6:18 20:13 164:20 disassociated 16:15 discharge 134:15 discharged 136:11 167:12 disconcerted 15:15 disconnect 132:20 discount 121:17 discovered 29:22 118:10 225:21 226:18 discovering 25:2 240:11 discovery 123:16 228:8 discuss 17:5 31:4 216:8 217:11 discussed 37:3 44:1 48:12 63:14 125:6 193:21 232:18 261:17 discussing 141:21 143:17 146:2 255:12 discussion 37:2 40:18 63:8 83:7 85:2.5.17 86:7,10 97:2 116:21 137:19 138:1,15,20 139:6,10 150:11 171:21 172:2 173:4 176:20 181:22 184:21 189:8 194:2 200:9 203:5 205:4 207:16 213:7 219:6 240:17 261:14 264:7 discussions 10:2 12:16 43:10 53:19 175:9 199:11 dismantle 64:22 disorder 90:4,7 92:19 93:6,7,9,10,17 186:18 187:21,22 188:4,5,9 215:12 240:3 disorganized 27:11 distilled 265:18 distributed 51:2 diverse 10:5 12:10 diversity 10:3 28:2 32:9 32:9 102:14 divided 40:10 divorce 155:10,10,12 doable 50:11 doctor 116:13 259:5

doctor's 147:1 doctors 33:20 58:7 document 7:6 47:16,17 47:18,21 48:4 49:12 129:13,21 130:4,11 130:14 137:11 145:9 147:17 148:7 195:15 204:10 205:16,21 206:2,5 218:18 258:14 documentation 49:4 135:1 222:13 documents 49:5 142:4 **DoD** 193:1 202:7,17 203:11,20 dog 22:17 doing 6:12 11:18 22:19 24:13 28:3 29:1 32:17 35:17 36:1 48:6 49:7 52:21 54:9,21 55:10 56:16 59:1 62:1,1,5 65:5 72:7 82:1,2 85:13 86:8 88:21 89:13 94:19 95:7,8 100:8 103:18 104:18 112:4 117:10 125:8.8 128:1,2 148:12 149:1 149:3,18 177:12 178:10 194:22 202:17 215:6 228:13,17 231:8,13 256:8 259:21 265:21 dollar 77:6 dollars 74:3 75:2 76:21 114:1 **domiciliary** 167:7,10 door 180:20 **Double** 203:16 double-blind 14:19 downside 213:4 233:18 downsides 215:17 226:6 Dr 23:13 27:18 42:11,11 42:12 60:9 83:15 84:1 84:5 144:5 147:21 148:2,15 153:1 154:22 155:22 171:7 186:5,16 187:5 188:17 192:22 195:13 200:18 204:16 207:11 245:1 260:15 draft 40:3 69:4 drafter's 243:8 drafting 168:12 dramatic 35:7 dramatically 45:4 231:10 drastically 130:9

drawing 163:18 drawings 157:20 drink 30:7 drive 157:20 driver's 209:19 driving 23:18 184:11 drug 213:22 214:14 216:20 217:16 218:5 225:10 drugs 122:19 216:6 223:11 239:12 **DSM** 92:21 DSM-5 90:5 due 226:9 duty 16:6 18:15 26:8 133:10 151:18,19 Е E 1:14 earlier 37:5 44:1 45:7 48:12,20 61:17 84:2 106:2 137:9 140:5 142:10 147:21 175:11 188:9 200:10,19 early 14:21 40:9 70:7,7 70:21 71:2,10 79:5,6 79:14 118:7 221:15 235:20 earmarked 107:19 earn 113:22 earning 103:3 easier 134:17 165:2 246:11 easy 40:9 62:20 65:21 69:14 ecosystem 152:7 ECT 177:6 178:10 179:18,19 182:6 edge 224:3 231:1 edible 242:18 editing 40:2 203:1 edition 79:13 edits 91:6 **EDLEMAN** 2:20 educate 217:10 education 102:10,17 106:21 109:7 129:12 132:14,17 educational 124:12 effect 83:8 240:10,11 effective 12:17 28:21 56:15 58:21,22 175:22 180:7 181:19 182:13 198:18 201:3 209:8 effectively 186:3 201:11 209:20 effects 217:5,12 219:15

220:9 226:5 233:21 237:9 efficacy 213:15 effort 28:5 96:18 129:1 148:9 efforts 104:19 108:12 109:14 111:4 185:20 186:1 eight 114:7,13 115:7 124:22 142:12 either 37:10 114:3 119:22 120:1,14 194:19 206:9 225:15 248:16 253:9 260:4 electroconvulsive 176:9 electronically 77:9 78:15 elevator 48:14,15 50:6 eligibility 130:6,9,10 134:5,11 135:7,13 136:8 141:20 142:2,3 eligible 136:2 eliminate 156:3 eliminated 74:19,21 eliminating 111:5 eloquently 62:5 **EMBARC** 187:7 embarking 55:12 embedded 228:16 embedding 129:5 **EMDR** 191:7 195:4,9 197:3,14 emergency 152:22 153:6 220:2 223:18 226:19 235:18 eminent 260:14 emotional 23:22 emphasize 135:9 employability 157:8 159:3 employable 168:8 employed 164:10 167:5 employees 142:20 employing 211:9 employment 156:22 157:7 161:15,18 169:15,21 172:8,9 empowered 123:21 encounter 59:9 encourage 214:19 ends 124:13 engage 156:6 162:1 223:9 224:1 227:2 236:14 237:17 239:9 engaged 6:16 188:11 209:18 244:21 engagement 152:21

223:12 engaging 35:1 153:7 engine 249:10 enhanced 240:18 enjoy 30:7 enjoyed 6:10,11 13:7 enlisted 17:16 enormous 96:6 enrolled 136:4 ensure 28:8 90:14 107:19 168:16 171:8 172:7,8,9 186:10 202:11 210:12 216:15 216:19 243:4 247:17 248:13 ensuring 180:21 enter 47:6 enterprise 193:2 245:17 entire 19:16 26:22 41:19 64:14,17 65:4 66:13 75:22 82:7 94:4 94:15 132:8 138:10 139:10 142:16 145:6 149:8 151:7 258:14 entirely 219:16 entities 178:6 entitled 134:19 entity 149:5 environment 19:3 199:3 201:5 environmental 154:21 envisioned 41:12 epidemic 122:19 225:18 226:5 episodic 15:10 equally 26:9 equates 109:7,8 equation 57:2 equipment 35:11 equipped 109:3 Equitable 200:15 equivalent 203:21 216:22 err 164:22 errors 68:2 especially 18:10 55:7 179:3 184:13 201:4 228:20 essence 69:2 236:10 essential 66:10 142:4 191:12 193:7 258:12 essentially 179:18 197:2 establish 108:1 112:10 establishing 85:13 estimated 74:10 75:16 estimates 71:19

et 56:3 67:1 134:15.16 164:17,17 201:22 250:14 ethnic 90:16 91:3 ethnicity 32:10 Etkin 186:5,16 evaluate 209:13 evaluating 89:7 evenly 51:2 events 86:18 everybody 4:11 8:2 9:9 13:22 27:15 30:17,20 37:8 142:22 155:11 188:10 192:13 224:9 227:9 235:16 239:21 everybody's 30:16 everyone's 10:11 73:15 evidence 14:17,18,22 15:4 25:22 58:20 67:12 89:8 152:12 153:12 156:22 168:16 172:7,9 182:19 201:3 235:8 evidence- 56:14 153:14 evidence-based 56:7 67:11 117:10 123:6 183:1 190:22 191:13 193:18,20 258:3 exact 179:5 212:1 220:4 exactly 41:17 76:7 91:18,18 115:9 128:8 163:8 167:11 170:12 187:8 198:3 220:15 234:17 example 44:20 64:5 72:19 91:15 167:1 168:3 182:8 194:20 194:20 230:16 257:19 Excellence 75:18 excellent 55:2 58:13 60:5 67:21 173:6 exception 157:1 exceptional 7:1 60:3 147:3 exceptionally 6:15 excited 47:5 55:21 58:9 **exclude** 67:2 excluded 197:21 excluding 89:6 exclusive 253:4 **Excuse** 13:18 executive 1:14,18,20 47:9,13,15 48:16,21 49:1,7 88:21 89:13 107:22 125:16 127:17 127:19 140:22 141:16 164:12 168:14 192:14 192:15 217:3 247:12

261:15 executives 47:18 exempt 162:10,16,18 169:3 171:1 exempting 170:3 exemption 83:2 exhilarate 74:3 exist 129:14 182:22 183:17 184:3 196:20 existing 188:21 189:2 234:5,22 exists 71:10 196:2 expand 185:22 186:14 expanded 243:9 expansion 33:15 expect 141:15 245:10 expedited 1:3 123:21 expensive 198:16 **experience** 13:9 31:12 32:8 102:12 103:4,17 109:8 120:15 129:2 130:13 146:4,13 148:22 155:6 217:15 experiences 32:22 33:9 120:18 expertise 177:11 178:15 experts 28:14 170:11 177:9 explain 52:8,10 244:11 251:21 explained 61:1 explaining 222:9 explains 68:21 explanation 69:10 **explore** 164:13 212:20 214:15 236:15,21 237:12 exposure 186:21 express 10:15 expressed 16:2 extend 4:13 6:9 7:9 266:20 extended 10:22 extensive 245:15 extensively 105:12 141:6 extent 80:14 extract 7:4 extravert 29:21 extreme 33:5 extremely 27:12 36:2 94:13 173:21 eye 191:6,7 eyes 57:15 118:2 126:1 174:12 F

F1:16 fabulous 266:1 face 95:22 119:5 facilities 110:10 153:10 195:10 facility 34:18 110:13,18 114:1 facing 129:13 fact 15:21 18:19 35:22 73:1 147:3 184:10 192:3 232:18 260:1 factions 76:22 factor 155:11,21 factors 16:10 154:21 factual 113:5,5 failed 18:18 fair 81:11 126:3 207:6 fairly 72:3 82:7 169:18 177:15 182:9 229:3 faith 86:2 faith-based 44:12 fall 100:18 166:9 180:6 falls 30:2 179:21 230:12 familiar 40:17 172:21 families 28:4.22 44:11 44:13 199:2 family 16:8 18:9 19:4 30:2,6,19 34:21,22 102:6 161:11 164:15 188:6 fans 255:13 fantastic 30:12 31:16 128:20 far 5:15 19:8 21:14 35:1 75:6 85:14 86:6 124:17 125:9 140:6 144:6 162:9 164:7 174:5 204:14 250:3 farm 30:19 fast 226:14 faster 149:21,22 251:18 father 30:16,21 31:13 32:7 FAUSTMANN 2:8 favor 166:10 258:10 favorite 62:8 63:21 **FDA** 209:12 210:7,19 211:12 212:3,19 213:12,13,20 214:20 215:1,2,4 217:16,17 217:22 218:3,13 219:17 222:3,13 229:13 fear 157:21 166:3 federal 2:9 132:16 154:8 209:12 214:9 223:9 227:3 235:9,11 235:12 236:14 237:17

239:9 feedback 105:19 119:1 125:10 126:7 127:6,7 127:10 131:12 143:5 143:7 146:1,7,12 147:8,14 148:19 232:20 feel 8:17 11:5,16 18:20 36:5 41:22 79:9 89:11 102:22 103:12 121:7 177:9 180:5 241:6 254:2 feeling 109:22 feels 40:8 101:4 266:21 fellow 7:10 13:6 29:3 felt 36:13 61:22 211:8 266:17 field 6:4 33:22 187:12 fight 183:22 fighting 201:1 figure 15:8 51:16 69:7,8 240:9 243:19 figured 188:4 figures 68:10,11,12,18 68:22 figuring 76:2 file 252:9 fill 131:9 257:18 final 58:10 85:4 145:18 207:14 239:2 finally 23:3 26:5 find 68:20 69:10 90:14 121:13 153:19 170:11 176:7 195:8 198:8 215:15 219:18 226:20 233:15 256:6 258:15 266:8 finding 33:4 66:21 findings 58:11 59:4 81:14 152:10 235:6 fine 81:14 146:18 254:5 finest 32:1 finish 151:11 fires 259:7 first 1:10 6:9 7:2 14:12 17:19 32:11 33:21 34:21 35:5 44:2 52:17 53:4,7 55:18 59:22,22 61:4,13 67:16 70:6 74:7,22 75:19 77:10 78:6,7 79:20 86:8 94:3,7,14,21 95:1 108:14 118:1 125:21 128:11 141:18 151:10 155:18 164:4 192:16 193:17 194:17,19 223:1 230:19,19 244:1 247:11 252:7

257:8,12 260:12,13 261:9 265:13 first-generation 17:15 fit 55:7 fits 22:4 107:15 164:7 170:12 234:17 five 21:11 30:8 36:9 42:10 74:3 76:16 77:19 124:7 125:12 202:12 234:12 **Fiverr** 157:19 **fixed** 14:9,10 163:12 192:13 fixes 149:17 flag 221:13 223:1 flagship 153:9 flesh 195:17 flexibility 128:7 137:4 155:8,13 183:7 floor 174:6 236:4 246:5 flow 230:3 flows 53:2 fly 41:5 **focus** 9:18 61:11,19 114:20 116:7 119:7 119:10 120:7 141:11 141:12 146:20 151:19 152:5,9 154:17,18 195:14 231:19 232:9 focused 6:12 72:10 74:5,20 90:13 154:13 focusing 87:4 91:21 183:14 184:2 folding 113:19 foldover 49:10 folks 22:5 59:11 153:13 179:4 202:6 follow 136:20 152:21 205:3 213:13 231:4 235:11 **follow-up** 204:14 font 64:6 food 174:8 214:14 foot 26:19 force 96:20 123:21 137:18 245:14,16 forceful 193:3 forefront 216:12 218:22 219:22 220:7 foremost 6:10 7:2 forest 257:11,13 259:8 forget 147:4,17 forgot 258:22 form 131:22,22 167:20 234:14 formal 63:8 87:10 137:20 143:13 147:10 263:6

formalized 97:1 126:15 formally 85:15 98:5 264:22 formula 112:16 Fort 22:11 134:9,21 135:12 163:7 forth 11:20 40:2 48:1 99:1 100:13 115:6 171:11 191:18 231:3 259:15 262:12 forward 11:9 12:22 23:2 23:9,10 28:18 29:7 39:20 41:6 43:9 50:10 51:17 54:16 59:4,15 61:10 63:10 75:6 76:18 185:16 210:5 215:20 242:19 forwarded 239:3 fought 187:22 found 17:17 35:20 61:18 114:5 136:3 182:2 258:4 four 48:19 74:10 79:2,8 191:9 234:12 fourth 94:7 133:7 fraction 65:19 fragile 188:13 fragmented 19:6 frame 261:5 framed 229:17 framework 81:2 Francisco 2:2 7:22 180:3 Franklin 21:10 Frankly 230:13 free 198:18 frequency 104:16 frequently 92:1 259:14 friend 187:20 friends 21:4,9,11 255:7 friendship 7:12 17:10 front 38:18,20 53:12,15 64:15 67:7 82:12 89:1 89:12 90:1 106:7 233:2 front- 62:3 fronts 31:10 fruition 54:11 frustrating 94:9 fuel 249:10 full 96:20 130:21 258:17 fully 75:11 135:18 146:10 152:20 **Fund** 1:18 fundamentally 245:16 258:4 funding 74:7 78:2

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

107:19 funds 77:19 78:8 further 58:12 86:10 87:7 97:1 137:22 138:20 139:6 150:11 171:20 172:2 173:3 181:22 184:20 189:8 199:11 203:5 205:4 207:16 211:14 227:13 240:17 241:22 250:18 264:6 future 18:8 38:8 51:1 G gain 157:16 game 214:12 220:19 gamut 15:22 gap 19:22 192:18 194:6 194:10,22 195:6,8,21 196:1,2,13 197:15 gaps 19:3,4 130:22 190:9.21 193:5 196:20 228:18 gateway 225:10 qathering 148:10 gauge 167:14 gear 246:12 gee 258:4 general 4:13 5:3,11,15 6:7 14:17 25:6 31:15 37:15 38:5,12 41:21 43:1,7,9,12 45:1 57:16 60:16,17 106:3 175:11 239:1 General's 245:6 generalized 107:10 123:13 generals 32:2 generated 133:17 generation 18:9 31:7 generic 100:11 gentleman 31:17 George 1:16 21:20 Germany 30:3 getting 18:21 25:9 33:15 36:1 61:12,20 95:6 106:1,20 110:17 110:18 119:4,20 120:1 121:18 123:18 125:22 126:15 128:13 141:10 151:8 179:8 184:5,12 195:10 215:20 245:11 **GI** 18:2 giant 253:22 Gibson 50:22 **give** 5:4,12 20:1 43:8 47:22 60:11 72:18

91:15 131:16 135:2 155:14 168:7 215:4 224:14 239:15 257:2 qiven 18:13,17 26:10 109:1 132:9 134:15 145:15 159:17 160:18 168:6 231:20 giving 23:22 132:3 159:4 177:22 179:14 240:7 glad 149:15 240:7 249:7 glassware 209:4 glossary 95:10 goal 36:7 41:9 161:17 181:2 God 20:19,19 59:16,16 **GOODRICH** 2:9 83:22 174:7 Google 243:18 244:17 gotten 27:12 37:4 98:14 99:3 143:10 158:15 212:13 government 18:3 47:14 154:8 235:9 grabbing 23:7 grade 103:18,19 109:7 graduate 19:7 graduates 102:11 grammar 68:2 grand 22:4 102:16 grant 153:22 154:4 grants 140:11 graphic 69:17,22 grateful 133:16 gratitude 7:9 greatest 31:7 greatly 250:10 grew 30:6 ground 153:21 grounded 123:7 group 6:11,22 10:5 36:14 42:18 43:19 61:19 82:13 96:15 116:7 126:10 132:6 152:5 158:20 211:15 224:1 231:19 233:12 239:18 240:1,1 260:8 265:10 groups 29:5 36:9 40:10 41:21 42:6,7,10,16 92:4,5 114:20 119:7 119:10 120:7 130:6 140:1 141:11,12 166:18 232:10 240:22 241:5 260:10 growing 13:9 30:11,14 30:19

grown 10:13 21:4 30:13 **GROZDANIC** 2:9 GS-9 102:5,15 **GS-9s** 102:16 quess 23:3 62:9 73:19 74:12 96:4 101:19 103:11 118:20 121:2 121:5 133:20 148:15 156:22 161:2 163:13 171:5 176:10 186:6 187:18 195:12 217:15 guidance 155:13 210:4 guideline 183:9 guidelines 176:5,12,18 177:3 182:22 183:11 183:17 184:2,3,18 192:21 213:14 235:12 gun 154:6 gung-ho 32:19 37:12 42:1 265:9,10 Gus 54:6 175:12 н Haley 55:18 58:17 60:2 half 143:19 Hampshire 2:22 Hampton 32:4 hand 26:19 47:17 57:14 57:14 129:15 handed 129:21 handle 188:2 handled 132:14 255:14 hands 246:22 handsome 17:14 Hang 205:10 **HANIFAH** 2:13 **HANLON 2:10** Hannon 21:9 187:16 happen 25:2 34:11,15 35:10 67:20 126:9 131:3 161:8,9 163:6 166:5 180:3 231:12 235:21 251:19 257:14 happened 10:17 11:1 34:5,7,8 35:9 73:2 147:5 235:15 happening 11:2 73:1 80:17 151:21 218:7 223:17 231:8 happens 120:16 130:20 132:20 162:17 168:10 177:13 258:17 happy 60:1 69:6 hard 21:17 23:17 29:3 36:18 55:20 104:10 116:13 119:8 167:14 178:21 179:22 186:20 188:7 244:13 256:9

259:12 265:8 Harvard 202:3,8 Harvey 1:17 13:4,5,20 17:12 18:22 42:15 47:8 48:16 77:8,13 78:14 79:3,6,12 85:18 85:22 87:11,13 91:12 91:15 92:6,10 97:4,5 103:6,14 109:2,10 138:5,6 148:14 149:4 150:15,16 163:2 169:9 173:7,9 185:2 189:13,14 199:15,16 207:17,18 242:2,3 250:22 251:1 264:8 hate 179:21 hated 101:3 head 111:15,16 112:17 headed 171:17 heading 133:7 headline 229:9 Heal 15:10 health 1:15,19 2:1,9,13 2:15 7:21 12:3 25:14 35:18 45:18 55:9 57:15 58:13 71:3 74:1 74:7.9 75:1 78:4 82:15 100:17 101:3,6 102:11 103:1,4 104:8 104:14 106:10,10 112:12 117:15 124:21 126:3 133:8 150:6 154:4,17 155:20 156:9,16 164:5,6,6 176:1 181:4,5,21 185:20 186:1,2,3,7,15 187:15,17 197:12 200:14,14,15 201:14 202:2,4,18 203:11,19 203:20 204:1 206:10 207:5 217:5 223:15 244:12 245:12 246:12 246:14 247:1,6 249:17 257:16 265:22 Health-oriented 52:22 healthcare 6:3,3 14:18 18:21,22 19:22 24:8 24:22 25:6,8,10 33:9 33:19 34:3 37:20,21 72:8 78:2 80:20 82:8 104:20 121:1 132:17 150:6 192:19 200:20 200:22 hear 22:12,13 42:8 53:14 62:22 88:12 111:18 118:20 184:10 213:1 215:13 227:2 264:3

heard 24:13 44:5 46:4 53:19 56:8 67:9 70:11 70:19 112:5 115:14 117:22 137:15 143:3 143:12 148:1,15 150:9 171:21 172:1 211:11,21 212:6 233:16 265:8 hearing 97:3 123:17 138:3 150:14 165:11 165:18 189:12 199:14 203:4 207:12 214:12 233:19 250:21 heart 18:4 20:11,14 28:8 46:11 heartening 24:17 25:19 heavily 42:4 heavy 42:9 94:13 heck 21:21 112:5 Helena 178:7 help 20:3 22:19 35:2 37:19 38:4 47:5 63:15 66:11 69:6 124:12 130:1 137:5 140:11 153:19 154:1 155:14 157:16 183:14 267:1 helped 192:4 helpful 11:20 52:9 88:18 89:18 131:5 214:2 221:21 helping 8:22 26:9 58:1 121:16 135:5 225:4 helps 80:10 heroes 54:10 55:9 59:11 hesitate 59:9 **Hey** 248:14 hide 232:17 233:1 hierarchy 131:11 high 19:7 45:18 102:10 102:17 155:21 160:7 161:8 179:4 212:11 229:3 high-level 123:21 higher 64:6 155:9 224:17 241:1 highlight 65:2 183:10 186:16 192:4 194:14 194:21 201:10.18 204:13 244:15 255:20 highlighted 64:6 153:1 156:1 191:14 219:9 233:3 245:6 highly 32:3 Hill 1:9 208:16 hire 74:4 77:20 hires 205:8,13,17 historic 4:5

historical 39:11 history 225:18 hit 172:22 184:15 202:11 hits 171:10 195:19 hitting 183:9 hodge-podge 27:11 hold 138:19 229:16 holistic 57:19 holistically 149:10 home 45:15 135:1 homebound 247:1 hometown 34:14 homework 23:20 honest 11:7 265:13 honestly 49:6 53:10 232:8 honor 8:2 11:17 12:21 18:13 21:18 23:15 28:1 29:9 38:6 honorably 33:2 honored 21:3 22:6 28:5 29:6 54:3 hook 223:8 hoops 151:10 Hooray 97:15 hope 55:13 113:6 149:21,22 160:10 172:4 hopefully 11:20 12:17 37:22 hospital 33:11 45:21 58:18 165:21 166:1 167:2,4 168:5 hospitals 33:12 hot 48:18 224:4,7 hour 43:8,16 143:19 hours 95:2 134:22 231:16 house 1:13 37:17 45:3 266:10 HSHS 33:11 huge 73:2 136:16 160:21 221:13 human 19:12 humbled 38:7 hundred 24:21 49:3,3 hundreds 65:15,15 123:17 hurdle 189:1 hurray 88:1 HVAC 37:17 234:16 249:9 266:9 hyperbaric 56:2 Т i.e 31:6

99:17 136:1,9 157:12 157:15 171:7 179:15 236:1 253:7 **ideally** 40:7 ideas 40:4 51:17 identified 186:19 248:2 248:10,14 identify 190:21 identifying 95:5 IG 248:3 ignore 229:11 **IHI** 81:3 **II** 19:10 30:21 45:5 Illinois 33:12 illness 1:22 2:5 12:4,7 16:17 155:8 249:14 illustrate 65:4 illustrates 261:12 imagination 84:9 imagine 125:15 immediately 69:9 impact 45:13 57:18 111:14 235:18 impacted 35:12 impactful 37:19 61:19 implement 99:10 142:15 247:22 248:17 248:18 266:11 implementation 7:5 40:21 58:12,14 59:5 82:21 83:21 84:7 90:10 99:12 108:1,8 129:8 146:16 148:10 149:21,22 156:15 162:9.20 166:4 171:9 195:19 196:17 210:15 210:21 216:14 219:2 220:21 238:4 241:4 246:10 247:4 implementation-wise 166.9 implemented 28:20 52:20 99:3 157:6 196:6 197:20 implementing 54:16 74:9 78:4 implication 227:7 implies 50:2,2 107:2,3 imply 122:1 importance 225:20 important 7:8 8:11 10:8 31:9 41:11 48:11 50:14 53:11 55:11 59:3,13 61:12 64:9 72:6 80:15 83:20 89:3 92:3 117:1 143:8 160:5 164:14,15,16 195:13,18 198:11

202:20 228:20 230:12 231:5 237:21 241:2,7 251:19 255:15 improve 22:5 135:4 139:13 improved 19:1 140:10 140:13 improvement 80:2,3,19 83:5,12 84:15 187:17 improvements 127:12 incentivize 106:4 200:12 incentivizing 106:2 inception 21:20 incident 35:10,13 include 48:3 67:13 85:21 90:15,21,22 91:20 92:2 101:16 117:6 118:16 126:6 129:1 144:19 154:20 155:9 188:18 193:22 195:22 included 18:12 131:10 145:20 194:3,11 195:8 197:13 includes 85:19 197:13 including 58:17 64:14 109:22 144:6 216:17 217:6,9 258:14 incorporate 25:13 234:9 incorporated 245:8 incorporating 164:5 increase 81:16 110:10 115:15 increased 112:2 241:11 increasing 108:11 111:3 incredible 10:10,20 15:22 26:2 37:11 incredibly 24:8 27:10 198:16 253:21 independent 56:16 100:3 136:21 213:21 Indian 22:11 indicating 15:10 indication 194:7 individual 43:19 122:6 122:8,10 123:7 139:17 146:20 169:7 170:6,22 171:1 210:3 221:11 222:4 individuals 24:9 42:2 industry 243:16 info 231:20 inform 104:19 information 70:9 75:15 84:14 85:10 108:22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

idea 62:11 65:12 95:16

109:3 126:11 131:10 134:15 217:7 253:2 initial 36:22 74:9 78:4 78:15 initially 211:8 initiative 1:16 181:5 186:15 187:15 190:5 injury 246:17 inner 22:9 innovation 80:3 input 42:15,18 117:4,5 123:18 inside 39:4 **insomnia** 90:4,6 **Inspector** 245:5 inspired 29:6 51:13 installations 30:10 instance 243:18 **Institute** 1:17 181:4 186:2,7 216:20 insurance 132:18 184:14,16 integrate 143:7 176:22 231:17 integrated 2:1 123:5 230:14 integrating 256:2 integrative 1:19 117:15 133:8 intent 215:8 248:12 intention 230:20 interact 8:3 24:14 interaction 4:14 33:21 37:2 213:7 interdisciplinary 112:12 interested 116:16 interesting 12:9 31:19 39:8 50:3 100:7 187:5 239:17,22 interject 84:1 103:21 internal 80:21 internally 76:21 international 30:9 interrupted 60:19 intersected 42:16 interventions 190:22 192:10.20 196:5 197:20 interviewed 131:15 132:7 interviews 148:7 introduce 5:4 175:19 introduction 46:14,16 46:21 47:1 50:1 89:17 261:7,18 262:1,18 introductory 39:2 introvert 29:20

invented 81:4 inventory 206:14 207:2 invest 74:2 77:18 78:8 invested 75:3 investment 75:9 76:12 76:16,17 invite 47:3 involved 25:21 154:5 222:4 involves 14:18 **IOM** 73:6 Iraq 2:19 15:20 21:13 irreversible 241:15 Island 17:17 isolation 86:17,19 issue 15:12 18:3 25:11 55:8 59:3 84:17 100:2 101:22 109:19 110:2 110:3,22 111:7,21 119:3 127:4 132:2,15 165:12 176:3 177:1 186:6 192:5 194:21 195:11 221:8 245:18 260:17 261:1 issued 8:4 **issues** 31:2 33:3 34:12 57:14,15,19 106:18 120:5 126:3 143:10 143:14 147:10 156:14 235:21 243:12 245:7 it'd 42:20 it'll 49:12.14 item 49:15 82:20 144:7 144:19 207:9 items 79:2,8 104:9 107:22 145:12,14,17 207:13 iterations 70:20 its's 171:10 **IU** 158:6 162:10 169:4 170:3 J Jack 11:14 42:12 95:18 142:10 250:4 Jack's 106:6 Jackie 191:4 Jake 1:10,13 5:22 8:21 12:14 39:5 110:4 114:2 115:22 118:21 142:18 209:7,21 210:22 226:22 Jake's 236:1 Jake-isms 29:14 James 55:17 60:2 Jamil 1:20 4:7 17:14 42:15 132:12 185:3

January 55:1 Jason 34:17 Jennifer 2:13 41:1 46:19 92:14 95:2,15 202:16 203:2 255:11 261.17 Jennifer's 262:13 **Jesse** 2:22 191:4,15 192:2 **job** 16:9 37:9 67:21 101:14 109:10 124:20 128:20 161:8 164:14 192:8 John 2:4,9,11 21:9 174:4 176:20 184:4,7 187:16 join 28:5 Jonas 1:18 14:20 23:13 23:14 27:18 52:1 63:4 63:12 65:8,17 66:12 66:18,21 69:19 70:1 75:13 76:4,8,14 77:7 79:19 80:1,13 83:15 83:17 84:6 87:15 95:9 97:8 122:13 138:9,13 138:22 139:4.7.9 140:17 143:22 144:6 144:12,17 145:1,4 147:21 150:19 171:22 172:3,14 173:12 180:22 181:8 182:2,5 183:4,12,16,20 185:6 188:17 189:17 199:19 207:21 228:12 242:6 251:4 257:7,10 259:2 260:15 261:4,11,12 262:2 264:11 Jones 42:11 258:22 journal 72:20 182:14 journey 14:1 22:8 28:12 judge 157:8 217:19 judgment 227:9 235:16 jump 151:12 189:1 justification 257:15 Κ KATHRYN 2:8 keep 51:15 61:11 75:9 77:5 95:4 106:7 122:11 128:1 143:15 162:15 212:5 233:1 244:7,19 263:17 keeping 38:2 61:15 69:15 236:1 **KENDRA** 2:16 ketamine 183:7 key 24:5 48:17 57:21 77:2 143:11 172:22

191:10 223:12 255:22 Khan 1:20 4:7 17:13,14 17:14 20:22 42:15 87:14 94:2 97:6 131:6 138:7 150:17 159:14 168:3 170:5 173:10 185:4 189:15 193:11 199:17 205:10 207:19 224:11 231:19 232:3 242:4 244:22 246:2 251:2 256:15,21 264:9 266:22 kids 164:16 223:18 kinds 68:2 182:15 Klocek 2:11 176:20 Klocek's 184:5 knew 24:6,11 188:13 knowing 73:17 125:15 knowledge 130:21 131:1,7 known 113:1 188:8 213:5 Kuntz 1:20 21:1,2 23:12 29:4 42:12 50:17 51:11 52:2,10 62:9 73:19 75:11 86:17 87:19 96:4 97:7 107:13 110:20 111:10 133:5 138:8 145:21 150:18 151:17 157:10 159:12 161:2 163:7 165:8 166:20 168:12 169:6 170:8 171:5,15 173:2,11,20 174:1 175:20 178:2.17 180:11 181:6,22 182:16 183:5,13,19 183:21 184:13 185:5 185:15,21 189:16 190:3,4,11 194:15 195:12 198:1,13 199:9,12,18 200:5,11 203:2,16 204:16,20 205:15 206:1.6 207:20 208:9 216:3 218:18 220:15 234:15 239:6,7 241:8 242:5 242:15 243:3 247:8 249:5 250:16 251:3 252:1,4,11,15,19,21 253:6 264:10 L labeled 256:10

laborious 148:4,5 lack 114:22 115:1,2 116:3 120:21 lady 161:19

199:11 246:4 250:18

landed 114:20 lane 209:16,16 language 77:17 84:3 88:22 89:1,11 91:9 94:6 107:16 152:16 171:9 190:15 196:19 large 33:12 158:20 166:18 192:11 larger 72:1 152:7 154:20 215:17 largest 31:9 Laughter 29:15 96:13 205:1 209:2,5 232:2 251:12 254:8 257:3 263:2 **LAURA** 2:12 laws 154:6,6 lawyer 253:17 254:6,7 258:22 259:2 lay 38:16 205:18 261:21 laying 182:20 lays 257:13 lead 2:3 4:7 26:1 60:10 62:12 93:19 204:17 211:4,17,20 212:4 214:22 218:11.16 219:3 220:8 223:16 223:19 227:16 237:22 261:15 leader 31:16 46:4 221:4 leadership 6:3 8:21 17:8 25:19 27:21 29:5 30:12 31:21 35:4,6,14 35:20 36:17,18 44:8 45:22 46:1,10 59:20 96:16 127:3,4 152:2 266:16 leading 211:5 leads 29:2 51:22 187:7 leaning 260:4 learn 10:22 learned 5:13 9:22 13:8 24:3,5,18 26:6 32:7 32:15 33:18 42:3 164:22 learning 23:7 learns 146:13 leave 41:5 44:16 49:15 65:12 75:10 76:8 204:15 219:16 226:3 233:5 263:3 264:20 leave-behind 49:8 leaving 220:10 258:10 left 30:14 42:3 76:1 198:9 224:19 leq 168:5 legal 217:2 Legion 31:3 212:7

legislation 48:1 57:9 89:19 117:3 188:16 189:3 214:8 234:5,9 234:13,18,22 legislative 107:18 192:15 235:4 legislatively 210:18 233:8 legislatures 218:8 legitimate 198:8 let's 46:12 61:4 73:14 87:11 90:12,13 98:4,6 98:7 100:19 115:3 138:19 139:6 143:11 164:22 165:1 174:10 174:11 218:12 232:17 236:11 238:12,14 242:20 257:4 lethal 153:17 154:1 letter 39:2,5 128:3,5,12 137:10 letting 101:14 178:22 254:1 level 10:17 12:5 106:17 106:21 143:14 154:9 179:2 180:19 196:21 214:11 224:17 levels 216:21 220:3 license 107:2 licensed 99:20 100:3 102:6 lies 223:6 lieutenant 17:20 lieutenants 136:21 137:4 life 17:22 18:16,19 20:18 21:11 56:5 58:2 129:4 188:14 245:2 257:1 **lifting** 42:10 **liked** 37:13 254:22 260:21 likelihood 161:9 limb 168:7 limit 193:6 limited 96:7 177:7 255:18 line 12:13 61:1 75:9 151:11 lines 250:9 link 88:19 131:7 229:20 linkage 141:14 linked 89:14 146:10 linking 124:20 liquid 131:22,22 **list** 14:13 47:22 51:15 66:8 81:6 95:11,13 119:14 167:15 182:6

206:14 207:2 262:13 **listed** 68:4 listen 259:20 listened 32:14 117:7 listening 224:12 listing 115:10 literally 65:15 96:18 158:21 166:13 literature 72:13 101:2 little 5:4 14:15 16:20 29:17 30:1 31:18 39:9 39:10 46:18 50:1 88:12 92:10 94:8 101:22 155:18 158:4 158:5 159:7 172:6 176:7 195:17 204:9 208:22 209:22 210:1 214:19 223:8 235:7 246:11 249:9 255:21 live 12:6 44:13 lived 166:2 169:1 lives 38:1 54:14 55:12 56:11 266:5 living 131:18 132:4 178:4 LLC 2:10.14.15 loading 62:4 lobbying 218:6,7 **local** 12:5 31:2 143:13 154:9 155:14 180:13 locales 178:14 located 58:18 locations 243:14 logic 153:13 logical 53:1 261:1 logistical 262:9 lone 30:18 long 24:4 25:22 54:20 94:15 119:14 160:19 161:1 167:3 170:13 170:18 171:3,4 196:13 215:17 237:2 237:6 long- 227:13 long-term 168:19 170:9 170:14 213:4 227:16 228:6 233:21 237:4 239:11 longer 40:12 44:13 50:13 166:2 **longitudinal** 187:9 237:9 look 12:22 14:22 15:8 23:9 26:21 50:5 59:4 59:15 68:5,17 69:5,8 76:20 81:1,20 92:20 95:21 104:4,22 111:17 124:7 136:17

137:3 141:2 142:12 149:10 157:7 169:14 169:15 229:22 230:10 230:22 234:5 240:8 254:12 looked 14:12 27:10 100:5 124:21 181:1 245:6 looking 11:9 14:17 23:4 28:18 39:21 40:4 44:19 54:15 70:8 79:13 80:21,22 89:5,7 92:15 115:6 118:18 131:12 132:8 149:19 164:21 177:17 184:9 187:10 197:9 219:15 230:1 234:19 244:17 245:3 246:9 looks 15:17 54:11 68:9 68:13,14 69:1 loop 146:2,7,12 147:14 **loose** 124:13 lose 83:21 94:6 126:17 127:13 128:9 143:11 156:8 158:9 159:6,20 160:8,20,21 161:22 166:17 losing 160:11 lost 16:9,15 21:13 82:6 126:14 154:19 176:17 188:5 lot 6:18 7:4 8:8 26:19 27:3 36:3 42:18 44:15 54:17,21 61:3,16,21 61:21,22 62:6,10 63:22 85:19 99:11 108:18,19 109:21 112:6 113:14 118:2 119:4 121:13,14 126:10 129:22 137:13 139:21 141:1 144:3 145:11,13,17 146:22 149:12,18 151:19 153:4,12 161:15 165:3,20 168:10 171:16 194:15 204:14 207:9,13 208:7 211:4 212:11 213:6 225:1 226:14 228:21 230:14 247:21 251:18 252:5 259:13 lots 25:22 51:16 119:8 120:5 127:22 174:8 236:9 loud 112:5 141:12 142:9 love 121:11 128:19 129:3 136:9 166:8

176:16 222:10 loved 191:10 Lovell 191:3,5 192:1 low 177:13,15 LUIS 2:8 lumped 167:21 169:12 205:20 lunch 151:13 174:5,22 255:12 261:17 Lynda 148:15,20,21 Μ M 2:4 **M.D** 1:18 machine 180:17 machines 178:9 mad 224:9,10 madness 85:14 magnetic 176:9 178:8 magnitude 52:15 Maguen 2:1 9:12,13 11:13 42:11 87:21 88:10,11 91:14,18 92:8,12,17 93:1,5,14 93:16 94:1 96:11,14 97:13,19 98:2 109:17 128:18 130:7,15 151:2 173:17 185:11 189:22 192:22 193:14 194:18 195:13 196:8 197:18 198:6 200:2 208:4 227:12 228:2,5 229:16 233:20 234:3 237:1,6 240:20 242:11 251:9 262:4 264:16 mail 104:11 105:6 124:17 141:4 main 4:18 141:10 152:9 253:10 maintain 160:18 major 7:18 115:11 157:4 176:13 182:13 229:9 233:4,18 majority 102:4 making 51:16 56:14 60:20 67:7 106:3 122:1 128:11,20 129:4 136:22 162:7 165:3 182:14 195:18 201:8 229:3 256:21 259:3 260:7 266:6 management 122:20 Manager 2:15 mandate 177:18 mandating 192:12 mania 239:18,20 240:2 241:12,16

Mann 154:22 manner 57:19 63:10 Maps 243:18 244:17 march 152:1 156:1 marijuana 239:17,19 241:20 Marine 1:20 17:16 28:6 31:13,18 32:6,7,8,16 Marines 32:9,17 33:1 mark 66:22 marker 39:11 77:5 market 30:8 marriage 102:5 married 164:15,16 Marshfield 33:14 Martinez 2:3 massive 72:21 master's 17:18 mastery 28:16 matched 125:2 matches 165:6 material 116:7 206:18 218:14 Matt 42:4,6,12 51:8 60:22 61:15 62:2 82:22 83:19 145:20 151:15 164:7 173:21 175:19 178:12 185:20 190:10 200:10 216:1 222:12 234:12 235:6 238:14 239:6 242:15 247:14 250:13 254:3 254:11 matter 19:17 28:13 43:3 86:22 98:10 113:15 117:14 163:2,6 171:17 175:3 178:15 238:19 256:8 265:19 267:7 Matthew 1:16,20 21:1 27:19 McKINNEY 2:13 **McMAHON** 2:12 McRAE 2:21 **MDMA** 216:18 217:6,9 mean 52:19 56:4 64:22 65:1 67:12 75:14,21 80:17 82:17 84:15 101:10 102:7 103:11 103:15,16 107:7 109:21 112:20 115:10 117:22 121:11 122:4 138:16 140:18 141:18 144:15 145:22 146:2 147:11 153:21 160:3 160:9 161:12 163:4 167:10,15 170:15 177:2 179:9 182:7

183:8 188:7 210:19 212:4 213:5 218:1,7 224:18 225:2 226:16 228:3,5,6 235:14 248:8,12,20 249:12 249:13,14 250:1,6 meaning 40:1 185:14 meaningful 37:19 165:17 means 16:19 17:14 20:7 65:1 98:8 153:17 154:2 157:3 219:17 meant 20:15 measurable 193:5 meat 87:3 253:16 mechanism 58:14 126:7,13,15 143:6,7 143:13 147:10 medals 18:17 medical 2:2 58:16 72:21 74:8 78:3 177:11 208:14 212:9 214:16 216:5,16,22 217:1,6,8,12,17 219:10 223:10,16 227:4 236:16 239:12 medicate 116:11 225:3 medicated 118:17 medicating 213:9 231:7 233:12 medication 57:5 58:6 104:17 116:2,10,14 116:18 117:12 118:19 119:9,20 120:6,16 121:14 122:9 medications 56:20 209:14 medicine 132:3 193:19 meditation 23:5 meds 119:5 120:3 meeting 4:5,11,18 55:8 55:17,19 65:14 70:12 125:14 136:1 145:14 212:13 219:6.9 221:2 244:1 265:4 267:4 meetings 5:10,10 8:4 23:19 39:15 83:2 melded 10:10 member 1:17 2:4 18:4 136:11 244:2 members 4:15 58:1 132:16 memorable 31:12 Memorial 1:18 memories 186:22 memorize 49:1 memory 186:19 men 92:2

mental 1:22 2:1,4 7:21 12:3,4,7 25:13 35:18 37:21 55:9 57:15 82:15 100:16 101:3,6 102:11 103:1,4 104:8 104:14,20 124:21 126:2 150:6 154:4,17 155:8,20 156:9 164:5 176:1 181:4,5,21 185:19 186:1,2,3,7,15 187:15,17 197:12 200:15 202:4 217:5 246:12,14 247:1,6 249:14,17 265:22 mention 94:14,21 225:10 241:3,7 mentioned 91:11 101:21 110:7 116:2 132:8 245:1 merits 108:11 111:3 mess 169:17 170:3 message 19:20 64:7 119:11 231:6 259:11 messaging 154:14 met 1:9 28:2 method 85:13 methodologies 23:5 152:20 methodology 258:6 methods 88:20,22 Methylenedioxymeth... 216:18 metrics 80:16,19 81:6 81:21 mic 13:19 Michael 2:2 7:16 42:12 mid-way 108:15 midlife 16:8 midst 232:21 Mike 7:17 21:10 98:20 101:10 104:5 105:22 106:22 107:13 110:20 111:14 115:11 117:5 121:22 124:19 132:13 135:17 137:16 140:21 144:4 146:4 151:9 159:14 165:11 167:1 168:20 226:3 249:13 250:5 Mike's 104:5 126:9 137:17 Milbank 1:18 miles 34:14 178:8 191:16 military 1:16 9:1 18:7 30:9 44:21 91:17,20 136:17 million 75:17 142:20

212:8 213:8 223:22 225:2 231:6 millions 225:3 mind 12:11 61:15 84:17 92:11 122:11 141:8 162:15 221:7 225:6 256:4 260:20 mine 15:11 77:13 176:14 262:12 minor 72:3 88:16 90:2 129:6 172:3,4 minorities 90:16 91:3 minute 32:21 98:6 123:2 238:13 minutes 29:13,14 38:12 38:14 98:8 140:19 141:7 147:1 174:9,11 misrepresenting 121:10 missed 250:9 missing 82:22 194:13 mission 12:11 26:11 43:22 54:5 mistakes 137:4 mix 201:16 modalities 89:19.21 mode 246:20 247:5 model 71:18 72:9 82:7 106:12 155:2,4,12 156:16 193:18 201:8 258:7 261:10,22 262:8 modeling 71:16 **modifier** 165:13 **MOHAMAD** 2:13 moment 21:20 22:2 63:3 monetary 75:7 money 132:22 165:3 171:19 188:10 Montana 1:21 22:12 133:18 134:10 156:18 month 36:13 37:1 126:18 232:19,19 247:14 months 4:19 5:14 12:12 21:6 35:10 51:4 72:15 112:4 118:10 123:22 125:7 136:7 143:18 148:14 151:10 164:19 167:10 233:16 250:7 265:9,12 266:6 morning 4:3 37:5 48:12 83:10 175:11 226:1 mortality 72:22 mother 30:16,21 motion 181:12 193:12 236:3 253:8

62:15 63:9 76:18 85:5 89:18 93:22 105:15 171:7 174:22 184:19 185:16 190:6 208:11 251:14 252:8,16 253:19 moved 7:11 44:12 70:18 193:18 210:5 movement 191:7,7 moving 23:10 51:21 82:9 88:6 186:12 200:6 253:15 multiple 15:19 40:13 mutually 253:4 Ν N.E 1:10 naive 94:18 name 31:15 34:17 61:3 206:12 **NAMI** 1:22 narrative 52:12 nation 18:5,14 26:1 28:9 45:10 218:8 nation's 55:9 national 1:21 2:4 12:4 30:8,13 33:14 143:14 181:3 186:2,7 197:5 197:17 216:20 nationally 197:6 natural 51:14 nature 34:2 navigate 249:19 navigating 246:18 Navy 1:14 2:4 6:6 12:2 136:19 187:20 188:9 nay 87:12 97:4 138:5 242:4,14 near 28:7 82:12 neat 265:16 necessarily 39:21 68:19 75:21 81:16 124:4 220:21 necessary 35:17 108:2 110:14 112:11 117:11 184:17 238:2 250:3 neck 211:6 need 12:19 28:10 40:20 41:18 52:17 53:6 57:4 57:5 59:10 61:12 77:5 82:16 87:1 95:13 101:13 102:13 106:7 108:22 114:10 115:20 118:11 119:9,20 120:2 122:6 123:2 138:16 144:13 146:9 152:12 153:7,14

move 8:20 23:2 29:7

154:12 160:11 163:22 166:14 169:22 184:18 195:22 196:7 202:4 204:8 210:6 212:17 217:22 221:18,22 222:16 225:20 226:20 243:8 245:12 249:15 252:1 257:22 260:3,5 260:9,11 263:5 needed 26:16 27:7 76:20 77:4 99:2 115:15 245:18 needs 25:13,15 40:3 53:15 55:9 58:5 71:14 79:19 82:20 86:22 100:9 111:1,7 115:12 122:16 123:4 126:22 128:1 136:8 139:13 139:14,16,19 140:5 141:22 164:13 179:2 179:11 210:18 212:3 213:6 220:18 224:6 244:7 251:19 257:14 259:11 262:15 negative 14:7 128:22 217:5.12 219:11.15 219:18 220:9 221:13 239:16 240:9,11 negatively 240:4 negatives 220:20 **neglect** 25:14 neglected 122:20 neighborhood 30:16 neither 259:8 never 6:10 21:14 23:17 31:7,22 new 2:22 25:1,2 26:11 30:5 31:6 37:22 39:1 48:3 66:16 72:8,12 99:5 125:20 148:22 151:22 182:9 201:11 239:8 240:18 256:14 257:5 261:9 nice 41:6 49:12,14 64:2 95:13,16 154:3 203:2 nicely 53:2 NIDA 216:19 229:13 night 83:6 92:15 100:7 202:17 225:22 NIH 84:3 218:13 NIMH 218:1 nine 36:15 124:22 242:13 nines 102:7 nitty-gritty 262:11 **no-go** 211:6 non-drug 122:21 non-licensed 101:2,6

non-medication 241:15 non-transparent 199:3 non-VA 20:6 nonexistent 177:16 normal 229:12 north 22:12 note 60:2 79:5,18 80:11 125:19 noted 32:18 60:2 145:20 notes 51:16 145:11 210:10 notice 79:14 80:10 226:6 noticed 92:18 202:16 noticing 83:19 noting 110:5 nuance 162:5 nuances 39:22 number 24:6 53:13 64:13,22 85:8 98:7 104:13,15,17 106:9 110:11 115:2 124:20 142:17 149:11 154:16 177:6,14 179:6,9 195:9 212:11 220:1 232:5.7 243:14 251:14 256:22 262:16 263:12,16 267:1 numbering 68:11 numbers 15:13 103:8 176:11 184:9 196:14 numerous 33:9 42:17 215:11 nurse 100:12 nuts- 14:15 NVivo 256:7 0 o'clock 97:17 98:5 238:16 objective 28:3 objectives 58:3 observational 89:6 observed 13:11 14:3 32:14 obstacles 164:8 obtain 166:17 obvious 149:3 248:16 obviously 52:19 67:13

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC occasions 154:16

occurred 208:15

October 188:17 190:12

193:10 219:6,9

occur 25:2

occurs 92:1

OCS 17:19

245:20

odd 209:1 **OEF/OIF** 2:1 Off-microphone 85:6 93:15 96:10 offer 257:7 offered 120:14 177:4 179:13 191:20 194:9 197:3,17 198:20 201:12 offers 180:3 office 74:15 75:4 109:6 147:1 148:22 149:5 245:6 **Officer** 2:9,12 officially 4:12 267:4 oftentimes 47:8 130:20 old 30:4 olds 225:14 once 5:2 17:7 31:18 58:20 157:18 167:3 173:8 185:15 190:2 once-in-a-lifetime 9:8 one-off 34:10 one-sentence 172:20 one-to- 183:5 ones 16:21 41:10 66:16 66:21 153:1 169:5 170:20 197:3 201:9 209:13 217:3 219:11 234:21 ongoing 125:20 135:19 online 142:2 open 1:5 10:21 11:7 43:6 85:4 87:9 131:3 261:4 opened 22:15 opening 180:20 operating 24:19 25:5 opiate 34:16 opiates 215:14 opinion 56:22 189:3 opinions 10:11 opioid 122:18 131:18 opioids 56:19 57:13 122:22 123:2 opportunities 49:20,21 50:18 51:20 opportunity 5:9 9:8 17:9 26:10.12 29:12 33:8 43:8 66:6 137:12 265:2 opposed 112:18 137:5 209:9 optimally 186:20 optimization 113:3 option 56:21 64:18 229:15 options 1:3 81:7 121:6

153:18 154:2,11 179:16 order 43:21 52:3,7,15 63:2,2,15 84:19 86:21 104:2 202:15 210:4 230:18 246:19 252:2 256:14 257:5 261:19 263:19 ordered 52:8,11 53:18 247:6 ordering 222:8 organization 127:10 147:11,13 259:22 organize 61:7 organized 27:12 67:17 261:8 original 70:17 212:21 260:21 other's 93:4 ought 78:18 outcomes 25:8 28:21 outdoor 56:3 outline 129:19 outlined 193:1 outlook 5:15 outputs 42:19 outreach 104:18.19 124:17,18 125:20 178:13 outside 17:6 33:22 108:5 204:6 249:18 outweigh 260:9 overall 14:10 20:3 40:1 44:10 124:12 154:14 162:6 201:8 overarching 127:19 140:3 143:4 258:1,7 overcome 188:3 overkill 128:14 overmedication 118:3 oversample 91:13 92:2 92:3 oversight 245:17 overused 122:19 overview 5:13 40:15 47:22 63:5 owe 19:20 215:15 226:10 oxygen 56:2 Ρ P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

4:1 **p.m** 175:4,5 238:20,21 267:8 **PACT** 112:13 118:9 **page** 70:17,18 73:21 88:7 90:11,12 94:7,7

94:18 107:14,17,21 108:4,15 112:9 114:3 114:6 124:22 133:6 133:15 140:10 151:15 168:14 185:18 190:8 200:8 205:10,11 206:19,21 208:12 218:21 242:22 pages 41:3 49:3,4,5 63:3 65:15 94:15 95:14 146:2 149:8 paid 103:8,9,12 108:21 109:5 pain 16:17 57:4,4 58:6 122:20 131:20 133:21 232:10,11 painless 133:19 136:5 Pakistani-born 17:19 Palo 186:5 panacea 84:8 paper 24:15 133:9 **Paperwork** 83:3,14 paragraph 70:22 108:13,15,16 184:8 204:8 218:21 219:7 220:17 231:16 paragraphs 70:14 71:1 113:8 244:14 parallel 201:12 paraphrasing 236:7 paraprofessional 100:22 107:1,3 parasitic 101:4 parents 19:8 parking 61:3,16 62:5,10 85:19 113:14 144:3 145:11,13,16 171:16 204:14 207:8,13 208:7 252:5 Parris 17:17 parse 255:6 part 9:14 11:17 18:9,10 21:3,18 22:7,17 23:8 28:1 38:7 47:16 56:20 58:22 61:12 62:1 82:16 83:4,12 84:14 87:2 102:22 103:12 105:21 109:14 110:6 110:8 112:14,15,16 113:19 114:1,21 115:3 118:22 121:4 124:11 128:12 133:17 134:6 135:8 139:9 155:20 156:22 157:4 157:4 160:5 168:15 170:12 176:13 178:19 179:10,12,20 187:12 187:14,18 191:10,20

193:20 194:21 195:3 195:14 201:7,18 221:8 238:10 241:3 245:11 247:4 258:9 262:14 266:5 **PARTICIPANT** 78:6,11 208:17 231:22 particular 15:11 35:13 63:9 103:17 113:4 123:7 139:20 141:5 144:3 146:21 171:9 220:1 230:2 234:20 235:3 241:5 particularly 241:4 parties 48:20 partly 255:18,19 partner 181:3 partnership 186:1 parts 22:12 178:21 201:2 pas 100:12 passed 208:6 passes 242:14 passion 6:20 18:15 29:6 30:3 passive 228:4 233:5 path 84:10 117:17 118:12 233:9 pathway 216:16 patient 34:16 67:6 83:4 84:14 129:13 177:21 patient-centered 67:4 patients 33:21 212:15 212:15 pay 102:1,19 106:2,20 106:20 108:12 109:11 109:14,20 110:2,13 111:3 132:22 157:20 paying 23:8 179:1 payment 72:11 163:4 payments 129:17 PE 195:2 peer 99:17,22 100:14 101:11,13,16,19 102:1,3,12 105:9,15 106:7 107:8,18,20 108:1,19 109:4 110:7 110:16 111:1,14 112:2,10 113:2,9 114:22 115:11 131:6 142:9 200:9,13 201:17,19,21 205:7,8 205:8,11,13,14,17,17 penalize 163:16,19 penalized 163:21 penalizes 161:4 penalties 156:3 penalty 161:14

pending 234:14 Pennsylvania 1:15 people 6:19 8:3,12,14 8:16 11:1,3,7 16:3,14 17:6 21:5 24:7 29:18 29:20 32:12,16 33:10 34:6 44:11 46:2 47:17 62:21 64:7 68:17 89:4 89:12 91:10 93:19 100:11 101:3 102:7,9 102:20 103:2 110:11 120:10,10,17,19 121:11,17 124:7 126:11 127:11 129:22 130:12 135:13 142:20 147:9 154:17 155:8 157:2,16 158:19,21 159:4 160:18 161:1,4 161:5,14 162:10,16 162:19 163:16,19 166:5 167:21 172:20 178:21 184:5,15 194:7 204:4,9 211:15 217:15 219:12,17 223:12 224:2 225:9 225:11 230:2 231:5 235:18.19 239:15 241:18 244:8 247:6 249:14 254:1 pepper 256:17 perceive 231:4 percent 90:22 131:22 135:17 157:2,13 158:6,11,11,13,14,21 159:15,17,20,21 160:2,13,16,17 162:13 165:4 166:13 166:14 168:6 169:22 225:14 percentage 179:4 198:17 percenters 159:2 perception 53:13 221:2 222:5.6 perceptions 104:13,14 perfect 84:11 93:12 130:17 173:2 174:20 199:10 perfectly 164:7 performed 96:8 period 30:12 78:5 124:10 periods 39:22 permanent 170:19,20 171:4 perplexed 250:2 person 25:16 31:16 33:4 74:17 146:14

167:1 225:13 232:10 257:21 261:10 person- 72:9 personal 63:17 187:19 personality 93:8,16 225.9 personalize 139:15 personalized 122:17 123:5.8 personally 101:15 116:22 131:17 151:9 personnel 5:3 106:17 107:9 109:6 perspective 23:4 24:2 45:3 74:12 209:12 252:16 253:11,12 perspectives 10:3 253:7 Petersburg 58:18 Ph.D 1:14 2:1,2,14 pharmaceutical 220:11 phase 86:7 210:15 Phoenix 34:7 phone 266:22 phrases 237:7 physical 246:20 physicians 100:12 pick 23:5 118:15 picked 234:21 picture 21:18 63:18 81:19 132:9 252:18 pictures 131:16 piece 39:9 49:8,9 108:22 110:6 129:8 129:11 132:14 144:4 144:19 150:1 152:19 154:13 159:7 194:13 198:12 227:6,15 230:2 234:11 237:10 253:12,14 262:6,9 pieces 133:6 155:17 234:12,18 pile 179:22 Pines 58:17 pinpoint 107:14 pithy 64:9 67:1 place 11:6 36:21 46:9 53:1 65:21 83:16 103:7 136:6 147:17 191:1 225:6 229:13 232:4,19 placebo 117:17 Placebos 117:20 placed 56:18 places 22:9 94:4 115:20 202:8 244:9 plan 39:13 61:2 122:17 193:4

planning 75:18 plans 60:10 **plant** 46:17 platform 154:14,20 plays 80:16 please 4:6 5:21 54:7 86:13 94:3 195:20 204:11 pleased 54:12 pleasure 9:14,19 23:15 148:13 pledge 4:8,9 plenty 42:14 120:17 162:5 197:5 198:14 **plus** 85:9 PO'd 147:2 poignant 65:19 point 4:10 5:20 12:18 37:20 39:1 43:13 46:22 48:11,17 49:14 51:12 69:15 72:18 80:13 81:17 82:3,4 85:14 86:7,11 97:2 100:7 104:4 105:4,8 106:6 113:8 116:5 117:16 119:18 138:1 138:16 140:7.16 141:1 144:3 145:12 149:9 156:21 160:2 161:3 162:18 163:14 164:7 166:20 171:14 171:18 174:3 178:3 182:1 195:13 202:15 204:7,15 218:3 225:16 230:5.10 233:7 236:8 240:22 242:18 243:7 249:13 249:20,20 253:2 254:5 259:9 261:17 264:4 pointed 46:19 pointing 82:22 258:8 points 49:3,14 73:15 115:11 124:22 134:3 172:22 190:17 198:17 248:5 police 245:14,15 **politic** 15:16 political 8:13 politics 166:11 **POLLACK** 2:14 pony 22:17 pool 179:7 **POON** 2:22 **poor** 15:18 poorly 255:14 population 57:16 91:22 125:11 177:14 202:5

225:15 233:11 populations 90:20 portal 136:9 142:1,2 portion 43:7 165:20 position 111:22 201:11 202:11 224:15 positions 110:14 200:21,21 201:6,11 202:10 234:8 positive 28:21 49:22 128:22 217:4 223:7 positives 220:19 possibility 250:11 possible 19:9 20:6 64:20 148:8 217:12 possibly 218:19 248:6 post-traumatic 186:18 187:21 246:17 pot 225:1 potato 224:4,7 potential 58:11 164:9 221:13 223:22 226:1 227:3,11 228:1,7,10 233:6,18 236:15 237:5 239:10 250:11 potentially 226:7 Potoczniak 2:2 7:16.17 7:18 9:11 42:12 61:14 86:17 87:20 97:12 98:20,22 100:21 101:9,18 103:11 104:6 105:5,14,20 108:3,7,10,14 109:9 109:16 110:3 111:9 111:11,16,18 112:7,9 113:6,11,18,22 114:14,17 115:8,16 118:20 119:17,22 120:13 126:4 128:15 130:3,8 132:12 133:2 134:2 136:14 144:8 144:11 148:3,6 151:1 151:6 156:20 158:4 159:13 160:14 162:8 163:14 165:19 167:6 167:9 169:3,7,11 170:16 171:7,15 172:13 173:16 179:12 185:10 189:21 196:22 200:1,18 203:8,10,18 204:17,21 207:4,11 208:3 224:18 225:17 229:14 241:9 242:10 245:1 246:8 251:8 264:15 Potoczniak's 137:21 206:9 power 63:19 224:15

powerful 253:21 254:2 powers 76:17 123:22 practical 163:2,5 practice 23:6 47:15 125:20 176:5,12,18 177:3 182:21 183:9 184:1,3,17 190:9 191:13 192:21 practices 57:12,12 117:11 226:7 practitioners 100:12 177:6 210:13 213:2 216:7 pragmatic 20:1 28:19 235:2 precede 113:15 precise 212:18 215:21 precision 181:5 185:19 185:22 186:15 187:15 precludes 256:1 predicated 52:18 predictive 71:16 107:11 predominant 248:3 prefer 133:20 preference 63:17 prepare 43:1 prepared 23:19 41:4 54:19 preparing 41:7 47:13 47:20 prepping 262:14 prescribe 221:19 prescribed 222:14 240:2 prescribes 104:16 prescribing 57:12 prescription 116:2,9 209:13 221:4 prescriptive 107:12 present 1:12 2:6,18 19:2 50:7 209:8 256:22 presentation 50:8 155:22 presented 186:5 217:16 presenting 10:13 261:8 President 152:1 259:15 presiding 1:11 press 8:9 104:3 179:15 presumably 231:2 presume 253:1 pretty 29:19 36:5.7 61:19 66:3 73:11 88:15 99:9 103:3 121:7 133:9,21 152:11 174:7 187:19 265:16 prevalent 92:5

prevent 152:14 preventing 245:2 prevention 151:20 152:3,5,11,19 155:4 155:15 previous 83:1 125:14 previously 38:21 pride 7:5 primary 11:21 55:3 58:3 181:3 principle 261:9 print 49:10 printed 88:7 prior 33:16 136:10 145:18 207:14 priorities 53:20 priority 53:18 54:10 259:12 260:10 private 125:8 167:19 178:5 privilege 6:1 38:6 123:17 privileged 54:3 pro 221:6 223:2 proactive 139:19 probably 7:4 23:17 26:3 31:9 39:8 61:2 73:8 92:21 99:14 107:3 112:20 113:5 117:13 128:12 141:9 147:14 149:16 162:4.12 164:20 167:16 174:4 179:19 191:1 215:9 226:21 233:15 problem 92:4 110:17.18 120:19 139:8 179:13 193:16 219:7 221:16 221:18 243:8,10 248:2,9,15 problematic 218:5,17 problems 132:16 147:15 255:3 proceed 98:7 proceeding 86:8 87:12 process 9:7 24:1 39:9 39:14 58:15 67:7 83:5 83:12 86:6 95:5 129:12,16,22 134:16 162:11,11 203:1 222:15 228:8 262:14 processes 74:5 243:6 247:20 procured 208:19 produced 6:22 32:2 55:22 producing 55:21 product 6:21 12:17 17:6 37:14

professional 13:12 14:5 106:17 107:2 112:20 professionalism 6:14 266:18 professionals 101:7 profoundly 182:12 program 2:15 17:19 58:13 112:12 148:16 152:21 153:3,22 154:4 172:21,22 programs 1:19 155:16 194:2 progress 215:5,6 projection 75:15 prologue 49:20 50:1,18 51:20 53:2 79:16 prominent 116:8 118:15 Promise 57:9 promised 248:11 promoting 231:2,11,11 proofreading 40:2 properly 15:15 122:8 266:11 proponent 20:5 proposal 252:7 propose 71:8 162:9 proposed 257:5 props 148:12 protect 56:13 protocols 136:19 proud 7:2 8:18 30:10 37:6.7 proven 152:19 provide 127:6,11 130:18 139:22 140:11 153:11 175:21 178:4 181:19 186:9 214:3 217:7 249:9 provided 71:4 84:3 180:15 195:9 196:10 196:18,19 206:13 245:7 249:21 provider 99:20 103:1 131:21 providers 57:11 74:4 77:20 99:18,18 100:2 100:3 179:15 184:16 217:7,10 provides 156:11 providing 24:7 128:5 Provision 55:5 proviso 137:10 prudent 48:4 psilocybin 220:11 psychedelic 216:6 223:11 231:3 239:12

psychedelics 216:17 217:6,9 219:10 236:16 Psychiatric 2:21 psychiatrist 131:9 177:12 178:9 180:17 psychiatrists 177:14 psychiatry 119:14 120:2,14 121:1 153:2 155:1 180:16 Psychological 234:7 psychologist 7:19 9:16 psychosis 220:2 225:9 241:11,16 psychotherapeutic 190:22 192:20 psychotic 213:4 PTS 56:18 **PTSD** 9:18 public 4:13,15 5:3,10 8:4 29:13 37:15 38:6 38:12 41:22 43:1,9,12 54:3 60:17 98:6 128:3 156:16 175:12 239:1 publication 262:15 publish 124:11 published 39:4 72:21 73:7 182:13,18 186:17 pull 65:1 71:11 96:7 162:5 219:4 pulled 12:13 67:17 70:6 89:19 176:21 182:8 248:21 pulling 27:9,10 50:20 purely 158:2 purpose 4:18 48:21 69:22 188:15 purposes 200:16 pursue 204:6 push 102:18 214:19 pushing 219:13 put 17:18 24:19 25:3 39:20 45:2 50:21 53:7 61:2 64:13 66:8 68:20 71:9,21 72:1 73:10 74:14 79:18 84:7,9 89:11 90:17 99:5 110:15 114:18 137:18 140:6,20 145:6 170:2 226:2 229:2 232:4,7 247:3 248:8 249:10 252:4 255:4,19 257:1 259:7 puts 200:8 208:12 229:9 putting 24:2 64:4 70:6 83:7 110:14 229:19

285

258:1 Q Quadruple 78:17,20 81:2,7,18 82:11 qualified 177:15 255:11 qualify 246:14 qualitative 63:20 64:11 quality 45:19 46:1 60:3 80:18 82:2 84:15 89:8 136:5 143:8 147:13 question 14:20 24:10 43:10 77:12 82:17 92:15 131:14 140:8 156:20 165:9 180:22 182:2 184:21 193:15 211:2 221:1 253:1 questionnaires 84:16 questions 41:15 91:4 91:10 125:13 156:19 177:4 189:4,9 199:12 203:6 205:4 207:16 231:20 232:1 240:18 242:1 246:1,7 264:7 quick 38:15 40:15 42:22 172:17 193:14 202:15 221:1 262:5 quickly 39:1 92:22 174:22 auiet 29:19 quite 20:13 38:7 40:12 42:3 81:9 82:9 94:11 182:12 259:18 quotation 129:9 quotations 129:2,5 quote 50:20 51:4 254:21,22 255:4,5,22 257:1 258:15 quotes 61:18,19,22 64:4,10 66:13 121:4 121:14 253:9 254:13 254:13 255:16 256:2 256:18 258:13 R race 19:17 32:10 racial 90:16 91:3 radio 184:11 raised 14:20 99:11 randomized 14:19 89:5 182:10 range 160:13 rank 17:20 rapidly 82:9 rate 57:22 201:5 rated 166:13 169:22 rating 155:19 157:15,18

163:16 167:22 ratings 159:1,2 162:14 169:4,16 170:21 171:2,19 re-naming 94:19 Re-read 78:6,7 re-reading 140:19 reach 59:9 reached 224:17 reaching 135:5 read 13:15 14:7 31:19 64:2 69:8 80:7,10 115:18 125:1 128:12 141:6 213:1,3 230:2 231:5 244:14 253:11 256:5 readers 47:5 95:17 115:18 reading 77:9 78:14,20 88:17 89:4 94:17 reads 39:11 110:22 172:6 221:5 253:16 ready 78:10 161:20 167:13 188:12 real 12:21 28:12 42:9 85:1 92:22 100:22 102:10 176:3 238:9 245:2 realignment 245:14 realistic 171:11 reality 122:2 155:10 244:6.16 realize 41:2 179:19 realized 40:9 realizing 211:6 realm 117:12 realtime 139:14 **Rear** 1:14 reason 11:21 29:17 102:20 107:9 112:3 128:4,8 179:20 181:1 188:20 196:11,12,15 197:15 198:9,14 226:3 reasoning 190:14 reasons 109:22 194:11 194:12 211:5 247:2 Rebellion 32:18 recall 83:11 184:4,8 recalled 177:10 receive 57:11 58:8 187:2 received 38:21 receiving 125:9,11 126:1 **recognize** 196:9 200:12 recognized 43:17 176:4 200:22

recognizing 131:4 recommend 32:3 49:19 58:7 75:8 90:19 123:19 125:4 127:16 128:8 129:20 172:17 174:4 211:20 213:18 218:5 254:12 recommendation's 181:2 recommendations 4:20 7:6 9:6 10:14 11:19 12:20 20:1 27:14 36:9 37:1 40:11,14 41:10 47:22 50:6,10,13 51:21 52:4,14,20 53:18 55:22 59:6 62:14 74:18 76:19 87:2 89:15 91:20 98:15 99:15 139:21 142:22 146:10 168:15 175:10 190:16 192:14 192:15,16 213:11 214:8 229:2 239:3 245:8 250:19 253:16 256:3 257:18 265:18 266:10 recommended 31:20 48:19 91:7 139:11 165:15 192:20 224:8 236:6 237:17 recommending 90:5 222:8 reconciled 207:7 record 5:2 43:4 98:11 175:4 208:22 238:20 267.8 recovers 168:7 recovery 1:3 2:3 26:9 55:6 72:10 117:17 156:12 160:5 167:4 recovery-74:4,19 recovery-focused 73:22 74:1 77:18 recreate 149:5 recruitment 108:12 109:13 111:3 rectification 207:14 rectified 33:3 111:2,6 rectify 190:21 red 19:15 221:13 223:1 redesign 25:12,13 26:16 redesigning 25:21 redirect 39:18 reduce 168:17 170:14 172:10 reduced 161:7 reducing 57:22

Reduction 83:3.15 redundant 248:6 refer 37:12 48:14 90:3 146:16 204:4 206:1 reference 78:16 93:6 113:16 116:3 127:22 141:3 169:10 262:6,8 referenced 133:11 142:10 176:6 201:22 references 72:4,17 82:5 93:3 258:18 referencing 262:12,16 referring 68:12 115:17 200:17 204:9 257:20 refers 53:5 reflect 32:20 40:18,19 221:11 248:6 reflected 202:20 reflective 204:5 reflects 39:19 **refused** 120:15 reg 170:12 regarding 104:14 243:1 regards 246:7 regions 177:2 reaister 134:20 **registered** 134:18,22 regular 162:14 167:18 167:22 rehab 161:20 reimbursable 110:9 **reinforce** 149:20 258:19 reinforcing 72:13 149:3 reintegrate 58:2 relate 245:2 related 88:8 102:1 106:20 217:8 relates 164:9 Relations 2:10 relationship-based 72:10 relative 50:9 relatively 50:15 212:10 relaxing 34:6 relentlessly 23:18 relevant 254:14 255:5 relied 152:15 186:22 religion 19:18 32:11 remainder 174:14 remaining 164:16 remains 105:21 remarkable 96:9 remarks 3:2 54:19 60:11 **remember** 36:3,4 79:2 83:9 100:19 117:22 147:2 190:12

Washington DC

158:6,7,13,15 160:15

remembered 83:6 remembering 94:16 remind 46:5 59:7 80:12 reminded 45:22 reminder 44:8 45:9 remove 135:6 168:4 removing 222:22 reorder 263:10,11 reorganizing 62:3 rep 54:8 60:5,9,14 163:10 repeat 66:20 rephrasing 233:2 replace 263:12 report 5:11 11:5 28:19 38:19 39:5,12 41:2,19 46:13,15 54:16,22 55:21 58:10 59:15 60:4 64:14 66:5,11,13 71:3,15 73:6 83:1 94:13,15,18 107:15 108:18 119:12 127:8 128:13 143:20 145:18 149:8 196:5 197:19 249:9 reporting 178:19,20 reports 49:2 61:21 represent 18:20 55:19 representation 90:15 representative 2:12 119:1 represented 10:9 119:10 reprocessing 191:8 requested 213:14 requesting 165:5 require 163:17 required 57:10 75:10 75:22 76:13,20 96:19 112:1 requirement 77:3 102:10 139:20 requirements 26:21 189:2 requiring 246:15 **requisite** 106:16 research 2:9,13 9:17 15:2 17:3 53:3 62:13 63:20 66:2 67:2,4,6 72:5,13 83:8 88:9 89:9 91:4 152:11,13 153:4 155:3 209:10 209:18 210:14,15 213:7 215:7,9 216:4,7 216:12,16 217:4,8,18 217:19 218:1,14 219:1,14,22 221:4,22 222:9,10 223:4,10

226:10 228:8.13.15 228:17 229:1,4,10,12 231:1 233:7,10,13 236:18,21,22 237:10 237:12,15 238:1,1 239:10 241:4,11 252:14,17 253:14 258:5 260:18 262:8 researched 226:17 researchers 216:15 researching 226:11 reservations 22:11 Reserve 2:3 6:7 Reserves 7:19 Reservist 28:7 Reservoir 31:21 Residence 1:14 resistant 175:18,22 181:20 182:11 resolution 56:2 **resolve** 163:10 resolved 83:9 87:1 100:9 resource 59:8 126:14 200:16 resourced 76:22 resources 96:8 209:18 respect 10:17 respectful 10:12 respond 26:11 139:16 179:5 240:4 responded 27:3 241:15 response 122:21 138:2 150:13 173:5 184:22 186:21 189:11 199:13 250:20 responsible 26:14 129:17 145:16 responsive 27:6 responsiveness 139:13 rest 20:18 24:22 84:6 86:5 150:3 236:17 244:8 266:16 result 55:22 results 193:2 resumed 43:4 98:11 175:4 238:20 resurgence 177:8 **Ret** 1:14,17,18,20,20 2:4 **retention** 108:12 109:14 111:4 retired 12:2 17:21 34:5 retraining 58:8 return 56:17 reveals 28:2 **reverted** 159:21 review 58:11 117:3

118:4 126:13 145:18 152:15 reviewing 59:4 243:5 247:18 reviews 230:11 **Revised** 168:15 revising 109:12 156:21 revisions 85:11 137:16 revisit 66:9 revolving 255:22 rewarded 13:13 rewarding 6:15 rewind 139:3 rewording 242:16 rhetorician 259:10 rich 99:15 rid 166:15 rideshare 250:14 **righty** 197:22 rise 4:6 72:22 rising 164:1 risk 152:10 155:9,10,21 162:7 212:21 227:4,6 228:12 229:5 237:5 241:1,5,11 risks 212:20 214:16 222:21 223:4 226:21 227:14,16 230:19 233:2,6 236:15 239:11 road 219:19 Robert's 84:19 85:16 robust 175:9 215:9 robustly 81:9 82:7 role 106:4 roles 171:12 200:13 206:15 roll 75:18 76:5 229:1 rolled 197:6 rollout 197:5,17,21 rollouts 195:2 197:2 rolls 195:4 roof 25:7 room 1:10 17:6 153:6 220:2 221:12 264:21 rooms 152:22 226:19 **Rosa** 7:21 rose 2:4 11:14,15 13:3 17:20 29:4 42:13 50:4 82:10 87:5,22 95:20 96:1 97:14 101:10 106:6 122:4 151:3 160:1 166:22 167:8 168:2 173:18 178:12 181:11,17 185:12 189:5,8 190:1,17 193:3 200:3 205:6,11 205:22 206:7 208:5

287 227:20 230:5.9

236:18 237:10 240:14

240:17 241:18 242:12 248:19 249:6,11 251:10 259:6 263:8 263:11,14,16,22 264:2,5,17 route 227:15 row 73:2 ruin 56:12 **Ruiz** 60:9 rule 94:14 247:15 Rules 84:19 85:16 run 54:13 90:9 127:9 136:17 running 180:17 rural 133:18 243:22 rushed 235:16 rushing 227:9 **RYAN** 2:19 S **S** 1:20 sacrifices 18:5 **SAFE** 172:18 safely 216:16 243:4 247:18 safety 243:1 **SAIL** 81:10 148:16,21 Saipan 31:14 sake 243:8 sales 131:10 **SALMAN** 2:15 sample 90:20 91:2 120:9 251:22 Samueli 1:19 San 2:1 7:22 180:2 Santa 7:21 sat 24:12 78:21 satisfied 147:21 save 21:12 54:14 55:12 saving 38:1 saw 24:13 25:22 35:5 133:18 141:14 154:16 156:17,18 191:2 192:3 198:3 201:2 243:13 245:21 saying 62:2 81:19,22 82:8 103:15 105:22

www.nealrgross.com

106:22 108:18,21

111:6,19 112:21

114:13,14,17,22

118:21 119:4,13

122:16 128:9 129:16

165:11 169:14 193:4

201:13 204:6 209:14

149:4 155:4 156:2

159:9,11 162:15

210:12 211:1 230:19 245:9 253:17 254:11 260:17 says 15:17 62:13 74:2 107:19 108:11 109:18 133:18 137:6,11 140:10 143:5 157:6 172:7 218:22 219:2 221:17 223:9 235:8 254:15 257:13 258:16 schedule 11:4 110:9 scheme 22:4 schizophrenia 241:19 scholar 31:17 school 19:7 102:11,17 103:2 sci-fi 51:5 scientifically 186:12 scooters 243:20 scope 75:7 210:5 Scott 21:9 187:16 se 255:13 **SEAL** 187:20 **SEALs** 188:10 seamless 133:21 136:4 search 256:9 searches 229:18.20 seat 209:20 second 24:18 39:5 70:22 74:6.22 77:11 84:21 85:2 97:1 133:7 133:15 137:17,21 140:8 148:2,2 150:10 152:18 171:21,22 172:13 181:12.13 182:1 189:6,10 193:13 199:11 203:4 207:15 218:21 229:14 239:14 240:14 246:3 246:5 250:18 263:7,8 264:4,5 secondary 227:15 228:10 seconded 85:15 seconds 172:2 Secretary 14:21 37:16 104:18 125:7,16 164:11 191:14 245:13 245:19 248:3,10 249:1,7 259:15 260:13 section 46:18 49:19 55:4 57:10 61:4,18 62:4 66:1,2,4,4 67:2 67:14 71:13 77:10 78:16 80:3 83:8 90:3 90:10,13 116:6,20 121:3 128:19 133:6

147:7 172:18 176:22 183:22 230:15 231:18 243:9,10 254:14,15 sections 38:22 62:8 63:21 121:1 174:18 sector 81:22 125:8 128:3 167:19 178:6 security 243:6,9 245:1 245:11 247:19 248:1 248:10,15 **seed** 46:18 seeing 10:21 33:1 53:22 54:16 59:5,15 98:5 123:1 125:1 135:18 223:17 224:22 seek 165:17 seeking 131:1 seen 31:10 35:15 38:21 51:3,6 63:22 122:18 161:5,5 163:6 176:16 225:8 226:6 241:2,12 241:14 249:3 sees 40:20 segments 8:13 selective 233:2 self 213:9 225:2 231:6 233:12 self-medicating 212:8 semantics 171:18 Senate 4:5 send 48:1 68:4 71:12 sending 4:21 senior 1:13 44:7 46:10 sense 45:20 50:19 51:9 52:11 53:16 62:22 86:5 100:1 105:13 110:21 130:12 139:9 144:6 146:3 153:5,16 162:14,20 194:16 196:8 198:4 219:3 230:15 238:18 247:10 sensitive 55:8 128:16 sensitivity 46:2 108:19 sent 68:8 69:4 88:14 91:6 119:12 255:4 sentence 74:6,22 78:1 90:18 110:22 165:14 170:7 193:17 194:19 221:17 sentences 75:1 81:13 separate 47:16,20 64:12 77:14 144:22 201:16 203:19 229:3 241:12 separately 144:14 145:5 171:2 sequencing 86:18 sequentially 80:10

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

Sergeant 34:17 sergeants 136:20 **series** 148:9 serious 153:14 245:7,8 seriously 260:8 servant 46:4 serve 6:1,13,20 8:2,16 13:13 14:6 17:9 23:16 32:12 46:3 127:12 143:6 147:9 202:5 served 6:5 30:17,20 33:2 165:3 191:11 service 1:16 9:1,2 10:16 18:7 21:8 26:8 31:8 33:22 34:1 44:16 58:1 59:2,3 60:3 132:16 134:20 136:10 178:5 services 2:3 28:9 127:11 135:15 142:21 179:8 206:12 serving 7:18 12:21 28:6 session 1:5 4:12 41:22 43:7 54:3 175:8 239:2 243:16.21 set 4:16 36:10 45:11 58:15 75:3 112:16 157:15,18 161:3,4 246:13 sets 103:20 setting 22:22 50:3 157:11 158:1,2 161:14 settled 48:5 seven 167:10 178:8 Seventy-four 108:3 sexual 91:17,21 **SHAMSI** 2:15 Shana 155:22 shape 249:21 share 29:13 44:6 84:5 193:2 shared 191:16 sharing 94:8 SHEWMAKE 2:16 Shira 2:1 9:12 42:11 92:14 93:22 96:5 97:22 227:19 241:10 shock 176:11 179:9 **shocked** 34:13 shocking 184:6 shooter 18:20 short 50:16 69:16,19 166:1 169:1 170:10 170:18 215:17 227:13 227:16 237:2,4,8 239:11 short-term 166:21

213:4 shorter 50:12 **shortly** 238:9 shout-out 28:13 shoved 119:5 show 22:17 65:2 70:16 192:9 showed 120:10 showing 182:10 243:20 Shulkin 191:14 side 53:6,6 117:9 118:9 135:21 165:1 201:4 202:7 226:5 235:1 248:1,15 sides 32:5 190:19 234:14 **Sigma** 2:9,13,15 27:2 28:14 96:17 192:8 sign 169:15 signatures 41:13 128:6 251:20 signing 128:4 263:4 **silly** 177:9 Simcakoski 34:17,21 34:22 similar 34:15 39:14 88:20 89:13 142:1 simple 92:10 130:11 256:11 simplification 228:22 simply 25:10 81:19 Simultaneous 96:3 227:21 single 67:18 94:18 118:16 123:15 sir 12:14 50:16 54:7 60:8 190:4 199:9 246:3 256:21 sister 19:5 188:7 sit 49:13 102:4,22 site 59:22 sitting 41:1 62:10 134:10 135:14 259:10 situation 178:13 250:10 situations 14:9 15:7 130:2 six 35:10 123:22 142:20 143:18 151:10 167:10 **six-month** 124:10 sizable 165:20 size 55:7 69:17 skill 75:3 103:20 skills 74:5 skim 64:8 skipping 51:19 sleep 215:12 slews 224:22,22 slide 239:8

slight 172:5 small 30:15 41:4 65:19 116:6,20 156:20 179:9 239:21 **Smith** 31:15,18,22 snapshot 128:1 244:10 244:13 sneak 21:7 society 19:2,6 44:10 45:1 sold 256:12 **solicit** 148:19 **solid** 4:20 14:11,16 190:17 265:19 solution 56:20 130:17 solutions 22:20 solve 25:11 114:12 somebody 40:20 103:3 103:17,18 109:5 124:3 145:11 146:20 157:19 160:10 167:18 210:18 246:16,22 249:15 somebody's 94:17 somewhat 52:18 213:5 sons 33:1 soon 58:11 sorry 107:13 111:20 139:4 181:8,9 182:3 187:7 191:5 203:9 207:21 252:11 sort 16:7 24:22 25:3 33:2 45:14 49:1,21 64:5,7,10 67:22 68:21 69:6.8 71:13 72:8 78:21 81:2,3,12 103:6 114:7 127:7 129:3 182:7 222:16 sorts 80:11 262:11 sound 258:22 sounds 101:7 223:2 source 253:3 sources 71:12 south 34:14 Southwestern 187:6 speak 18:20 19:21 20:17 40:22 45:17 62:4 120:18 167:16 221:10 260:12 speaking 96:3 114:11 120:7 170:20 227:21 special 246:13,19 247:5 specialist 106:10 109:5 110:17 111:15 112:3 113:3 201:20,22 202:3 205:5,7,12,13 206:10,14,17 207:2,5 207:10

(202) 234-4433

specialists 99:22 100:15 101:11,13,17 101:20 102:2,3,13 105:10 106:7 107:21 108:2,20 110:8 111:1 112:11 115:1 131:7 200:13,14 201:14,17 203:12,20 205:8,17 specialities 168:10 specific 82:15 133:21 139:1,22 151:18 152:6 156:14 170:9 186:9 196:1 201:21 206:11 210:11 229:18 237:12 specifically 28:12 70:19 78:22 89:7 90:13 91:5,21 93:7 107:19 111:8 115:13 124:1 126:8 169:20 187:14 195:3,15 205:16 209:11,19 210:12 223:2 235:2 specificity 247:15 **speech** 48:14,15 50:7 spell 89:22 **spelled** 95:1 117:2 **spend** 48:8 188:10 spending 188:6 spent 13:7 14:1 94:10 95:2 140:19 259:20 **SPERO** 2:7 209:6 210:11 214:3,7 **spirit** 121:6 228:22 232:12 spite 235:8 spoke 36:15 111:21 114:19 spoken 20:17 sponsor 55:3 sports 56:3 **spot** 171:10 218:19 spouse 16:15 spread 64:17 258:13 spreading 67:1 sprinkle 253:3,10 **St** 58:18 **STACEY** 2:14 staff 2:6,8,8,16 13:12 14:5 18:10 21:5 22:14 22:22 26:18,19 27:8 28:13,14 84:2 96:5,17 124:6 127:11 147:8 191:17,17 266:19 stage 35:16 50:3 stakeholder 2:10 260:7 260:10 stakeholders 40:6

259:13.16 stand 20:14 52:14 standalone 233:1 247:11 standalones 142:13 standard 51:4 116:17 standardization 245:17 standpoint 43:15 52:12 168:13 stands 85:3 148:17 187:8 216:13 Stanley 153:1 Star 6:8 start 5:18 13:21 39:15 40:7 46:17 50:15 53:1 73:14 76:11 87:11 97:3 102:6,7,18,19 117:11 118:11 138:4 150:15 158:9 159:5 160:16,22 173:7 175:14 180:1 189:13 191:18 227:13 240:10 241:20 252:15 253:15 253:22 265:4 started 7:10 8:8 31:17 51:18 94:7 136:3 151:22 243:20 251:16 starting 70:21 104:1 149:11 235:17,18,21 starts 46:14,15 47:9 69:17 151:15 185:18 state 12:5 122:8 154:6 154:6,7,7 156:10 177:13 178:1,4,6 184:14 199:1 217:1 218:8 stated 36:4 37:5 46:11 48:20 125:5 149:13 219:8 250:4 statement 106:3 113:4 123:13 152:15 172:11 177:1 221:16 223:8 239:15 245:13,19 248:4 statements 60:20 105:1 states 1:1 17:16 30:8 176:13 226:14,15 stating 199:1 248:15 status 158:10,18 160:15,18 162:19 163:1 165:22 166:18 167:21 169:15 170:3 stay 104:2 117:13 161:1 167:15 214:12 220:18 238:13 252:13 stayed 30:18 staying 220:18 steal 208:17

step 41:6 83:21 142:5 145:3 171:9 195:19 227:12 232:14 234:10 238:6 step-brother 21:13 steps 84:8 136:12 141:2 146:16 148:10 149:9 156:15 166:4 193:5.8 216:14 219:2 220:21 222:11 sterilize 35:11 stew 61:2 stick 73:9 93:11 sticky 79:18 80:11 stimulation 176:9 178:8 stood 155:3 stop 73:13 77:11 storage 153:18 154:2 stories 13:15 15:11 22:13 56:8 63:19 233:19 storing 153:19 story 34:7 135:21 136:7 straight 18:19 95:6 148:7 166:11 straightforward 66:3 strains 216:20 streamlined 216:15 Street 1:10 strength 89:8 stress 15:19 155:2 186:18 187:21 246:18 stretch 84:8 232:9 strides 35:3 strike 260:17 261:1 strong 20:5 105:1,3,7 198:8 214:2 247:17 259:4 stronger 66:11 242:17 261:15 266:11 strongly 74:18 struck 78:18 129:8 structure 29:2 164:15 struggle 224:20 struggled 21:19 151:9 struggling 21:22 22:3 22:18 130:16 141:9 studied 213:20 224:2 253:18,18,18 studies 14:19 70:11 89:6 90:15,19,22 91:2 91:12,21 219:12 study 31:17 56:16 72:22 73:3 91:19 182:10 187:7,9 211:15 213:19 214:20 215:1,2,4 220:4 237:8 241:5

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

studying 220:8 235:13 stuff 64:1 68:6 78:17 105:15 127:14 137:1 148:11 223:4,17 224:4 229:6 235:17 subcommittee 29:2 subhead 127:17 subject 28:13 83:14 170:11 subjected 15:19 submission 5:12 subpiece 161:13 subsection 116:21 239:21 subsequent 53:17 subset 233:12 substance 57:15 187:21 188:3 241:14 substances 240:5 substantial 133:9 substantiate 77:3 success 80:16 successful 155:20 successfully 58:2 sudden 230:3 suddenly 40:19 sufficiency 82:13,14 sufficient 76:5,16 77:19 78:9 138:14 suggest 90:3,17 107:5 107:12 144:2 228:21 suggested 48:19 77:17 88:21 89:1,10 137:16 146:4 210:8 230:17 257:20 suggesting 81:15 218:15 suggestion 63:14 89:15 123:12 154:19 196:3 254:10 258:20 suggestions 4:20 suggests 49:21 suicidal 153:6 155:12 suicidality 164:10 suicide 15:12,14,21,22 16:4,11,20 57:22 135:6 151:19 152:2,5 152:8,11,14,19,21 154:14,15 155:4,11 155:15,21 suicides 44:20 245:3 suitable 222:18 summaries 88:21 89:14 summarize 47:21 48:4 summarizes 47:18 summary 47:9,13,15 48:17,21 49:2,7 261:15

summer 53:11 259:18 259:21 super 119:14 152:6 210:11 251:11 supercharge 66:13 support 2:8,8,16 18:10 24:1 26:13 28:14 44:12,15 64:10 74:8 78:3 96:17 97:20 99:17,22 100:14 101:11,13,17,19 102:1,3,13 105:9,15 106:7,17 107:6,8,9,18 107:20 108:1,19 109:5 110:1,8,16 111:1,14 112:2,10 113:9 114:22 115:11 116:15 131:7 140:12 142:9 151:7 156:12 156:15 186:15 200:9 200:13 201:17,19,21 205:7,8,12,13,14,17 209:17 236:2,3 247:9 249:3,8 254:4 256:13 260:15 261:2 supportive 135:18 supports 156:11 supposed 9:2 141:5 149:2 169:2 surface 99:12 Surgeon 6:7 surgery 168:4,22 surgical 168:10 surprise 130:1 surprised 131:2 survey 83:4 surveys 141:11 212:7 survived 31:13 susceptibility 154:21 susceptible 239:20 sussing 190:19 SVAC 37:16 249:8 266:9 **SVC** 1:10 swap 252:12 263:16,19 swapping 62:11 257:11 263:18 sweeping 26:20 switch 90:6 symptoms 156:9 158:12,14 188:2 system 1:15 24:19,22 25:4 26:3 35:2,17,21 37:22 38:4 45:18 52:16,22 55:14 58:12 62:16 74:10 78:5 82:8 113:1 120:11 124:8 131:13 135:7 142:16

146:12 150:6 155:19 157:17 161:3,5 163:19 176:2 180:2 181:21 192:19 198:21 200:16 201:7 202:2,9 202:12 223:15 243:7 243:21 244:6,12,18 247:20 249:17 255:3 257:17 260:19 systematic 147:7 152:14 230:11 systemic 196:21 systems 6:4 33:11,19 34:3 44:13 80:21,22 120:22 121:1 136:18 139:15 161:18 201:2 systemwide 112:3 т table 10:4,18 21:5 27:6 61:11 64:20 84:22 145:5 202:7 228:19 259:10 tackers 82:13 tai 121:12 takeaway 162:6 taken 41:6 97:21 129:9 131:19 156:13 157:22 223:19 takes 96:21 163:3 229:8 talk 11:4 22:10 31:8 32:20 34:21 36:17 41:14 43:17 49:13 50:6 52:3 63:4 82:11 84:1 86:19 88:10 98:20 100:16 105:9 105:11 114:4 116:17 128:17 146:9,13 178:7 202:19 212:14 212:16 252:2 259:14 265:8 talked 31:14 53:10 64:4 83:2 85:10 120:8 122:5,15 125:13 127:22 134:4,7 135:10 146:1 163:9 179:14,17 188:16 193:9 195:1 218:20 225:13 236:2 250:6 259:13 260:1,18 262:2 talking 40:14 45:6 48:13 49:2 65:3 86:16 93:19 101:2,11 106:10 112:1 115:1 116:1 120:8 144:8,15 157:9 160:12,13 162:12 169:5 171:3

177:9.22 180:13 182:14 228:16 247:17 talks 231:1 **TAMARA** 2:9 Tampa 55:19 60:1 tape 139:3 target 186:13 targeted 195:3 task 123:21 137:18 tasks 244:19 **TBI** 56:18 team 2:3 6:14 7:1 30:13 36:20 44:7 74:17 84:6 96:17,18 97:20 112:12,13,15 115:4 127:5 140:21 151:7 168:21 173:21 266:17 teams 118:9 233:10 tear-down 35:16 technical 94:5 Technically 47:14 technician 180:15,19 202:18 205:5 206:10 206:13,17 207:3,9 technician's 204:2 technicians 100:17 203:12 205:9.14.18 technologies 25:2 technology 51:4 149:1 178:14 217:17 techs 203:19 tedious 50:1 telehealth 153:12 tell 47:12 58:4 59:13 94:12 109:4 116:8 148:4 210:19 213:18 217:22 225:12 259:17 telling 255:8 tells 238:9 temporary 170:19,21 171:2,4 ten 4:20 18:11 38:14 40:12 41:10 47:22 98:6 124:4 224:22 251:17 ten-238:12 ten-minute 42:22 tended 116:10 term 37:11 100:11 101:7 106:6 133:20 170:10,18,18 171:3,4 201:21 202:1,18 204:2 215:17 227:14 237:2,8,13 265:9 terminology 101:19 170:16 204:12 207:10 terms 10:5 67:15 73:12 73:12 76:2 81:13 83:3

121:9 130:22 139:11 157:11 158:1,2 193:16 205:19 260:10 terrible 15:18 Terrific 60:12 terrified 161:21 testimony 187:5 tetrahydrocannabinol 216:21 Texas 187:6 text 68:12,20 69:11 195:16,18 256:3,8 thankful 21:16 22:20 33:6 thankfully 191:8 thanking 13:21,22 thanks 7:14 23:21 44:4 75:6 92:8 132:12 151:17 171:14 175:20 206:7 266:4 267:6 **THC** 220:3 themes 118:15 themself 131:18 theoretically 163:5 theory 86:21 therapies 14:13 15:6 54:17.18 56:5 57:2.7 193:20 197:1,4,6,9,10 197:17 therapists 102:6 therapy 56:1,2,3 116:18 176:10 186:22 198:17 things 8:9 9:21 11:18 13:10 14:3,19 15:1 17:3 18:17 19:11 20:13 24:2,5 25:1,21 27:7,11 35:18 43:22 50:15 54:14 56:1 61:8 63:4 64:6 65:2,19 66:9 67:10,20 68:19 68:22 69:13 73:10 80:6,10,11 88:9,13,18 91:6,8,16 98:19 99:6 99:16 100:17 104:22 106:21 110:1 116:8 116:10,12 124:4 127:16 130:13 131:3 137:2 138:17 139:22 140:17 141:1,10 143:3 147:16 149:12 149:19 151:21 152:6 155:5 174:21 175:18 177:3 180:12 183:8 188:19 194:8,11 195:7 196:10 198:9 206:14 210:16 223:5 224:15 239:16 240:4 250:14 252:9 256:4

256:14 258:8 261:19 261:21 262:11 265:19 265:21 third 26:5 53:8 258:9 thirds 226:14 **THOMAS** 1:14 thought 14:14 24:16 36:2 45:8,21 50:17 61:9 64:3 67:21 69:11 72:1 88:18,20 89:17 92:21 107:10 124:14 137:7 138:22 143:1 146:20 179:19 188:22 195:21 225:22 229:17 254:6 259:20 266:3 thoughtful 10:12 148:4 thoughts 21:14 129:7 209:21 thousands 49:4 183:13 threat 163:20 threatening 188:14 three 24:5 35:15,19 62:11 73:2 86:3 104:9 104:17 106:18 107:22 114:7,11,12 115:6,11 117:22 134:11 135:19 138:12 139:6 142:7 232:5,7 252:12 263:14,16,20,22 264:2,18 265:16 threw 223:3 throw 64:19 thumb 95:14 THURSDAY 1:7 tied 40:8 121:15 ties 53:3 tightly 265:18 time-limited 193:5 timeline 50:9,12,13 times 11:3 13:15 31:8 71:6 80:4 120:11 160:4 191:9 timing 248:20 Tinian 31:14 TMS 180:14 182:6 184:6 today 15:13 29:17 30:13 35:18 38:18 39:13,16 40:16 41:6 41:22 46:17 48:6,20 54:5 61:9 62:18,22 65:9 66:7,10 128:5 255:12 265:2 today's 239:2 told 22:18 55:1 110:5 117:5 **Tom** 1:17 5:18,20 7:16 13:4 18:22 42:4,15

44:2 48:11 86:4 96:16 106:1 126:21 138:4 149:1 185:1 212:5 221:12 238:13 254:3 Tom's 230:17 Tomah 34:14 35:3 ton 62:14 253:12,14 tone 23:1 230:16 231:9 231:14 235:14 toned 235:7 toolkit 122:7 tools 139:15 240:8 top 21:10 35:22 39:6 45:22 53:20 125:4 127:18 197:11 202:16 202:21 206:21 214:11 231:16 254:15 top-level 202:22 topic 48:18 119:2 255:12 torn 35:8 total 37:21 159:17 164:6 totally 30:20 46:8 51:6 77:14 111:11 134:12 touch 224:13 232:11 touched 170:22 200:10 tour 21:13 town 30:1 track 89:12 traction 12:20 **TRACY** 2:16 tradeoff 160:6 training 16:4 18:1 57:11 74:4 77:20 177:17 196:18 transcranial 176:8 178:8 transcripts 65:16 transferred 120:3 Transferring 85:9 transform 52:16 55:13 62:15 72:7 transformation 253:19 255:2 260:16 transformational 261:22 transformative 72:8 260:19 transgressed 18:16 transition 132:15 transparent 124:16 transportation 177:21 243:2,6,11,13,16,21 244:6,12,18 246:13 246:15,19 247:2,5,5 247:19 249:12,15,21 250:4,13

trauma 91:17.21 188:2 traumatic 246:17 treat 186:3 187:11 treating 57:13,19 193:7 treatment 2:3 56:11,14 89:22 175:17,22 176:8 179:10,16 181:20 182:11 191:21 224:21 227:5 treatments 57:17 58:21 104:15 180:5 195:3 196:18,19 213:15 trees 257:12 259:8 tremendous 10:7 44:9 148:9 255:17 tremendously 19:1 27:4 trepidations 141:13 trials 31:4 89:5 tribal 163:10 tribulations 31:4 tried 118:15 120:20 134:10 152:5 190:18 193:8 225:15 trip 191:3 Trivedi 187:6 true 19:9 29:21 36:20 truly 9:1 14:8 119:1 213:8 218:3 229:10 trust 86:2 145:8 248:17 try 15:8 19:7 22:5 69:10 127:12 156:4,6 157:13,20,21 161:6 161:14,16 165:16 184:7 189:1 236:11 244:7,11 trying 35:2 36:8 96:7 109:4 114:11 116:13 131:13 132:1 135:4 135:13 140:4 143:2 148:18 162:2 186:8 195:11 212:1 219:5 222:3 228:14 234:21 244:19 250:7 tuck 47:6 turn 13:3 17:12 43:14 63:4 88:9 90:10 98:19 141:4 175:13,18 216:1 turnaround 145:17 turned 33:5 57:10 180:18 turnover 109:19,22 110:22 turns 220:14 tweak 90:2 204:8 209:22 231:16 tweaks 88:16 129:7

u –			292
two 6:8 12:6 21:10	211:3	VA's 58:13 104:17	19:10 45:6 135:21
22:17 31:10 33:1 38:3			view 195:21
	unemployability 158:7	167:20 185:19,22	
39:15 42:2 49:3 53:6	158:18 159:15,19	198:20 209:16	viewpoints 10:6
53:7 57:14 58:2 71:1	160:3,15 162:17 163:1 165:22 166:18	VA-wide 197:21	views 10:8
75:1 92:19 103:5		VACO 197:12	village 96:21
104:15 105:11 110:19	166:21 168:6,9 169:8	vague 99:14	Virginia 73:4
115:20 127:16 133:5	169:14,18 170:6	valiantly 187:22	virtually 65:22
134:2,11,22 136:6	171:1	valid 217:20	visit 49:13 133:18 134:9
142:11 178:9 188:1	unemployable 157:1,14	value 7:13 15:6 23:9	135:11 Visitors 1:10
193:8 198:17 206:3	162:19 165:16 166:14	25:8 72:11 112:6	
206:15 213:8 219:19 221:17 223:22 225:2	167:2,20	121:13 152:7 222:22 valued 191:21	visits 60:1 259:17 VISN 191:16
231:6 233:16 243:12	unemployed 157:3 158:8,12	values 45:11	vital 34:1
252:12,13 254:13	unemployment 158:10	VAMC 60:1,2	vocal 259:22
255:1,18 263:17,20	168:16,22	vaporize 180:7	vocation 156:4,6 162:7
263:22 264:2,19	unfortunately 107:1	varies 193:20	vocational 161:19
265:16	224:3	variety 192:11	162:7
two- 226:13	uniformly 197:3	various 4:15 16:10 34:2	voice 9:7 53:9,14 64:17
Tylenol 131:21	unique 137:12	42:16	66:5 67:6 104:7,21
type 14:16 15:2 64:6	United 1:1 17:16	vary 154:6,6	105:18 115:13 117:7
139:12 182:11 222:14	universal 175:21	VAs 10:21 11:2 153:9	118:1 142:8,14
250:10	181:19	179:13	254:16,18 258:12
types 81:6	University 1:15 2:22	venture 149:17	voices 53:12 61:17
typically 100:3	73:3 187:6	VERA 110:9 111:14	128:21
typo 68:2	unobvious 248:16	113:1,2,20 114:1,4	volume 241:13
	unrealistic 189:3	200:17,19,22 202:11	vote 61:12 63:8 73:16
U	unrelated 155:18	verb 214:2	73:20 85:17 86:8 87:1
U.S 1:14,17,18,20,20	up-to-date 217:7	verbiage 205:9	87:10 144:12 145:4,6
2:2,4	updated 38:20 71:5,7	version 99:5 155:2	145:14 204:7 207:1
Uber 157:21 244:2,2	72:4,17 73:8 210:13	239:8	232:22 238:18 263:6
250:6	updates 70:11 73:17	versions 236:10	voted 144:13,14 150:3
unacceptable 134:12	updating 243:5 247:19	versus 221:4 230:19	voting 65:13 66:10 87:2
Unanimous 173:19	uphill 201:1	231:16	138:10,10 144:21
190:2 264:18	upload 142:3	VET 172:18	
unanimously 98:15	upset 14:6	veteran 9:4 15:12 16:12	W
151:4 185:14 200:4	urge 127:7,8	18:21 19:13,14 56:14	W 1:16
208:6,10	urging 266:18	57:22 58:5 64:17	wade 154:8,9
unattractive 110:10	use 20:6 38:13 57:18	65:22 66:5 67:12 104:22 105:19 115:13	wait 54:21 119:14 123:1
unavailability 178:11 unaware 215:18,18	71:18 79:20,20 81:2	118:16 121:10 122:6	147:1 202:12
247:22 250:5	84:14 94:3 133:20 137:14 141:3,18	122:17 125:10 129:16	waiting 263:6 waitlists 34:12
uncle 19:4	192:19 212:15 213:22	135:6,22 142:8,14	wandered 22:16
undercurrent 214:13	216:17 217:8 231:2,3	148:21 152:14 156:6	wanted 37:12 44:6 46:5
underneath 68:21 69:7	231:11 233:10 241:14	159:16 166:10 167:6	59:14 70:2 71:18
73:22	243:18 244:2,2,15	168:4,17 172:9,10	81:17 82:3,21 84:18
undersecretary 124:5	254:14 258:5	176:16 180:18 186:10	88:14 89:22 92:7
understand 63:19	users 217:1 224:1	187:2 212:15 253:3	93:22 99:5,16 128:6
66:18 69:9 89:4	uses 80:19,20 84:3	254:17,19,22 258:16	131:18 134:3,6 135:9
106:22 129:22 132:20	USMCR 1:16	veteran's 16:13 156:12	138:17 202:14 207:7
134:14 140:9 165:12	usually 93:8 94:14	168:17	237:2 261:3 262:9
180:14 183:20 187:11	99:18,19,19 158:7	veterans' 1:3 37:20	263:20 266:15,20
196:12 221:21 231:7	239:18 255:14	38:1 140:13 146:4,13	wanting 227:10
244:13 261:21	utilize 57:11	166:6 168:20 193:6	wants 25:19 39:20
understanding 195:1	utilized 58:22 152:20	217:5 243:1 252:16	43:13,17 44:2 127:5
196:14,17,21 198:4	156:16 182:20 191:6	253:7,12	133:12 183:10 249:2
231:12 256:17	201:15	VFW 31:3	war 19:9,10 30:21 45:5
understands 39:4		VHA 164:12 244:10	56:18
understood 179:11	V	Vietnam 2:20 16:12,13	wars 31:10
l	I	I	I

	1	1	1
Washington 1:10 21:21	95:9 98:17 99:2 125:2	230:17	worse 25:9 132:4
wasn't 71:17 84:8 176:6	133:5 140:20 144:4	work 5:15 11:10 17:5	156:10 224:10 241:21
186:19 190:14 191:20	148:8,12 151:7,17	21:17 27:3 29:3,5	worship 19:19
191:20,21 210:11	171:8,16 174:12	36:9,18,19 37:6,8,11	worth 176:20
231:17 234:12	175:13,14,20 176:19	39:10,16 40:10 41:13	would've 164:20 191:22
watching 8:7,12 23:6	190:11,18 200:11	41:21 42:6,10,16,18	wouldn't 28:15 67:2
31:13	207:11 218:20 222:2	52:21 54:21 55:10,12	121:17 143:15 148:17
way 12:14 19:15 22:10	231:19 235:22 236:6	56:5 59:1 62:18 67:10	180:1 187:3 192:1
25:16 32:5 36:13 49:7	247:8 252:1 254:12	79:16 83:15 96:7,15	211:13 224:13
49:11 51:16 52:8,12	257:2	97:20 100:2,8 105:5	woven 254:19
55:13,20 61:10 64:3	Wendy-ism 76:11	117:20 121:20,22	write 69:6 210:9 236:6
64:20 65:21 94:15	went 24:1 36:22 43:4	122:9,10 144:4 151:7	257:2
96:12 99:4 110:21	68:4 81:3 98:11 103:2	151:20 153:22 155:19	writer 2:11,13 51:6
112:20 121:17 122:19 126:16 127:9 136:17	126:10,11 141:14 142:6,11 153:2 175:4	158:16,17,22 159:5 159:18 160:4 161:21	writeup 118:4 writing 5:12 28:16 48:8
147:7 149:8 153:7,11	188:14 191:4,5,15	165:17 166:8 167:13	207:14
153:15 160:9 163:11	238:20 267:8	170:1,11 171:8	written 145:19 149:8
166:7,16 172:6 180:3	weren't 11:7 119:6,10	177:11 184:5,7,11	231:17
196:13 209:8,20	120:17	187:1 210:18 230:8	wrong 22:14 57:6 70:3
211:18 231:5 236:1	white 1:13 37:17 133:9	230:14,16,16 231:17	70:4 105:6 166:7
240:21 246:13 248:19	266:10	236:11 239:4,16	170:17 182:5 188:14
253:5,9 256:10	WHITEHEAD 2:17	242:16 255:18,22	215:6 219:21 220:21
258:11 260:5 261:5,7	who've 28:8	260:2 261:20 265:8	220:22 262:16
261:9 266:21	widely 113:1 192:21	265:14 266:1	
Wayne 1:18 14:20 15:9	193:20 196:6 197:20	worked 5:5 8:15,18	X
23:13 42:11 63:11	wife 12:6 33:4,6 177:10	9:16 23:17 33:15	X 131:15
77:4 138:20 141:8	Wilkie 245:13,19	42:15 116:13 140:21	
142:7 143:4 145:9	William 50:22	188:7 207:10	Y
147:6 149:11 150:2	willing 11:2,3 150:2	workgroup 98:19 99:1	Y 131:15
261:11	188:9	104:5 116:1 141:5	yay 87:12,13,14,15,16
Wayne's 37:20 106:12	wire 21:7	150:2	87:17,18,19,20,21,22
110:8 117:16 233:7	Wisconsin 30:2,15	working 7:20 9:9,19	88:1 97:4,5,6,7,8,9,10
ways 28:21 109:13	33:13,16 34:15	13:19 21:14 25:20	97:11,12,13,14 138:5
161:16 163:18 191:17	wish 118:17	26:19 32:19 47:13	138:6,7,8 150:16,17
220:5,17	women 00:15 01:2 02:1	55:20 59:3 67:19	150:18,19,20,21,22
weapons 153:20	women 90:15 91:2 92:1 92:2	80:17 94:11 95:3,4	151:1,2,3 173:9,10,11
weave 193:9 WEAVER 2:16	92:2 wonder 104:10 222:21	96:19 125:17 148:13 154:4 155:15 158:9	173:12,13,14,15,16
weaving 234:22	250:12	159:6 160:8,10,22	173:17,18 185:9,10 185:11,12 189:17,18
website 157:19	wonderful 6:11 28:12	161:4 163:16,20,21	189:19,20,21,22
weeds 154:19	31:14 35:1 45:14 60:6	180:18 186:8,16,20	199:19,20,21,22
week 48:2 78:15 84:2	wondering 129:10	187:14 201:8 208:8	199:22 200:1,2,3,4
126:18 182:9,18	132:13 140:5 182:7	215:16 217:15 219:17	207:18,19,20,21,22
weekly 36:19 42:17	199:2 206:22 222:20	234:16	208:1,2,3,4,5 242:6,7
266:2	Woo-hoo 208:13	works 9:5 141:17	242:8,9,10,11,12
weeks 80:7 86:4 95:5	word 20:14 39:22 78:9	169:18 197:21 260:19	251:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10
262:13	101:4 107:1 170:5	world 8:14 19:9,10,16	251:11 264:10,11,13
weigh 195:20 228:14	209:8 220:16 226:2	30:10,21 44:17 45:5	264:14,15,16,17
welcome 4:4,11,13	256:8	45:12 51:5 150:7	yay'd 151:4
175:7 239:1	worded 240:21	233:11 244:8	yays 139:6 173:20
Well-Being 140:10,13	wording 68:11 100:1	worn 28:8	185:13 242:13
well-run 35:16	144:4 172:5,5 199:7	worried 119:11 121:2	year 24:4 34:13 70:21
Wendy 2:11 27:8 28:16	210:7 212:1,18 214:1	124:2 127:13 161:6	75:19 126:18 225:14
38:13,16 41:17 44:1	215:21 222:6,18	229:19	245:20
46:12 47:8 48:11	238:17	worry 68:3 142:19	years 6:4,6 7:13 12:2,5
49:18 52:2 53:21	words 46:22 83:16	146:19 223:14 238:9	24:21 28:7 35:15,19
60:19 77:8 83:22	100:21 196:4 202:16	262:15	73:2 74:3,11 76:16
60:19 77:8 83:22 85:19 86:2 88:5,14			

П

134:11 135:19 136:3 167:15 186:8 202:13	118:10 164:19 265:12 266:6	4 3:2,8 29:5 42:7 82:13	92 190:8 931 55:4
		151:13,15,18 168:16	
224:22 227:10	175 3:10	173:20 175:10	95 114:6 200:8 205:1
Yesenia 192:7	18 132:18 225:14	4- 216:17	95-100 36:12
yesterday 44:5 46:10	185 3:12	45 4:22 6:5 37:19	97 206:19,21
164:18 208:16 209:7	19 12:5 14:13 15:5	140:19 174:9,10	99 3:6
211:11 221:2 225:13	190 3:14	48 90:12	
225:21 232:20	1952 30:1		
yesterday's 215:21		5	
yoga 56:3 121:11,15	2	5 3:10 29:5 42:7 133:10	
182:11 183:8,13	2 3:4 42:6 74:10,21	151:18 175:15,17	
184:6	98:15,19 99:1 212:8	181:9,14,17,19	
young 58:18 235:19	229:2	185:16	
241:19	2,000 179:7 184:12	500 143:9,10	
-	2:45 238:20	550 75:16	
Z	20 15:13 38:11 90:22	56 75:19	
Z 131:15	146:2	5A 151:19	
	200 3:16 94:15		
0	2000% 30:11	6	
	2001 9:16	6 3:12 181:9,12 185:17	
1	2013 202:1 206:5	185:18 189:6 190:6	
1:30 174:11 175:1	2013 202.1 200.5 2014 30:14 34:5	6,380,000 142:21	
1:33 175:5	2017 33:17	60 158:11	
10 1:10 3:20 202:13	2019 1:8 4:4 267:5	63 3:3	
207:13 208:8 238:15	208 3:18	7	
242:21 246:6 265:19	212- 1:10		
10:00 4:17 43:5,20	21st 84:4	7 3:14 190:7 199:7	
10:58 98:11	22 132:18	200:5	
100 131:22 135:17	24 225:14	70 158:11,13 159:21	
157:2,13 158:5,6,14	24/7 267:1	160:16,17 166:13	
158:20 159:2,15,17	242 3:20	169:22	
159:20 160:2,12	25-year 30:12	70s 16:13	
166:14 168:5 170:1	26 12:2 73:21	74 108:10,15	
208:13	267 3:22	75 140:10	
100-plus 149:8	28 28:7 29:12,13	76 107:17 133:6	
100% 18:2	3	77 125:1 133:15	
101 218:21		78 107:21 112:9 114:3	
102 242:22	3 3:6 42:6 64:13,22	8	
11 97:17 98:5 102:8	74:19 79:7 98:7,16,19		
251:14,14	99:7 104:1 137:22	8 3:16 104:2 105:12	
11:00 43:20	150:10,12 216:17	106:9 113:13,19	
11:16 98:12	252:8 256:22 257:19	114:3 115:18 200:7	
11x17 49:10	258:17	203:5 208:9	
12 1:8 4:4 98:8	3:00 238:16	80 34:14 151:15	
12:40 175:4	3:04 238:21	80% 36:4,7	
12th 156:1 175:7 265:5	3:34 267:8	85 168:14	
267:5	30 6:4 174:8 251:16	88 3:4	
13 21:6	30-plus 265:17	89 102:16 185:19	
1330 174:11	30-some 40:11		
15 51:3 98:9 112:4	300 35:12	9	
141:7 147:1	31 30:9	9 3:18 130:10 208:11	
15-minute 238:15	32 88:7	236:7 239:5 240:15	
150 110:16 111:21	33 6:6 225:14	240:19 242:14	
150-dollar 133:1	35 136:2 191:16	9:00 1:10 4:2	
1500 238:16	38 168:15 169:10	9:46 43:4	
151 3:8	380,000 142:19	9:55 43:1	
16 70:17 125:6		90 162:12 266:8	
17 4:19 5:14 70:18	4	911 57:10	1

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: COVER Commission Meeting

Before: US DVA

Date: 12-12-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

near Lans &

Court Reporter

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

295

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: COVER Commission Meeting

Before: US DVA

Date: 12-12-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

near R. Guiss

Court Reporter

omer OVER HAIR

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealigrass.com