VA Recommendations to the

ASSET AND INFRASTRUCTURE REVIEW COMMISSION

March 2022

Appendix I
Section 203 Criteria Analysis – VISN 20

Table of Contents

Market VISN 20 Alaska

VA's recommendation for the VISN 20 Alaska is consistent with all Section 203 criteria. Results for each domain are displayed in the subsequent sections.

Access: Consistent

The access domain consists of six sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-5** are measured by comparing current and future state access to care in the high performing integrated delivery network (HPIDN) for all service lines.
  Note: The HPIDN includes VA and community providers. Community providers include providers currently in the Veteran Community Care Program and potential future Veteran Community Care Program providers meeting quality criteria. Please reference the Section 203 Criteria Methodology to view the quality criteria.
- **Sub-criterion 6** requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Alaska incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Alaska passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Access criterion.

For sub-criteria 1-5, results are generated by service line at various levels of aggregation. The following services are measured by comparing the percentage of enrollees within VA drive time standards to HPIDN providers in the current and future state by service line.

- Inpatient: Inpatient medicine/surgery (IP Med/Surg), inpatient mental health (IP MH), and inpatient community living centers (IP CLC)
- Outpatient: Outpatient primary care (OP PC), outpatient mental health (OP MH), outpatient emergency department / urgent care (OP ED/UC), outpatient surgery, outpatient surgical specialties, outpatient medical specialties, and outpatient rehabilitation

To pass, a market must maintain or improve the percentage of enrollees within VA drive time standards in the future state as shown below:

TABLE 1 – VISN 20 ALASKA: ACCESS RESULTS FOR IP MED/SURG, IP MH, IP CLC AND OUTPATIENT PRIMARY AND SPECIALTY CARE

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	All Enrollees	26,185 (67.7%)	34,173 (88.4%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	565 (36.2%)	680 (43.6%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Women Enrollees	3,306 (71.4%)	4,295 (92.7%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	8,509 (68.5%)	11,382 (91.6%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Minority Enrollees	4,630 (69.1%)	5,743 (85.7%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	65+ Enrollees	8,791 (65.8%)	11,267 (84.4%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Rural Enrollees	13,122 (63.8%)	16,096 (78.2%)	Pass
IP CLC	All Enrollees	16,613 (43%)	17,650 (45.7%)	Pass
IP CLC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	546 (35%)	565 (36.2%)	Pass
IP CLC	Women Enrollees	2,264 (48.9%)	2,358 (50.9%)	Pass
IP CLC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	5,402 (43.5%)	5,615 (45.2%)	Pass
IP CLC	Minority Enrollees	3,606 (53.8%)	3,814 (56.9%)	Pass
IP CLC	65+ Enrollees	5,291 (39.6%)	5,668 (42.4%)	Pass
IP CLC	Rural Enrollees	3,557 (17.3%)	4,589 (22.3%)	Pass
IP MH	All Enrollees	26,185 (67.7%)	34,173 (88.4%)	Pass
IP MH	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	565 (36.2%)	680 (43.6%)	Pass
IP MH	Women Enrollees	3,306 (71.4%)	4,295 (92.7%)	Pass
IP MH	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	8,509 (68.5%)	11,382 (91.6%)	Pass
IP MH	Minority Enrollees	4,630 (69.1%)	5,743 (85.7%)	Pass
IP MH	65+ Enrollees	8,791 (65.8%)	11,267 (84.4%)	Pass
IP MH	Rural Enrollees	13,122 (63.8%)	16,096 (78.2%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	All Enrollees	30,808 (79.7%)	34,150 (88.3%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP ED/UC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	680 (43.6%)	687 (44.1%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Women Enrollees	4,016 (86.7%)	4,288 (92.5%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	10,545 (84.8%)	11,360 (91.4%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Minority Enrollees	5,470 (81.6%)	5,787 (86.3%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	65+ Enrollees	9,550 (71.5%)	11,257 (84.3%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Rural Enrollees	12,732 (61.9%)	16,073 (78.1%)	Pass
OP MH	All Enrollees	33,914 (87.7%)	33,914 (87.7%)	Pass
OP MH	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	700 (44.9%)	700 (44.9%)	Pass
OP MH	Women Enrollees	4,285 (92.5%)	4,285 (92.5%)	Pass
OP MH	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	11,249 (90.5%)	11,249 (90.5%)	Pass
OP MH	Minority Enrollees	5,804 (86.6%)	5,804 (86.6%)	Pass
OP MH	65+ Enrollees	11,141 (83.4%)	11,141 (83.4%)	Pass
OP MH	Rural Enrollees	15,846 (77%)	15,846 (77%)	Pass
OP PC	All Enrollees	34,553 (89.4%)	34,877 (90.2%)	Pass
OP PC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	700 (44.9%)	700 (44.9%)	Pass
OP PC	Women Enrollees	4,316 (93.1%)	4,332 (93.5%)	Pass
OP PC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	11,392 (91.6%)	11,438 (92%)	Pass
OP PC	Minority Enrollees	5,881 (87.7%)	5,977 (89.2%)	Pass
OP PC	65+ Enrollees	11,427 (85.6%)	11,587 (86.8%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP PC	Rural Enrollees	16,485 (80.1%)	16,810 (81.7%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	All Enrollees	33,958 (87.8%)	33,958 (87.8%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	680 (43.6%)	680 (43.6%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Women Enrollees	4,270 (92.2%)	4,270 (92.2%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	11,359 (91.4%)	11,359 (91.4%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Minority Enrollees	5,722 (85.4%)	5,722 (85.4%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	65+ Enrollees	11,203 (83.9%)	11,203 (83.9%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Rural Enrollees	15,882 (77.2%)	15,882 (77.2%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	All Enrollees	35,060 (90.7%)	35,153 (90.9%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	680 (43.6%)	687 (44.1%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Women Enrollees	4,361 (94.1%)	4,365 (94.2%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	11,561 (93%)	11,572 (93.1%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Minority Enrollees	5,911 (88.2%)	5,944 (88.7%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	65+ Enrollees	11,754 (88%)	11,785 (88.2%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Rural Enrollees	16,981 (82.5%)	17,074 (83%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	All Enrollees	34,978 (90.5%)	34,978 (90.5%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP Rehabilitation	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	687 (44.1%)	687 (44.1%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Women Enrollees	4,361 (94.1%)	4,361 (94.1%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	11,530 (92.8%)	11,530 (92.8%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Minority Enrollees	5,939 (88.6%)	5,939 (88.6%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	65+ Enrollees	11,654 (87.2%)	11,654 (87.2%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Rural Enrollees	16,899 (82.1%)	16,899 (82.1%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	All Enrollees	33,958 (87.8%)	33,958 (87.8%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	680 (43.6%)	680 (43.6%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Women Enrollees	4,270 (92.2%)	4,270 (92.2%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	11,359 (91.4%)	11,359 (91.4%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Minority Enrollees	5,722 (85.4%)	5,722 (85.4%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	65+ Enrollees	11,203 (83.9%)	11,203 (83.9%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Rural Enrollees	15,882 (77.2%)	15,882 (77.2%)	Pass

Inpatient blind rehabilitation (IP BR), inpatient residential rehabilitation treatment programs (IP RRTP), and inpatient spinal cord injuries and disorders (IP SCI/D) are regional services and therefore measured at the VISN level. A market passes if its respective VISN continues to offer IP BR, IP RRTP, and IP SCI/D in the future state. If a VISN does not offer one or more of these services in the current state, then it does not need to offer them in the future state to pass. The VISN 20 Alaska market is part of VISN 20, which has the following results:

TABLE 2 – VISN 20 ALASKA: ACCESS RESULTS FOR IP BR, IP RRTP, AND IP SCI/D

VISN	Service	Exists in VISN Current State?	Exists in VISN Future State?	Pass / Fail
20	IP BR	TRUE	TRUE	Pass
20	IP RRTP	TRUE	TRUE	Pass
20	IP SCID	TRUE	TRUE	Pass

Demand: Consistent

The Demand domain consists of three sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-2** are measured by comparing the projected Veteran demand with the capacity of the HPIDN. To pass, a market's capacity must meet or exceed projected Veteran demand.
- **Sub-criterion 3** requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Alaska incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Alaska passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Demand criterion.

For sub-criteria 1-2, results are generated by service line at various levels of aggregation. There are three groups of services: (1) inpatient services provided by VA and community providers, (2) inpatient services primarily provided by VA, and (3) outpatient services provided by VA and community providers. Results for each group are shown in the sections below.

Inpatient Services Provided by VA and Community Providers:

IP MH, IP Med/Surg, and IP CLC are measured at the market level. A market passes if HPIDN capacity meets or exceeds projected Veteran demand. Demand for inpatient services is measured in beds.

TABLE 3 – VISN 20 ALASKA: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED BY VA AND COMMUNITY PROVIDERS

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	42	0	241	241	199	Pass
IP MH	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	15	0	24	24	9	Pass

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP CLC	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	59	59	0	59	0	Pass

Inpatient Services Primarily Provided by VA:

IP BR, IP RRTP, and IP SCID, are regional services and therefore measured at the VISN level. It is assumed over the next ten years VA will continue to be the primary provider of these services. A market passes if its VISN's capacity meets or exceeds projected Veteran demand. Demand for inpatient services is measured in beds. VISN 20 Alaska is part of VISN 20, which has the following results:

TABLE 4 – VISN 20 ALASKA: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED PRIMARILY BY VA

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	HPIDN Capacity (includes only VA capacity)	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP RRTP	Bed Shortage / Surplus (VISN level)	254	388	134	Pass
IP BR	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Blind Rehab Region Level)	36	36	0	Pass
IP SCID	Bed Shortage / Surplus (VISN level)	28	32	4	Pass

Outpatient Services Provided by VA and Community Providers:

OP PC, OP MH, OP ED/UC, outpatient surgery, outpatient surgical specialties, outpatient medical specialties, and outpatient rehabilitation are measured at the market level. To pass, a market's HPIDN capacity must meet or exceed projected Veteran demand. Demand for outpatient services is measured in Global Relative Value Units (GRVU) for the VA and Relative Value Units (RVU) for the community, translated into the number of clinicians required.

Note: Shortage and surplus values in Table 5 are rounded to the nearest whole number.

TABLE 5 – VISN 20 ALASKA: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR OUTPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED BY VA AND COMMUNITY PROVIDERS

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Medical: Allergy and Immunology (Service)	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Cardiology (Service)	1.6	3.1	1.0	4.1	2.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Critical Care / Pulmonary Disease (Service)	1.0	5.7	0.5	6.2	5.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Dermatology (Service)	1.4	0.8	1.1	1.9	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Emergency Medicine (Service)	3.3	2.5	6.7	9.2	6.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Endocrinology (Service)	0.4	2.9	0.2	3.2	3.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Gastroenterology (Service)	1.6	2.3	0.6	2.9	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Hematology-Oncology (Service)	1.9	2.6	0.9	3.5	2.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Infectious Diseases (Service)	0.1	1.1	0.2	1.3	1.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Medical: Nephrology (Service)	0.7	2.4	0.3	2.8	2.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Neurology (Service)	2.1	4.1	0.8	4.9	3.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Optometry (Service)	2.9	4.7	3.0	7.7	5.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Pain Medicine (Service)	0.3	1.8	0.5	2.3	2.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation (Service)	3.3	17.9	0.5	18.4	15.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Rheumatology (Service)	0.2	2.3	0.2	2.4	2.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Neurological Surgery (Service)	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Obstetrics & Gynecology (Service)	0.3	0.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Ophthalmology (Service)	3.7	2.9	0.8	3.7	0.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Surgical: Orthopaedic Surgery (Service)	2.9	1.0	2.1	3.1	0.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Otolaryngology (Service)	1.0	1.9	0.9	2.9	2.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Plastic Surgery (Service)	0.5	1.6	0.2	1.8	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Podiatry (Service)	2.7	3.9	0.7	4.7	2.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Surgery (Service)	2.3	5.3	6.4	11.7	9.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Thoracic Surgery (Service)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Urology (Service)	1.4	1.2	0.8	2.0	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Vascular Surgery (Service)	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	Pass
Dental	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	Pass
MH	54.3	74.7	11.2	86.0	32.0	Pass
PC	35.3	84.3	36.5	120.8	85.0	Pass

Quality: Consistent

The Quality domain consists of four sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-2** are measured by ensuring the future state HPIDN maintains or improves access and provides sufficient capacity to meet projected Veteran demand leveraging only high-quality providers. To pass, a recommendation must pass the Demand (sub-criteria 1-2) and Access (sub-criteria 1-5) evaluations, indicating that access and capacity criteria are met via high-quality providers.
- **Sub-criterion 3** measures the recommendation's ability to promote recruitment of top clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:
  - Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
  - Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)
- **Sub-criterion 4** requires that VISN 20 Alaska incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Alaska passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Quality criterion.

Quality Sub-criteria 1-2

For sub-criteria 1-2, the measures by service line are shown in the table below. The rationales for the quality criteria are outlined in the Section 203 methodology documentation.

TABLE 6 – VISN 20 ALASKA: QUALITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT SERVICES

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
IP Med	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating, Joint Commission (TJC) accreditation, and readmission rates less than 20% for two out of three years (2017-2019)? (Yes/No)	Pass

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
IP Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating, TJC accreditation, and readmission rates less than 20% for two out of three years (2017-2019)? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP MH	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals with psychiatric beds which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating and TJC accreditation, as well as freestanding psychiatric facilities which achieve TJC accreditation? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP CLC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA CLCs, VCCP skilled nursing facilities (SNF), and potential future VCCP SNFs which achieve a Nursing Home Compare 3-star-plus overall rating or 2-star-plus overall rating with 4-star-plus quality rating? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP RRTP	VISN	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA RRTPs? (Yes/No)	Pass

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
IP Blind Rehab	VISN / Blind Rehabilitation Region	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA Blind Rehab Centers? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP SCI/D	VISN	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA SCI/D Centers? (Yes/No)	Pass
PC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA PC providers, VCCP PC Providers, and potential future VCCP PC providers who participate in the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services' Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS)*? (Yes/No)	Pass
MH	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA MH providers, VCCP MH Providers, and potential future VCCP MH providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
ED/UC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA, VCCP ED/UCs, and potential future VCCP ED/UC providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
OP Spec Med	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Rehab	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass

*Note: MIPS participation only applied when a specialty has significant participation (1,000 or more providers). Nurse Practitioners/Physician Assistants included regardless of MIPS participation.

Quality Sub-criterion 3:

This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation supports recruitment of clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass, the recommendation must meet one of the following tests:

- Maintain or create partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other high-quality community providers when available
- Modernize facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment.

TABLE 7 – VISN 20 ALASKA: SUB-CRITERION 3 RESULTS

Maintains or Creates Partnerships with Academic Affiliates and or Other Community Providers When Available	Modernizes Facilities to Include State-of-the-art Equipment and Clinical Space to Attract Providers and Support Staff	Pass / Fail
Yes	Yes	Pass

Mission: Consistent

The Mission domain consists of five sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-4:** These sub-criteria measure the recommendation's ability to maintain or enhance VA's second, third, and fourth statutory missions.
- **Sub-criterion 5:** This sub-criterion requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Alaska incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Education (sub-criteria 1 and 2): A qualitative evaluation was used to determine if the VA recommendation maintained or enhanced VA's ability to execute its education mission. The analysis was performed at a VISN level, which allows for VA to make the adjustments necessary to maximize Health Professions Education (HPE) over the long-term while also requiring VA to maintain an HPE presence in every region it currently serves. VA determined that facilities with a related recommendation that transitioned an inpatient acute care service (inpatient medicine, surgery, mental health) to a non-VA delivered arrangement or deviated significantly from the current state introduced risk to the HPE mission in the VISN and should be offset by training growth in other areas of the VISN. All other facilities with a recommendation that maintained, replaced, or opened new inpatient acute care services in the same geographic area were deemed to maintain or enhance the HPE mission. VA determined that the recommendation for VISN 20 maintained or enhanced VA's ability to execute the training mission in the VISN.

Research (sub-criteria 1 and 3): For each category of research existing in the current state, a qualitative analysis was used to determine if the VA Recommendations maintained or enhanced each of those programs.

TABLE 8 – VISN 20 ALASKA: RESEARCH RESULTS

Facility	Total VA Funding	Future State	Pass / Fail
(V20) (463) Anchorage	$0.00	Maintained	Pass

Emergency Preparedness (sub-criteria 1 and 4): Given potential shifts in future Department of Defense (DoD) Military Treatment Facility capabilities and the need for flexibility in emergency response efforts, VA determined that it is critical to ensure that VAMCs designated as Primary Receiving Centers (PRC) continue to exist in markets where they currently exist. VA will seek guidance from DoD to operationalize any strategy impacting PRC designation (e.g., establishing new PRC designations, transferring designations).

TABLE 9 – VISN 20 ALASKA: EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS RESULTS

PRC Designated VAMC (Current State)	PRC Designated VAMC (Future State)	Pass / Fail
No PRC VAMC in Market	No PRC VAMC in Market	Pass

Cost-effectiveness: Consistent

The Cost-effectiveness domain consists of three sub-criteria.

Sub-criteria 1-3: These sub-criteria measure the cost-effectiveness of the future state per the VA Recommendation. This measure leverages the Cost Benefit Analysis which established three courses of action to compare cost and benefits (Status Quo, Modernization, and VA Recommendation). To pass, the VA Recommendation Cost Benefit Index (CBI) must be lower than the Status Quo CBI. Results are shown in the table below. Definitions for each course of action can be found in the Cost Benefit Analysis methodology documentation.

TABLE 10 – VISN 20 ALASKA: FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP RESULTS COMPARING THE VA RECOMMENDATION TO STATUS QUO

Status Quo CBI	VA Recommendation CBI	VA Recommendation is Cost Effective
1.09	0.88	Pass

Sustainability: Consistent

The Sustainability domain consists of four sub-criteria

- **Sub-criterion 1:** This sub-criterion measures the long-term viability of each facility in the future state per the VA Recommendation. To pass, each facility must meet or exceed at least one of the demand-based benchmarks signaling long-term viability or be deemed essential via the Access or Demand domain analysis. In Access, a facility is deemed essential if one or more of its services in its corresponding service area is not fully covered by another VA or community provider offering the same service. In Demand, a facility is deemed essential if removing capacity would result in the inability to meet future Veteran demand.
- **Sub-criterion 2:** This sub-criterion measures the financial stewardship of the future state per the VA Recommendation. This measure leverages the Cost Benefit Analysis which established three courses of action to compare cost and benefits (Status Quo, Modernization, and VA Recommendation). To pass this sub-criterion, the total cost (present value) of the VA Recommendation should not meet or exceed the total cost (present value) of Modernization. In instances where this is the case, the VA Recommendation CBI must be less than the Modernization CBI. Results are shown in the table below. Definitions for each course of action can be found in the Cost Benefit Analysis methodology documentation.
- **Sub-criterion 3:** This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation promotes recruitment of top clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:
  - Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
  - Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)

- **Sub-criterion 4:** This sub-criterion requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Alaska incorporate at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

TABLE 11 – VISN 20 ALASKA: DEMAND-BASED LONG-TERM VIABILITY RESULTS

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
CLC	(V20) (436XX) Anchorage CLC [Relocated Data]	IP CLC	Service at this Facility Deemed Essential Due to Demand Criteria	NA	Pass
RRTP	(V20) (436XX) Anchorage RRTP	IP RRTP	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 17 Total RRTP ADC in Market	19.4	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (463GA) Fairbanks	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	4,909.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (463GB) Kenai	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 1,200 Core Uniques	1,656.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (463GC) Mat-Su	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	6,809.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (463GE) Juneau	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,400 Total Encounters	5,469.0	Pass

Note: New facilities which serve as replacements of existing facilities inherit the original locations' demand performance values. These are identified in the table with a tag of '[Relocated Data]'. OOS facilities, partnerships, and facilities with planned activation dates after 8/1/2020 are excluded from the results table.

Sustainability Sub-criterion 2: This sub-criterion measures the financial stewardship of the future state per the VA Recommendation. To pass, the total cost (present value) of the VA Recommendation must not meet or exceed the total cost (present value) of Modernization. In instances where this is the case, the VA Recommendation CBI must be less than the Modernization CBI. Results are shown in the table below.

TABLE 12 – VISN 20 ALASKA: FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP RESULTS COMPARING THE VA RECOMMENDATION TO MODERNIZATION

Total Cost of Modernization (Present Value)	Total Cost of VA Recommendation (Present Value)	Modernization CBI	VA Recommendation CBI	Total Cost of VA Recommendation Less Than Modernization	VA Recommendation CBI is Lower than Modernization CBI	Pass / Fail
$11,239M	$11,429M	1.02	0.88	No	Yes	Pass

Sustainability Sub-criterion 3: This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation supports recruitment of clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:

- o Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
- o Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)

TABLE 13 – VISN 20 ALASKA: SUB-CRITERION 3 RESULTS

Maintains or Creates Partnerships with Academic Affiliates and or Other Community Providers When Available	Modernizes Facilities to Include State-of-the-art Equipment and Clinical Space to Attract Providers and Support Staff	Pass / Fail
Yes	Yes	Pass

Global Trends: Consistent

Five of the six domains require the recommendation to incorporate trends in the evolution of U.S. health care. Due to the unique needs and circumstances of each market, it may not be relevant or possible for a market to incorporate a trend from each domain. As a result, the Section 203 evaluation requires a recommendation to incorporate one of the trends from the five domains. The sub-criteria from each domain requiring trends are listed below:

- Access: Sub-criterion 6
- Demand: Sub-criterion 3
- Quality: Sub-criterion 4

- Mission: Sub-criterion 5
- Sustainability: Sub-criterion 4

To pass, a recommendation must incorporate at least one trend from the Global Trends. Results for this market are shown in the table below:

TABLE 14 – VISN 20 ALASKA: INCORPORATION OF GLOBAL TRENDS

Trend	Recommendation Incorporated Trend (Yes/No)	Pass / Fail
Leverages telehealth to expand access in rural areas (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Leverages telehealth to expand capacity in rural areas (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Enables adoption of latest medical technology through facility modernization (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Establishes Small House Model CLC(s) to evolve CLC capacity, patient experience, and quality (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Establishes Small House Model CLC(s) to improve patient experience, and quality (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Increases use of Small House Model (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Considers performance in nationally recognized quality reporting programs (Nursing Home Compare, Hospital Compare, Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting (IPFQR) Program) (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Considers patient centricity: Leverages Veteran residential location used to optimize future state facility locations (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass

Market VISN 20 South Cascades

VA's recommendation for the VISN 20 South Cascades is consistent with all Section 203 criteria. Results for each domain are displayed in the subsequent sections.

Access: Consistent

The access domain consists of six sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-5** are measured by comparing current and future state access to care in the high performing integrated delivery network (HPIDN) for all service lines.
  Note: The HPIDN includes VA and community providers. Community providers include providers currently in the Veteran Community Care Program and potential future Veteran Community Care Program providers meeting quality criteria. Please reference the Section 203 Criteria Methodology to view the quality criteria.
- **Sub-criterion 6** requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 South Cascades incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 South Cascades passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Access criterion.

For sub-criteria 1-5, results are generated by service line at various levels of aggregation. The following services are measured by comparing the percentage of enrollees within VA drive time standards to HPIDN providers in the current and future state by service line.

- Inpatient: Inpatient medicine/surgery (IP Med/Surg), inpatient mental health (IP MH), and inpatient community living centers (IP CLC)
- Outpatient: Outpatient primary care (OP PC), outpatient mental health (OP MH), outpatient emergency department / urgent care (OP ED/UC), outpatient surgery, outpatient surgical specialties, outpatient medical specialties, and outpatient rehabilitation

To pass, a market must maintain or improve the percentage of enrollees within VA drive time standards in the future state as shown below:

TABLE 1 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: ACCESS RESULTS FOR IP MED/SURG, IP MH, IP CLC AND OUTPATIENT PRIMARY AND SPECIALTY CARE

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	All Enrollees	147,190 (99.6%)	147,200 (99.6%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	9,562 (97.5%)	9,562 (97.5%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Women Enrollees	11,871 (99.7%)	11,871 (99.8%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	42,514 (99.6%)	42,518 (99.6%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Minority Enrollees	11,163 (99.8%)	11,164 (99.8%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	65+ Enrollees	77,480 (99.5%)	77,481 (99.5%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Rural Enrollees	63,906 (99.1%)	63,915 (99.2%)	Pass
IP CLC	All Enrollees	131,831 (89.2%)	142,351 (96.4%)	Pass
IP CLC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	7,583 (77.3%)	8,908 (90.8%)	Pass
IP CLC	Women Enrollees	10,788 (90.6%)	11,550 (97.1%)	Pass
IP CLC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	37,631 (88.1%)	40,928 (95.9%)	Pass
IP CLC	Minority Enrollees	10,416 (93.1%)	10,937 (97.8%)	Pass
IP CLC	65+ Enrollees	68,349 (87.8%)	74,461 (95.7%)	Pass
IP CLC	Rural Enrollees	51,178 (79.4%)	59,122 (91.7%)	Pass
IP MH	All Enrollees	147,205 (99.6%)	147,212 (99.6%)	Pass
IP MH	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	9,562 (97.5%)	9,562 (97.5%)	Pass
IP MH	Women Enrollees	11,871 (99.8%)	11,872 (99.8%)	Pass
IP MH	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	42,518 (99.6%)	42,522 (99.6%)	Pass
IP MH	Minority Enrollees	11,165 (99.8%)	11,165 (99.8%)	Pass
IP MH	65+ Enrollees	77,490 (99.6%)	77,491 (99.6%)	Pass
IP MH	Rural Enrollees	63,921 (99.2%)	63,927 (99.2%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	All Enrollees	144,591 (97.9%)	146,019 (98.8%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP ED/UC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	9,007 (91.8%)	9,153 (93.3%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Women Enrollees	11,698 (98.3%)	11,790 (99.1%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	41,803 (97.9%)	42,172 (98.8%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Minority Enrollees	10,979 (98.1%)	11,069 (98.9%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	65+ Enrollees	75,809 (97.4%)	76,775 (98.6%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Rural Enrollees	61,307 (95.1%)	62,734 (97.3%)	Pass
OP MH	All Enrollees	144,352 (97.7%)	144,358 (97.7%)	Pass
OP MH	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	9,016 (91.9%)	9,016 (91.9%)	Pass
OP MH	Women Enrollees	11,675 (98.1%)	11,675 (98.1%)	Pass
OP MH	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	41,584 (97.4%)	41,586 (97.4%)	Pass
OP MH	Minority Enrollees	11,020 (98.5%)	11,020 (98.5%)	Pass
OP MH	65+ Enrollees	75,660 (97.2%)	75,664 (97.2%)	Pass
OP MH	Rural Enrollees	61,098 (94.8%)	61,104 (94.8%)	Pass
OP PC	All Enrollees	145,631 (98.6%)	146,121 (98.9%)	Pass
OP PC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	9,436 (96.2%)	9,544 (97.3%)	Pass
OP PC	Women Enrollees	11,760 (98.8%)	11,793 (99.1%)	Pass
OP PC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	42,044 (98.5%)	42,164 (98.8%)	Pass
OP PC	Minority Enrollees	11,083 (99.1%)	11,106 (99.3%)	Pass
OP PC	65+ Enrollees	76,492 (98.3%)	76,811 (98.7%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP PC	Rural Enrollees	62,352 (96.7%)	62,842 (97.5%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	All Enrollees	147,223 (99.6%)	147,226 (99.7%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	9,531 (97.1%)	9,531 (97.1%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Women Enrollees	11,871 (99.7%)	11,870 (99.7%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	42,516 (99.6%)	42,516 (99.6%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Minority Enrollees	11,163 (99.8%)	11,161 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	65+ Enrollees	77,493 (99.6%)	77,493 (99.6%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Rural Enrollees	63,938 (99.2%)	63,942 (99.2%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	All Enrollees	147,490 (99.8%)	147,490 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	9,631 (98.2%)	9,631 (98.2%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Women Enrollees	11,891 (99.9%)	11,891 (99.9%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	42,612 (99.8%)	42,612 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Minority Enrollees	11,177 (99.9%)	11,177 (99.9%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	65+ Enrollees	77,672 (99.8%)	77,672 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Rural Enrollees	64,206 (99.6%)	64,206 (99.6%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	All Enrollees	147,248 (99.7%)	147,248 (99.7%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP Rehabilitation	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	9,615 (98%)	9,615 (98%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Women Enrollees	11,875 (99.8%)	11,875 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	42,533 (99.6%)	42,533 (99.6%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Minority Enrollees	11,174 (99.9%)	11,174 (99.9%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	65+ Enrollees	77,523 (99.6%)	77,523 (99.6%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Rural Enrollees	63,963 (99.2%)	63,963 (99.2%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	All Enrollees	147,223 (99.6%)	147,233 (99.7%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	9,531 (97.1%)	9,531 (97.1%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Women Enrollees	11,871 (99.7%)	11,871 (99.7%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	42,516 (99.6%)	42,519 (99.6%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Minority Enrollees	11,163 (99.8%)	11,163 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	65+ Enrollees	77,493 (99.6%)	77,498 (99.6%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Rural Enrollees	63,938 (99.2%)	63,948 (99.2%)	Pass

Inpatient blind rehabilitation (IP BR), inpatient residential rehabilitation treatment programs (IP RRTP), and inpatient spinal cord injuries and disorders (IP SCI/D) are regional services and therefore measured at the VISN level. A market passes if its respective VISN continues to offer IP BR, IP RRTP, and IP SCI/D in the future state. If a VISN does not offer one or more of these services in the current state, then it does not need to offer them in the future state to pass. The VISN 20 South Cascades market is part of VISN 20, which has the following results:

TABLE 2 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: ACCESS RESULTS FOR IP BR, IP RRTP, AND IP SCI/D

VISN	Service	Exists in VISN Current State?	Exists in VISN Future State?	Pass / Fail
20	IP BR	TRUE	TRUE	Pass
20	IP RRTP	TRUE	TRUE	Pass
20	IP SCID	TRUE	TRUE	Pass

Demand: Consistent

The Demand domain consists of three sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-2** are measured by comparing the projected Veteran demand with the capacity of the HPIDN. To pass, a market's capacity must meet or exceed projected Veteran demand.
- **Sub-criterion 3** requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 South Cascades incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 South Cascades passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Demand criterion.

For sub-criteria 1-2, results are generated by service line at various levels of aggregation. There are three groups of services: (1) inpatient services provided by VA and community providers, (2) inpatient services primarily provided by VA, and (3) outpatient services provided by VA and community providers. Results for each group are shown in the sections below.

Inpatient Services Provided by VA and Community Providers:

IP MH, IP Med/Surg, and IP CLC are measured at the market level. A market passes if HPIDN capacity meets or exceeds projected Veteran demand. Demand for inpatient services is measured in beds.

TABLE 3 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED BY VA AND COMMUNITY PROVIDERS

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	206	124	1,219	1,343	1,137	Pass

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP MH	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	44	21	31	52	8	Pass
IP CLC	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	456	138	1,993	2,131	1,675	Pass

Inpatient Services Primarily Provided by VA:

IP BR, IP RRTP, and IP SCID, are regional services and therefore measured at the VISN level. It is assumed over the next ten years VA will continue to be the primary provider of these services. A market passes if its VISN's capacity meets or exceeds projected Veteran demand. Demand for inpatient services is measured in beds. VISN 20 South Cascades is part of VISN 20, which has the following results:

TABLE 4 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED PRIMARILY BY VA

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	HPIDN Capacity (includes only VA capacity)	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP RRTP	Bed Shortage / Surplus (VISN level)	254	388	134	Pass
IP BR	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Blind Rehab Region Level)	36	36	0	Pass
IP SCID	Bed Shortage / Surplus (VISN level)	28	32	4	Pass

Outpatient Services Provided by VA and Community Providers:

OP PC, OP MH, OP ED/UC, outpatient surgery, outpatient surgical specialties, outpatient medical specialties, and outpatient rehabilitation are measured at the market level. To pass, a market's HPIDN capacity must meet or exceed projected Veteran demand. Demand for outpatient services is measured

in Global Relative Value Units (GRVU) for the VA and Relative Value Units (RVU) for the community, translated into the number of clinicians required.

Note: Shortage and surplus values in Table 5 are rounded to the nearest whole number.

TABLE 5 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR OUTPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED BY VA AND COMMUNITY PROVIDERS

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Medical: Allergy and Immunology (Service)	0.9	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Cardiology (Service)	8.1	7.3	9.8	17.1	9.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Critical Care / Pulmonary Disease (Service)	7.7	9.7	6.7	16.4	9.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Dermatology (Service)	10.4	5.8	8.3	14.1	4.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Emergency Medicine (Service)	25.6	15.8	31.8	47.6	22.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Endocrinology (Service)	2.3	4.4	2.3	6.8	5.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Gastroenterology (Service)	8.8	6.5	5.6	12.1	3.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Medical: Hematology-Oncology (Service)	7.5	6.6	6.9	13.5	6.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Infectious Diseases (Service)	1.0	3.3	1.8	5.1	4.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Nephrology (Service)	4.7	2.2	2.6	4.7	0.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Neurology (Service)	6.1	4.4	7.4	11.7	6.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Optometry (Service)	29.4	28.3	12.5	40.8	11.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Pain Medicine (Service)	1.3	0.8	2.1	2.9	2.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation (Service)	9.6	8.3	3.4	11.6	2.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Rheumatology (Service)	2.5	4.5	2.0	6.5	4.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Neurological Surgery (Service)	3.1	1.0	2.4	3.5	0.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Surgical: Obstetrics & Gynecology (Service)	1.8	0.5	15.3	15.8	14.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Ophthalmology (Service)	25.2	16.4	8.8	25.2	0.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Orthopaedic Surgery (Service)	8.6	3.2	8.3	11.5	3.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Otolaryngology (Service)	5.0	3.7	4.2	7.8	3.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Plastic Surgery (Service)	1.8	1.1	1.2	2.2	0.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Podiatry (Service)	13.0	12.3	4.2	16.5	4.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Surgery (Service)	9.0	4.7	28.0	32.6	24.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Thoracic Surgery (Service)	0.9	0.5	0.8	1.2	0.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Urology (Service)	8.9	5.1	4.2	9.3	0.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Surgical: Vascular Surgery (Service)	1.3	1.8	1.3	3.1	2.0	Pass
Dental	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.1	2.0	Pass
MH	213.9	170.4	83.0	253.4	40.0	Pass
PC	147.0	128.7	193.5	322.2	175.0	Pass

Quality: Consistent

The Quality domain consists of four sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-2** are measured by ensuring the future state HPIDN maintains or improves access and provides sufficient capacity to meet projected Veteran demand leveraging only high-quality providers. To pass, a recommendation must pass the Demand (sub-criteria 1-2) and Access (sub-criteria 1-5) evaluations, indicating that access and capacity criteria are met via high-quality providers.
- **Sub-criterion 3** measures the recommendation's ability to promote recruitment of top clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:
  - Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
  - Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)
- **Sub-criterion 4** requires that VISN 20 South Cascades incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 South Cascades passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Quality criterion.

Quality Sub-criteria 1-2

For sub-criteria 1-2, the measures by service line are shown in the table below. The rationales for the quality criteria are outlined in the Section 203 methodology documentation.

TABLE 6 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: QUALITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT SERVICES

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
IP Med	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating, Joint Commission (TJC) accreditation, and readmission rates less than 20% for two out of three years (2017-2019)? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating, TJC accreditation, and readmission rates less than 20% for two out of three years (2017-2019)? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP MH	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals with psychiatric beds which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating and TJC accreditation, as well as freestanding psychiatric facilities which achieve TJC accreditation? (Yes/No)	Pass

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
IP CLC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA CLCs, VCCP skilled nursing facilities (SNF), and potential future VCCP SNFs which achieve a Nursing Home Compare 3-star-plus overall rating or 2-star-plus overall rating with 4-star-plus quality rating? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP RRTP	VISN	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA RRTPs? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP Blind Rehab	VISN / Blind Rehabilitation Region	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA Blind Rehab Centers? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP SCI/D	VISN	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA SCI/D Centers? (Yes/No)	Pass
PC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA PC providers, VCCP PC Providers, and potential future VCCP PC providers who participate in the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services' Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS)*? (Yes/No)	Pass
MH	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA MH providers, VCCP MH Providers, and potential future VCCP MH providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
ED/UC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA, VCCP ED/UCs, and potential future VCCP ED/UC providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Med	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Rehab	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass

*Note: MIPS participation only applied when a specialty has significant participation (1,000 or more providers). Nurse Practitioners/Physician Assistants included regardless of MIPS participation.

Quality Sub-criterion 3:

This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation supports recruitment of clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass, the recommendation must meet one of the following tests:

o   Maintain or create partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other high-quality community providers when available
o   Modernize facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment.

TABLE 7 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: SUB-CRITERION 3 RESULTS

Maintains or Creates Partnerships with Academic Affiliates and or Other Community Providers When Available	Modernizes Facilities to Include State-of-the-art Equipment and Clinical Space to Attract Providers and Support Staff	Pass / Fail
Yes	Yes	Pass

Mission: Consistent

The Mission domain consists of five sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-4:** These sub-criteria measure the recommendation's ability to maintain or enhance VA's second, third, and fourth statutory missions.
- **Sub-criterion 5:** This sub-criterion requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 South Cascades incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Education (sub-criteria 1 and 2): A qualitative evaluation was used to determine if the VA recommendation maintained or enhanced VA's ability to execute its education mission. The analysis was performed at a VISN level, which allows for VA to make the adjustments necessary to maximize Health Professions Education (HPE) over the long-term while also requiring VA to maintain an HPE presence in every region it currently serves. VA determined that facilities with a related recommendation that transitioned an inpatient acute care service (inpatient medicine, surgery, mental health) to a non-VA delivered arrangement or deviated significantly from the current state introduced risk to the HPE mission in the VISN and should be offset by training growth in other areas of the VISN. All other facilities with a recommendation that maintained, replaced, or opened new inpatient acute care services in the same geographic area were deemed to maintain or enhance the HPE mission. VA determined that the recommendation for VISN 20 maintained or enhanced VA's ability to execute the training mission in the VISN.

Research (sub-criteria 1 and 3): For each category of research existing in the current state, a qualitative analysis was used to determine if the VA Recommendations maintained or enhanced each of those programs.

TABLE 8 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: RESEARCH RESULTS

Facility	Total VA Funding	Future State	Pass / Fail
(V20) (648) Portland	$22,909,822.00	Research Maintained at Site	Pass

Facility	Total VA Funding	Future State	Pass / Fail
(V20) (653) Roseburg	$0.00	Maintained	Pass
(V20) (692) White City	$0.00	Maintained	Pass
(V20) (648A4) Portland-Vancouver	$0.00	Maintained	Pass

Emergency Preparedness (sub-criteria 1 and 4): Given potential shifts in future Department of Defense (DoD) Military Treatment Facility capabilities and the need for flexibility in emergency response efforts, VA determined that it is critical to ensure that VAMCs designated as Primary Receiving Centers (PRC) continue to exist in markets where they currently exist. VA will seek guidance from DoD to operationalize any strategy impacting PRC designation (e.g., establishing new PRC designations, transferring designations).

TABLE 9 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS RESULTS

PRC Designated VAMC (Current State)	PRC Designated VAMC (Future State)	Pass / Fail
No PRC VAMC in Market	No PRC VAMC in Market	Pass

Cost-effectiveness: Consistent

The Cost-effectiveness domain consists of three sub-criteria.

Sub-criteria 1-3: These sub-criteria measure the cost-effectiveness of the future state per the VA Recommendation. This measure leverages the Cost Benefit Analysis which established three courses of action to compare cost and benefits (Status Quo, Modernization, and VA Recommendation). To pass, the VA Recommendation Cost Benefit Index (CBI) must be lower than the Status Quo CBI. Results are shown in the table below. Definitions for each course of action can be found in the Cost Benefit Analysis methodology documentation.

TABLE 10 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP RESULTS COMPARING THE VA RECOMMENDATION TO STATUS QUO

Status Quo CBI	VA Recommendation CBI	VA Recommendation is Cost Effective
5.89	3.45	Pass

Sustainability: Consistent

The Sustainability domain consists of four sub-criteria

- **Sub-criterion 1:** This sub-criterion measures the long-term viability of each facility in the future state per the VA Recommendation. To pass, each facility must meet or exceed at least one of the demand-based benchmarks signaling long-term viability or be deemed essential via the Access or Demand domain analysis. In Access, a facility is deemed essential if one or more of its services in its corresponding service area is not fully covered by another VA or community provider offering the same service. In Demand, a facility is deemed essential if removing capacity would result in the inability to meet future Veteran demand.
- **Sub-criterion 2:** This sub-criterion measures the financial stewardship of the future state per the VA Recommendation. This measure leverages the Cost Benefit Analysis which established three courses of action to compare cost and benefits (Status Quo, Modernization, and VA Recommendation). To pass this sub-criterion, the total cost (present value) of the VA Recommendation should not meet or exceed the total cost (present value) of Modernization. In instances where this is the case, the VA Recommendation CBI must be less than the Modernization CBI. Results are shown in the table below. Definitions for each course of action can be found in the Cost Benefit Analysis methodology documentation.
- **Sub-criterion 3:** This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation promotes recruitment of top clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:
  - Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
  - Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)
- **Sub-criterion 4:** This sub-criterion requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 South Cascades incorporate at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

TABLE 11 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: DEMAND-BASED LONG-TERM VIABILITY RESULTS

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
VAMC	(V20) (648) Portland	IP Med	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 35,000 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	70,614.0	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (648) Portland	IP MH	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 35,000 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	70,614.0	Pass

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
VAMC	(V20) (648) Portland	IP Surg	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 35,000 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	70,614.0	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (653) Roseburg	IP CLC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 14 Average Daily Census	44.3	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (653) Roseburg	IP MH	Service at this Facility Deemed Essential Due to Access Criteria	NA	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (692) White City	IP RRTP	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 34 Total RRTP ADC in Market	81.8	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (648A4) Portland-Vancouver	IP CLC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 21,000 Overlapping Enrollees in an Urban area, 60	63,861.0	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (648A4) Portland-Vancouver	IP RRTP	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 34 Total RRTP ADC in Market	81.8	Pass
HCC	(V20) (653BY) Eugene [Relocated Data]	HCC	Service at this Facility Deemed Essential Due to Access Criteria	NA	Pass

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
MS CBOC	(V20) (648GA) Bend	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 4,300 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	9,217.0	Pass
MS CBOC	(V20) (648GB) Salem	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 4,300 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	41,776.0	Pass
MS CBOC	(V20) (648GE) Fairview	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 4,300 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	61,834.0	Pass
MS CBOC	(V20) (648GF) Hillsboro	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 4,300 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	65,558.0	Pass
MS CBOC	(V20) (648GG) West Linn [replacement]	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 4,300 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	69,998.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (648GD) North Coast	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 1,200 Core Uniques	1,726.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (648GH) Newport	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 1,200 Core Uniques	1,452.0	Pass

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
CBOC	(V20) (648GI) Portland 1st Avenue	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	43,052.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (648GJ) The Dalles	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 1,200 Core Uniques	2,027.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (653GA) North Bend	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	2,557.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (653GB) Brookings	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 1,200 Core Uniques	1,628.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (692GA) Klamath Falls	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	3,252.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (692GB) Grants Pass	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	5,500.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (XXX) Longview	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	4,640.0	Pass

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
CBOC	(V20) (XXX) Albany	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	9,482.0	Pass

Note: New facilities which serve as replacements of existing facilities inherit the original locations' demand performance values. These are identified in the table with a tag of '[Relocated Data]'. OOS facilities, partnerships, and facilities with planned activation dates after 8/1/2020 are excluded from the results table.

Sustainability Sub-criterion 2: This sub-criterion measures the financial stewardship of the future state per the VA Recommendation. To pass, the total cost (present value) of the VA Recommendation must not meet or exceed the total cost (present value) of Modernization. In instances where this is the case, the VA Recommendation CBI must be less than the Modernization CBI. Results are shown in the table below.

TABLE 12 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP RESULTS COMPARING THE VA RECOMMENDATION TO MODERNIZATION

Total Cost of Modernization (Present Value)	Total Cost of VA Recommendation (Present Value)	Modernization CBI	VA Recommendation CBI	Total Cost of VA Recommendation Less Than Modernization	VA Recommendation CBI is Lower than Modernization CBI	Pass / Fail
$41,607M	$41,439M	4.16	3.45	Yes	Yes	Pass

Sustainability Sub-criterion 3: This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation supports recruitment of clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:

- o Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
- o Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)

TABLE 13 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: SUB-CRITERION 3 RESULTS

Maintains or Creates Partnerships with Academic Affiliates and or Other Community Providers When Available	Modernizes Facilities to Include State-of-the-art Equipment and Clinical Space to Attract Providers and Support Staff	Pass / Fail
Yes	Yes	Pass

Global Trends: Consistent

Five of the six domains require the recommendation to incorporate trends in the evolution of U.S. health care. Due to the unique needs and circumstances of each market, it may not be relevant or possible for a market to incorporate a trend from each domain. As a result, the Section 203 evaluation requires a recommendation to incorporate one of the trends from the five domains. The sub-criteria from each domain requiring trends are listed below:

- Access: Sub-criterion 6
- Demand: Sub-criterion 3
- Quality: Sub-criterion 4
- Mission: Sub-criterion 5
- Sustainability: Sub-criterion 4

To pass, a recommendation must incorporate at least one trend from the Global Trends. Results for this market are shown in the table below:

TABLE 14 – VISN 20 SOUTH CASCADES: INCORPORATION OF GLOBAL TRENDS

Trend	Recommendation Incorporated Trend (Yes/No)	Pass / Fail
Leverages telehealth to expand access in rural areas (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Leverages telehealth to expand capacity in rural areas (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Enables adoption of latest medical technology through facility modernization (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Considers performance in nationally recognized quality reporting programs (Nursing Home Compare, Hospital Compare, Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting (IPFQR) Program) (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass

Trend	Recommendation Incorporated Trend (Yes/No)	Pass / Fail
Considers patient centricity: Leverages Veteran residential location used to optimize future state facility locations (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass

Market VISN 20 Inland South Idaho

VA's recommendation for the VISN 20 Inland South Idaho is consistent with all Section 203 criteria. Results for each domain are displayed in the subsequent sections.

Access: Consistent

The access domain consists of six sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-5** are measured by comparing current and future state access to care in the high performing integrated delivery network (HPIDN) for all service lines.
  Note: The HPIDN includes VA and community providers. Community providers include providers currently in the Veteran Community Care Program and potential future Veteran Community Care Program providers meeting quality criteria. Please reference the Section 203 Criteria Methodology to view the quality criteria.
- **Sub-criterion 6** requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Inland South Idaho incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Inland South Idaho passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Access criterion.

For sub-criteria 1-5, results are generated by service line at various levels of aggregation. The following services are measured by comparing the percentage of enrollees within VA drive time standards to HPIDN providers in the current and future state by service line.

- Inpatient: Inpatient medicine/surgery (IP Med/Surg), inpatient mental health (IP MH), and inpatient community living centers (IP CLC)
- Outpatient: Outpatient primary care (OP PC), outpatient mental health (OP MH), outpatient emergency department / urgent care (OP ED/UC), outpatient surgery, outpatient surgical specialties, outpatient medical specialties, and outpatient rehabilitation

To pass, a market must maintain or improve the percentage of enrollees within VA drive time standards in the future state as shown below:

TABLE 1 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: ACCESS RESULTS FOR IP MED/SURG, IP MH, IP CLC AND OUTPATIENT PRIMARY AND SPECIALTY CARE

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	All Enrollees	41,473 (98.6%)	41,473 (98.6%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	7,006 (99.1%)	7,006 (99.1%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Women Enrollees	3,570 (98.8%)	3,570 (98.8%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	10,804 (98.6%)	10,804 (98.6%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Minority Enrollees	2,467 (99.2%)	2,467 (99.2%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	65+ Enrollees	19,803 (97.9%)	19,803 (97.9%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Rural Enrollees	18,037 (96.8%)	18,037 (96.8%)	Pass
IP CLC	All Enrollees	35,720 (84.9%)	39,490 (93.9%)	Pass
IP CLC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	5,596 (79.2%)	6,613 (93.6%)	Pass
IP CLC	Women Enrollees	2,952 (81.7%)	3,396 (94%)	Pass
IP CLC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	8,976 (81.9%)	10,246 (93.5%)	Pass
IP CLC	Minority Enrollees	2,185 (87.8%)	2,394 (96.2%)	Pass
IP CLC	65+ Enrollees	17,103 (84.6%)	18,651 (92.2%)	Pass
IP CLC	Rural Enrollees	12,285 (65.9%)	16,055 (86.1%)	Pass
IP MH	All Enrollees	41,473 (98.6%)	41,473 (98.6%)	Pass
IP MH	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	7,006 (99.1%)	7,006 (99.1%)	Pass
IP MH	Women Enrollees	3,570 (98.8%)	3,570 (98.8%)	Pass
IP MH	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	10,804 (98.6%)	10,804 (98.6%)	Pass
IP MH	Minority Enrollees	2,467 (99.2%)	2,467 (99.2%)	Pass
IP MH	65+ Enrollees	19,803 (97.9%)	19,803 (97.9%)	Pass
IP MH	Rural Enrollees	18,037 (96.8%)	18,037 (96.8%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	All Enrollees	40,024 (95.1%)	40,024 (95.1%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP ED/UC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	6,697 (94.8%)	6,697 (94.8%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Women Enrollees	3,472 (96%)	3,472 (96%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	10,448 (95.4%)	10,448 (95.4%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Minority Enrollees	2,424 (97.4%)	2,424 (97.4%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	65+ Enrollees	18,865 (93.3%)	18,865 (93.3%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Rural Enrollees	16,588 (89%)	16,588 (89%)	Pass
OP MH	All Enrollees	40,073 (95.2%)	40,073 (95.2%)	Pass
OP MH	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	6,825 (96.6%)	6,825 (96.6%)	Pass
OP MH	Women Enrollees	3,481 (96.3%)	3,481 (96.3%)	Pass
OP MH	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	10,459 (95.5%)	10,459 (95.5%)	Pass
OP MH	Minority Enrollees	2,434 (97.8%)	2,434 (97.8%)	Pass
OP MH	65+ Enrollees	18,900 (93.5%)	18,900 (93.5%)	Pass
OP MH	Rural Enrollees	16,638 (89.3%)	16,638 (89.3%)	Pass
OP PC	All Enrollees	41,066 (97.6%)	41,290 (98.1%)	Pass
OP PC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	7,001 (99.1%)	7,002 (99.1%)	Pass
OP PC	Women Enrollees	3,542 (98%)	3,559 (98.5%)	Pass
OP PC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	10,700 (97.7%)	10,748 (98.1%)	Pass
OP PC	Minority Enrollees	2,466 (99.1%)	2,471 (99.3%)	Pass
OP PC	65+ Enrollees	19,563 (96.8%)	19,714 (97.5%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP PC	Rural Enrollees	17,630 (94.6%)	17,855 (95.8%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	All Enrollees	41,116 (97.7%)	41,116 (97.7%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	6,872 (97.2%)	6,872 (97.2%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Women Enrollees	3,553 (98.3%)	3,553 (98.3%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	10,715 (97.8%)	10,715 (97.8%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Minority Enrollees	2,457 (98.7%)	2,457 (98.7%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	65+ Enrollees	19,552 (96.7%)	19,552 (96.7%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Rural Enrollees	17,681 (94.8%)	17,681 (94.8%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	All Enrollees	41,678 (99.1%)	41,678 (99.1%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	7,063 (99.9%)	7,063 (99.9%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Women Enrollees	3,581 (99.1%)	3,581 (99.1%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	10,850 (99.1%)	10,850 (99.1%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Minority Enrollees	2,473 (99.4%)	2,473 (99.4%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	65+ Enrollees	19,945 (98.6%)	19,945 (98.6%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Rural Enrollees	18,242 (97.9%)	18,242 (97.9%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	All Enrollees	41,436 (98.5%)	41,436 (98.5%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP Rehabilitation	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	6,930 (98.1%)	6,930 (98.1%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Women Enrollees	3,581 (99.1%)	3,581 (99.1%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	10,793 (98.5%)	10,793 (98.5%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Minority Enrollees	2,465 (99.1%)	2,465 (99.1%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	65+ Enrollees	19,770 (97.8%)	19,770 (97.8%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Rural Enrollees	18,001 (96.6%)	18,001 (96.6%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	All Enrollees	41,116 (97.7%)	41,116 (97.7%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	6,872 (97.2%)	6,872 (97.2%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Women Enrollees	3,553 (98.3%)	3,553 (98.3%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	10,715 (97.8%)	10,715 (97.8%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Minority Enrollees	2,457 (98.7%)	2,457 (98.7%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	65+ Enrollees	19,552 (96.7%)	19,552 (96.7%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Rural Enrollees	17,681 (94.8%)	17,681 (94.8%)	Pass

Inpatient blind rehabilitation (IP BR), inpatient residential rehabilitation treatment programs (IP RRTP), and inpatient spinal cord injuries and disorders (IP SCI/D) are regional services and therefore measured at the VISN level. A market passes if its respective VISN continues to offer IP BR, IP RRTP, and IP SCI/D in the future state. If a VISN does not offer one or more of these services in the current state, then it does not need to offer them in the future state to pass. The VISN 20 Inland South Idaho market is part of VISN 20, which has the following results:

TABLE 2 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: ACCESS RESULTS FOR IP BR, IP RRTP, AND IP SCI/D

VISN	Service	Exists in VISN Current State?	Exists in VISN Future State?	Pass / Fail
20	IP BR	TRUE	TRUE	Pass
20	IP RRTP	TRUE	TRUE	Pass
20	IP SCID	TRUE	TRUE	Pass

Demand: Consistent

The Demand domain consists of three sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-2** are measured by comparing the projected Veteran demand with the capacity of the HPIDN. To pass, a market's capacity must meet or exceed projected Veteran demand.
- **Sub-criterion 3** requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Inland South Idaho incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Inland South Idaho passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Demand criterion.

For sub-criteria 1-2, results are generated by service line at various levels of aggregation. There are three groups of services: (1) inpatient services provided by VA and community providers, (2) inpatient services primarily provided by VA, and (3) outpatient services provided by VA and community providers. Results for each group are shown in the sections below.

Inpatient Services Provided by VA and Community Providers:

IP MH, IP Med/Surg, and IP CLC are measured at the market level. A market passes if HPIDN capacity meets or exceeds projected Veteran demand. Demand for inpatient services is measured in beds.

TABLE 3 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED BY VA AND COMMUNITY PROVIDERS

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	53	29	463	492	439	Pass

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP MH	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	11	8	66	74	63	Pass
IP CLC	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	84	32	853	885	801	Pass

Inpatient Services Primarily Provided by VA:

IP BR, IP RRTP, and IP SCID, are regional services and therefore measured at the VISN level. It is assumed over the next ten years VA will continue to be the primary provider of these services. A market passes if its VISN's capacity meets or exceeds projected Veteran demand. Demand for inpatient services is measured in beds. VISN 20 Inland South Idaho is part of VISN 20, which has the following results:

TABLE 4 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED PRIMARILY BY VA

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	HPIDN Capacity (includes only VA capacity)	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP RRTP	Bed Shortage / Surplus (VISN level)	254	388	134	Pass
IP BR	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Blind Rehab Region Level)	36	36	0	Pass
IP SCID	Bed Shortage / Surplus (VISN level)	28	32	4	Pass

Outpatient Services Provided by VA and Community Providers:

OP PC, OP MH, OP ED/UC, outpatient surgery, outpatient surgical specialties, outpatient medical specialties, and outpatient rehabilitation are measured at the market level. To pass, a market's HPIDN capacity must meet or exceed projected Veteran demand. Demand for outpatient services is measured

in Global Relative Value Units (GRVU) for the VA and Relative Value Units (RVU) for the community, translated into the number of clinicians required.

Note: Shortage and surplus values in Table 5 are rounded to the nearest whole number.

TABLE 5 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR OUTPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED BY VA AND COMMUNITY PROVIDERS

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Medical: Allergy and Immunology (Service)	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Cardiology (Service)	2.4	2.4	1.6	4.1	2.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Critical Care / Pulmonary Disease (Service)	3.6	3.0	1.7	4.7	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Dermatology (Service)	1.9	0.5	2.0	2.5	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Emergency Medicine (Service)	8.3	6.0	8.3	14.3	6.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Endocrinology (Service)	0.3	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Gastroenterology (Service)	3.8	3.9	0.8	4.8	1.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Medical: Hematology-Oncology (Service)	2.0	2.7	1.0	3.7	2.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Infectious Diseases (Service)	0.2	1.5	0.2	1.7	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Nephrology (Service)	1.0	0.4	0.5	0.9	0.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Neurology (Service)	1.8	1.8	0.9	2.7	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Optometry (Service)	7.5	4.0	3.6	7.6	0.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Pain Medicine (Service)	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.8	0.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation (Service)	3.8	4.0	0.9	5.0	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Rheumatology (Service)	1.1	2.3	0.3	2.6	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Neurological Surgery (Service)	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Surgical: Obstetrics & Gynecology (Service)	0.5	0.3	3.6	3.9	3.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Ophthalmology (Service)	6.8	5.7	1.2	6.8	0.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Orthopaedic Surgery (Service)	2.3	1.5	2.2	3.7	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Otolaryngology (Service)	1.0	0.7	1.1	1.8	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Plastic Surgery (Service)	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Podiatry (Service)	2.5	1.4	1.0	2.4	0.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Surgery (Service)	3.3	3.0	8.3	11.3	8.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Thoracic Surgery (Service)	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Urology (Service)	2.2	1.9	0.9	2.9	1.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Surgical: Vascular Surgery (Service)	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.0	Pass
Dental	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.0	Pass
MH	43.4	45.6	18.0	63.5	20.0	Pass
PC	40.5	40.6	50.0	90.6	50.0	Pass

Quality: Consistent

The Quality domain consists of four sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-2** are measured by ensuring the future state HPIDN maintains or improves access and provides sufficient capacity to meet projected Veteran demand leveraging only high-quality providers. To pass, a recommendation must pass the Demand (sub-criteria 1-2) and Access (sub-criteria 1-5) evaluations, indicating that access and capacity criteria are met via high-quality providers.
- **Sub-criterion 3** measures the recommendation's ability to promote recruitment of top clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:
  - Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
  - Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)
- **Sub-criterion 4** requires that VISN 20 Inland South Idaho incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Inland South Idaho passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Quality criterion.

Quality Sub-criteria 1-2

For sub-criteria 1-2, the measures by service line are shown in the table below. The rationales for the quality criteria are outlined in the Section 203 methodology documentation.

TABLE 6 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: QUALITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT SERVICES

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
IP Med	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating, Joint Commission (TJC) accreditation, and readmission rates less than 20% for two out of three years (2017-2019)? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating, TJC accreditation, and readmission rates less than 20% for two out of three years (2017-2019)? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP MH	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals with psychiatric beds which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating and TJC accreditation, as well as freestanding psychiatric facilities which achieve TJC accreditation? (Yes/No)	Pass

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
IP CLC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA CLCs, VCCP skilled nursing facilities (SNF), and potential future VCCP SNFs which achieve a Nursing Home Compare 3-star-plus overall rating or 2-star-plus overall rating with 4-star-plus quality rating? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP RRTP	VISN	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA RRTPs? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP Blind Rehab	VISN / Blind Rehabilitation Region	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA Blind Rehab Centers? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP SCI/D	VISN	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA SCI/D Centers? (Yes/No)	Pass
PC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA PC providers, VCCP PC Providers, and potential future VCCP PC providers who participate in the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services' Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS)*? (Yes/No)	Pass
MH	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA MH providers, VCCP MH Providers, and potential future VCCP MH providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
ED/UC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA, VCCP ED/UCs, and potential future VCCP ED/UC providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Med	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Rehab	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass

*Note: MIPS participation only applied when a specialty has significant participation (1,000 or more providers). Nurse Practitioners/Physician Assistants included regardless of MIPS participation.

Quality Sub-criterion 3:

This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation supports recruitment of clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass, the recommendation must meet one of the following tests:

    o   Maintain or create partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other high-quality community providers when available

    o   Modernize facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment.

TABLE 7 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: SUB-CRITERION 3 RESULTS

Maintains or Creates Partnerships with Academic Affiliates and or Other Community Providers When Available	Modernizes Facilities to Include State-of-the-art Equipment and Clinical Space to Attract Providers and Support Staff	Pass / Fail
Yes	Yes	Pass

Mission: Consistent

The Mission domain consists of five sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-4:** These sub-criteria measure the recommendation's ability to maintain or enhance VA's second, third, and fourth statutory missions.
- **Sub-criterion 5:** This sub-criterion requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Inland South Idaho incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Education (sub-criteria 1 and 2): A qualitative evaluation was used to determine if the VA recommendation maintained or enhanced VA's ability to execute its education mission. The analysis was performed at a VISN level, which allows for VA to make the adjustments necessary to maximize Health Professions Education (HPE) over the long-term while also requiring VA to maintain an HPE presence in every region it currently serves. VA determined that facilities with a related recommendation that transitioned an inpatient acute care service (inpatient medicine, surgery, mental health) to a non-VA delivered arrangement or deviated significantly from the current state introduced risk to the HPE mission in the VISN and should be offset by training growth in other areas of the VISN. All other facilities with a recommendation that maintained, replaced, or opened new inpatient acute care services in the same geographic area were deemed to maintain or enhance the HPE mission. VA determined that the recommendation for VISN 20 maintained or enhanced VA's ability to execute the training mission in the VISN.

Research (sub-criteria 1 and 3): For each category of research existing in the current state, a qualitative analysis was used to determine if the VA Recommendations maintained or enhanced each of those programs.

TABLE 8 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: RESEARCH RESULTS

Facility	Total VA Funding	Future State	Pass / Fail
(V20) (531) Boise	$255,271.00	Research Maintained at Site	Pass

Emergency Preparedness (sub-criteria 1 and 4): Given potential shifts in future Department of Defense (DoD) Military Treatment Facility capabilities and the need for flexibility in emergency response efforts, VA determined that it is critical to ensure that VAMCs designated as Primary Receiving Centers (PRC) continue to exist in markets where they currently exist. VA will seek guidance from DoD to operationalize any strategy impacting PRC designation (e.g., establishing new PRC designations, transferring designations).

TABLE 9 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS RESULTS

PRC Designated VAMC (Current State)	PRC Designated VAMC (Future State)	Pass / Fail
No PRC VAMC in Market	No PRC VAMC in Market	Pass

Cost-effectiveness: Consistent

The Cost-effectiveness domain consists of three sub-criteria.

Sub-criteria 1-3: These sub-criteria measure the cost-effectiveness of the future state per the VA Recommendation. This measure leverages the Cost Benefit Analysis which established three courses of action to compare cost and benefits (Status Quo, Modernization, and VA Recommendation). To pass, the VA Recommendation Cost Benefit Index (CBI) must be lower than the Status Quo CBI. Results are shown in the table below. Definitions for each course of action can be found in the Cost Benefit Analysis methodology documentation.

TABLE 10 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP RESULTS COMPARING THE VA RECOMMENDATION TO STATUS QUO

Status Quo CBI	VA Recommendation CBI	VA Recommendation is Cost Effective
1.24	0.86	Pass

Sustainability: Consistent

The Sustainability domain consists of four sub-criteria

- **Sub-criterion 1:** This sub-criterion measures the long-term viability of each facility in the future state per the VA Recommendation. To pass, each facility must meet or exceed at least one of the demand-based benchmarks signaling long-term viability or be deemed essential via the Access or Demand domain analysis. In Access, a facility is deemed essential if one or more of its services in its corresponding service area is not fully covered by another VA or community provider offering the same service. In Demand, a facility is deemed essential if removing capacity would result in the inability to meet future Veteran demand.

- **Sub-criterion 2:** This sub-criterion measures the financial stewardship of the future state per the VA Recommendation. This measure leverages the Cost Benefit Analysis which established three courses of action to compare cost and benefits (Status Quo, Modernization, and VA Recommendation). To pass this sub-criterion, the total cost (present value) of the VA Recommendation should not meet or exceed the total cost (present value) of Modernization. In instances where this is the case, the VA Recommendation CBI must be less than the Modernization CBI. Results are shown in the table below. Definitions for each course of action can be found in the Cost Benefit Analysis methodology documentation.
- **Sub-criterion 3:** This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation promotes recruitment of top clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:
  - Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
  - Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)
- **Sub-criterion 4:** This sub-criterion requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Inland South Idaho incorporate at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

TABLE 11 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: DEMAND-BASED LONG-TERM VIABILITY RESULTS

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
VAMC	(V20) (531) Boise	IP CLC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 21,000 Overlapping Enrollees in an Urban area, 60	28,623.0	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (531) Boise	IP MH	Service at this Facility Deemed Essential Due to Access Criteria	NA	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (531) Boise	IP RRTP	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 17 Total RRTP ADC in Market	21.3	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (531) Boise	IP Surg	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 1,600 Total Cases	2,087.0	Pass

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
MS CBOC	(V20) (531GG) Caldwell	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 4,300 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	27,553.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (531GI) Mountain Home	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,400 Total Encounters	5,030.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (531GE) Twin Falls	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	2,802.0	Pass

Note: New facilities which serve as replacements of existing facilities inherit the original locations' demand performance values. These are identified in the table with a tag of '[Relocated Data]'. OOS facilities, partnerships, and facilities with planned activation dates after 8/1/2020 are excluded from the results table.

Sustainability Sub-criterion 2: This sub-criterion measures the financial stewardship of the future state per the VA Recommendation. To pass, the total cost (present value) of the VA Recommendation must not meet or exceed the total cost (present value) of Modernization. In instances where this is the case, the VA Recommendation CBI must be less than the Modernization CBI. Results are shown in the table below.

TABLE 12 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP RESULTS COMPARING THE VA RECOMMENDATION TO MODERNIZATION

Total Cost of Modernization (Present Value)	Total Cost of VA Recommendation (Present Value)	Modernization CBI	VA Recommendation CBI	Total Cost of VA Recommendation Less Than Modernization	VA Recommendation CBI is Lower than Modernization CBI	Pass / Fail
$9,475M	$9,461M	0.95	0.86	Yes	Yes	Pass

Sustainability Sub-criterion 3: This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation supports recruitment of clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:

    o   Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)

    o   Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)

TABLE 13 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: SUB-CRITERION 3 RESULTS

Maintains or Creates Partnerships with Academic Affiliates and or Other Community Providers When Available	Modernizes Facilities to Include State-of-the-art Equipment and Clinical Space to Attract Providers and Support Staff	Pass / Fail
Yes	Yes	Pass

Global Trends: Consistent

Five of the six domains require the recommendation to incorporate trends in the evolution of U.S. health care. Due to the unique needs and circumstances of each market, it may not be relevant or possible for a market to incorporate a trend from each domain. As a result, the Section 203 evaluation requires a recommendation to incorporate one of the trends from the five domains. The sub-criteria from each domain requiring trends are listed below:

- Access: Sub-criterion 6
- Demand: Sub-criterion 3
- Quality: Sub-criterion 4
- Mission: Sub-criterion 5
- Sustainability: Sub-criterion 4

To pass, a recommendation must incorporate at least one trend from the Global Trends. Results for this market are shown in the table below:

TABLE 14 – VISN 20 INLAND SOUTH IDAHO: INCORPORATION OF GLOBAL TRENDS

Trend	Recommendation Incorporated Trend (Yes/No)	Pass / Fail
Leverages telehealth to expand access in rural areas (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Leverages telehealth to expand capacity in rural areas (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Enables adoption of latest medical technology through facility modernization (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass

Trend	Recommendation Incorporated Trend (Yes/No)	Pass / Fail
Considers performance in nationally recognized quality reporting programs (Nursing Home Compare, Hospital Compare, Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting (IPFQR) Program) (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Considers patient centricity: Leverages Veteran residential location used to optimize future state facility locations (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass

Market VISN 20 Western Washington

VA's recommendation for the VISN 20 Western Washington is consistent with all Section 203 criteria. Results for each domain are displayed in the subsequent sections.

Access: Consistent

The access domain consists of six sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-5** are measured by comparing current and future state access to care in the high performing integrated delivery network (HPIDN) for all service lines.
  Note: The HPIDN includes VA and community providers. Community providers include providers currently in the Veteran Community Care Program and potential future Veteran Community Care Program providers meeting quality criteria. Please reference the Section 203 Criteria Methodology to view the quality criteria.
- **Sub-criterion 6** requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Western Washington incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Western Washington passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Access criterion.

For sub-criteria 1-5, results are generated by service line at various levels of aggregation. The following services are measured by comparing the percentage of enrollees within VA drive time standards to HPIDN providers in the current and future state by service line.

- Inpatient: Inpatient medicine/surgery (IP Med/Surg), inpatient mental health (IP MH), and inpatient community living centers (IP CLC)
- Outpatient: Outpatient primary care (OP PC), outpatient mental health (OP MH), outpatient emergency department / urgent care (OP ED/UC), outpatient surgery, outpatient surgical specialties, outpatient medical specialties, and outpatient rehabilitation

To pass, a market must maintain or improve the percentage of enrollees within VA drive time standards in the future state as shown below:

TABLE 1 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: ACCESS RESULTS FOR IP MED/SURG, IP MH, IP CLC AND OUTPATIENT PRIMARY AND SPECIALTY CARE

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	All Enrollees	158,189 (99.3%)	158,189 (99.3%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	4,578 (98.7%)	4,578 (98.7%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Women Enrollees	17,424 (99.6%)	17,424 (99.6%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	54,884 (99.4%)	54,884 (99.4%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Minority Enrollees	29,495 (99.6%)	29,495 (99.6%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	65+ Enrollees	63,925 (98.9%)	63,925 (98.9%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Rural Enrollees	41,204 (97.6%)	41,204 (97.6%)	Pass
IP CLC	All Enrollees	143,918 (90.4%)	156,181 (98.1%)	Pass
IP CLC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	4,018 (86.6%)	4,186 (90.2%)	Pass
IP CLC	Women Enrollees	15,746 (90%)	17,238 (98.6%)	Pass
IP CLC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	49,317 (89.3%)	54,275 (98.3%)	Pass
IP CLC	Minority Enrollees	28,062 (94.8%)	29,364 (99.2%)	Pass
IP CLC	65+ Enrollees	57,596 (89.1%)	62,805 (97.2%)	Pass
IP CLC	Rural Enrollees	29,567 (70%)	39,221 (92.9%)	Pass
IP MH	All Enrollees	158,280 (99.4%)	158,280 (99.4%)	Pass
IP MH	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	4,578 (98.7%)	4,578 (98.7%)	Pass
IP MH	Women Enrollees	17,430 (99.7%)	17,430 (99.7%)	Pass
IP MH	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	54,900 (99.5%)	54,900 (99.5%)	Pass
IP MH	Minority Enrollees	29,500 (99.7%)	29,500 (99.7%)	Pass
IP MH	65+ Enrollees	63,980 (99%)	63,980 (99%)	Pass
IP MH	Rural Enrollees	41,295 (97.8%)	41,295 (97.8%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	All Enrollees	158,280 (99.4%)	158,280 (99.4%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP ED/UC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	4,438 (95.7%)	4,438 (95.7%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Women Enrollees	17,429 (99.7%)	17,429 (99.7%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	54,901 (99.5%)	54,901 (99.5%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Minority Enrollees	29,507 (99.7%)	29,507 (99.7%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	65+ Enrollees	63,989 (99%)	63,989 (99%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Rural Enrollees	41,248 (97.7%)	41,248 (97.7%)	Pass
OP MH	All Enrollees	156,041 (98%)	156,041 (98%)	Pass
OP MH	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	4,409 (95.1%)	4,409 (95.1%)	Pass
OP MH	Women Enrollees	17,206 (98.4%)	17,206 (98.4%)	Pass
OP MH	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	54,116 (98%)	54,116 (98%)	Pass
OP MH	Minority Enrollees	29,354 (99.2%)	29,354 (99.2%)	Pass
OP MH	65+ Enrollees	62,801 (97.2%)	62,801 (97.2%)	Pass
OP MH	Rural Enrollees	39,052 (92.5%)	39,052 (92.5%)	Pass
OP PC	All Enrollees	158,193 (99.3%)	158,229 (99.3%)	Pass
OP PC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	4,481 (96.6%)	4,481 (96.6%)	Pass
OP PC	Women Enrollees	17,392 (99.4%)	17,392 (99.4%)	Pass
OP PC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	54,873 (99.4%)	54,882 (99.4%)	Pass
OP PC	Minority Enrollees	29,522 (99.7%)	29,522 (99.7%)	Pass
OP PC	65+ Enrollees	63,951 (98.9%)	63,978 (99%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP PC	Rural Enrollees	41,193 (97.6%)	41,228 (97.6%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	All Enrollees	158,652 (99.6%)	158,652 (99.6%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	4,583 (98.8%)	4,583 (98.8%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Women Enrollees	17,452 (99.8%)	17,452 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	55,013 (99.7%)	55,013 (99.7%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Minority Enrollees	29,531 (99.8%)	29,531 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	65+ Enrollees	64,207 (99.3%)	64,207 (99.3%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Rural Enrollees	41,616 (98.6%)	41,616 (98.6%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	All Enrollees	159,136 (99.9%)	159,136 (99.9%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	4,595 (99.1%)	4,595 (99.1%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Women Enrollees	17,481 (99.9%)	17,481 (99.9%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	55,163 (99.9%)	55,163 (99.9%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Minority Enrollees	29,569 (99.9%)	29,569 (99.9%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	65+ Enrollees	64,534 (99.8%)	64,534 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Rural Enrollees	42,086 (99.7%)	42,086 (99.7%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	All Enrollees	159,155 (99.9%)	159,155 (99.9%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP Rehabilitation	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	4,595 (99.1%)	4,595 (99.1%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Women Enrollees	17,484 (100%)	17,484 (100%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	55,166 (99.9%)	55,166 (99.9%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Minority Enrollees	29,570 (99.9%)	29,570 (99.9%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	65+ Enrollees	64,553 (99.9%)	64,553 (99.9%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Rural Enrollees	42,101 (99.7%)	42,101 (99.7%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	All Enrollees	158,652 (99.6%)	158,663 (99.6%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	4,583 (98.8%)	4,583 (98.8%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Women Enrollees	17,452 (99.8%)	17,453 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	55,013 (99.7%)	55,014 (99.7%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Minority Enrollees	29,531 (99.8%)	29,531 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	65+ Enrollees	64,207 (99.3%)	64,217 (99.3%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Rural Enrollees	41,616 (98.6%)	41,627 (98.6%)	Pass

Inpatient blind rehabilitation (IP BR), inpatient residential rehabilitation treatment programs (IP RRTP), and inpatient spinal cord injuries and disorders (IP SCI/D) are regional services and therefore measured at the VISN level. A market passes if its respective VISN continues to offer IP BR, IP RRTP, and IP SCI/D in the future state. If a VISN does not offer one or more of these services in the current state, then it does not need to offer them in the future state to pass. The VISN 20 Western Washington market is part of VISN 20, which has the following results:

TABLE 2 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: ACCESS RESULTS FOR IP BR, IP RRTP, AND IP SCI/D

VISN	Service	Exists in VISN Current State?	Exists in VISN Future State?	Pass / Fail
20	IP BR	TRUE	TRUE	Pass
20	IP RRTP	TRUE	TRUE	Pass
20	IP SCID	TRUE	TRUE	Pass

Demand: Consistent

The Demand domain consists of three sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-2** are measured by comparing the projected Veteran demand with the capacity of the HPIDN. To pass, a market's capacity must meet or exceed projected Veteran demand.
- **Sub-criterion 3** requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Western Washington incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Western Washington passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Demand criterion.

For sub-criteria 1-2, results are generated by service line at various levels of aggregation. There are three groups of services: (1) inpatient services provided by VA and community providers, (2) inpatient services primarily provided by VA, and (3) outpatient services provided by VA and community providers. Results for each group are shown in the sections below.

Inpatient Services Provided by VA and Community Providers:

IP MH, IP Med/Surg, and IP CLC are measured at the market level. A market passes if HPIDN capacity meets or exceeds projected Veteran demand. Demand for inpatient services is measured in beds.

TABLE 3 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED BY VA AND COMMUNITY PROVIDERS

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	142	85	578	663	521	Pass

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP MH	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	32	25	36	61	29	Pass
IP CLC	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	270	143	1,418	1,561	1,291	Pass

Inpatient Services Primarily Provided by VA:

IP BR, IP RRTP, and IP SCID, are regional services and therefore measured at the VISN level. It is assumed over the next ten years VA will continue to be the primary provider of these services. A market passes if its VISN's capacity meets or exceeds projected Veteran demand. Demand for inpatient services is measured in beds. VISN 20 Western Washington is part of VISN 20, which has the following results:

TABLE 4 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED PRIMARILY BY VA

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	HPIDN Capacity (includes only VA capacity)	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP RRTP	Bed Shortage / Surplus (VISN level)	254	388	134	Pass
IP BR	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Blind Rehab Region Level)	36	36	0	Pass
IP SCID	Bed Shortage / Surplus (VISN level)	28	32	4	Pass

Outpatient Services Provided by VA and Community Providers:

OP PC, OP MH, OP ED/UC, outpatient surgery, outpatient surgical specialties, outpatient medical specialties, and outpatient rehabilitation are measured at the market level. To pass, a market's HPIDN capacity must meet or exceed projected Veteran demand. Demand for outpatient services is measured

in Global Relative Value Units (GRVU) for the VA and Relative Value Units (RVU) for the community, translated into the number of clinicians required.

Note: Shortage and surplus values in Table 5 are rounded to the nearest whole number.

TABLE 5 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR OUTPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED BY VA AND COMMUNITY PROVIDERS

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Medical: Allergy and Immunology (Service)	1.8	0.8	1.7	2.5	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Cardiology (Service)	5.4	6.0	11.1	17.1	12.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Critical Care / Pulmonary Disease (Service)	8.6	12.3	8.7	21.0	12.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Dermatology (Service)	7.0	3.8	8.7	12.6	6.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Emergency Medicine (Service)	17.7	10.6	33.7	44.3	27.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Endocrinology (Service)	2.4	5.2	3.3	8.5	6.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Gastroenterology (Service)	7.9	6.7	7.2	13.8	6.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Medical: Hematology-Oncology (Service)	6.8	7.3	10.0	17.3	10.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Infectious Diseases (Service)	0.5	2.3	4.4	6.6	6.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Nephrology (Service)	3.5	3.1	4.3	7.4	4.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Neurology (Service)	6.5	7.2	9.6	16.8	10.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Optometry (Service)	20.0	22.7	12.8	35.5	15.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Pain Medicine (Service)	1.5	2.3	3.0	5.3	4.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation (Service)	17.5	23.5	5.6	29.1	12.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Rheumatology (Service)	3.8	5.9	2.6	8.5	5.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Neurological Surgery (Service)	1.7	0.5	3.0	3.5	2.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Surgical: Obstetrics & Gynecology (Service)	2.2	1.1	15.4	16.4	14.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Ophthalmology (Service)	15.9	9.2	8.1	17.3	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Orthopaedic Surgery (Service)	5.1	2.6	12.2	14.8	10.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Otolaryngology (Service)	3.3	3.1	5.3	8.4	5.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Plastic Surgery (Service)	1.0	1.3	2.1	3.3	2.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Podiatry (Service)	12.5	12.8	6.4	19.3	7.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Surgery (Service)	6.4	6.5	30.6	37.1	31.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Thoracic Surgery (Service)	0.4	0.2	1.1	1.3	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Urology (Service)	5.3	4.6	5.9	10.5	5.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Surgical: Vascular Surgery (Service)	1.1	1.8	2.1	3.8	3.0	Pass
Dental	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	Pass
MH	191.0	161.5	71.6	233.1	42.0	Pass
PC	123.4	127.0	246.9	373.9	250.0	Pass

Quality: Consistent

The Quality domain consists of four sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-2** are measured by ensuring the future state HPIDN maintains or improves access and provides sufficient capacity to meet projected Veteran demand leveraging only high-quality providers. To pass, a recommendation must pass the Demand (sub-criteria 1-2) and Access (sub-criteria 1-5) evaluations, indicating that access and capacity criteria are met via high-quality providers.
- **Sub-criterion 3** measures the recommendation's ability to promote recruitment of top clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:
  - Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
  - Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)
- **Sub-criterion 4** requires that VISN 20 Western Washington incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Western Washington passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Quality criterion.

Quality Sub-criteria 1-2

For sub-criteria 1-2, the measures by service line are shown in the table below. The rationales for the quality criteria are outlined in the Section 203 methodology documentation.

TABLE 6 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: QUALITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT SERVICES

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
IP Med	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating, Joint Commission (TJC) accreditation, and readmission rates less than 20% for two out of three years (2017-2019)? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating, TJC accreditation, and readmission rates less than 20% for two out of three years (2017-2019)? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP MH	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals with psychiatric beds which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating and TJC accreditation, as well as freestanding psychiatric facilities which achieve TJC accreditation? (Yes/No)	Pass

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
IP CLC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA CLCs, VCCP skilled nursing facilities (SNF), and potential future VCCP SNFs which achieve a Nursing Home Compare 3-star-plus overall rating or 2-star-plus overall rating with 4-star-plus quality rating? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP RRTP	VISN	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA RRTPs? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP Blind Rehab	VISN / Blind Rehabilitation Region	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA Blind Rehab Centers? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP SCI/D	VISN	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA SCI/D Centers? (Yes/No)	Pass
PC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA PC providers, VCCP PC Providers, and potential future VCCP PC providers who participate in the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services' Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS)*? (Yes/No)	Pass
MH	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA MH providers, VCCP MH Providers, and potential future VCCP MH providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
ED/UC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA, VCCP ED/UCs, and potential future VCCP ED/UC providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Med	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Rehab	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass

*Note: MIPS participation only applied when a specialty has significant participation (1,000 or more providers). Nurse Practitioners/Physician Assistants included regardless of MIPS participation.

Quality Sub-criterion 3:

This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation supports recruitment of clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass, the recommendation must meet one of the following tests:

o   Maintain or create partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other high-quality community providers when available
o   Modernize facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment.

TABLE 7 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: SUB-CRITERION 3 RESULTS

Maintains or Creates Partnerships with Academic Affiliates and or Other Community Providers When Available	Modernizes Facilities to Include State-of-the-art Equipment and Clinical Space to Attract Providers and Support Staff	Pass / Fail
Yes	Yes	Pass

Mission: Consistent

The Mission domain consists of five sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-4:** These sub-criteria measure the recommendation's ability to maintain or enhance VA's second, third, and fourth statutory missions.
- **Sub-criterion 5:** This sub-criterion requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Western Washington incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Education (sub-criteria 1 and 2): A qualitative evaluation was used to determine if the VA recommendation maintained or enhanced VA's ability to execute its education mission. The analysis was performed at a VISN level, which allows for VA to make the adjustments necessary to maximize Health Professions Education (HPE) over the long-term while also requiring VA to maintain an HPE presence in every region it currently serves. VA determined that facilities with a related recommendation that transitioned an inpatient acute care service (inpatient medicine, surgery, mental health) to a non-VA delivered arrangement or deviated significantly from the current state introduced risk to the HPE mission in the VISN and should be offset by training growth in other areas of the VISN. All other facilities with a recommendation that maintained, replaced, or opened new inpatient acute care services in the same geographic area were deemed to maintain or enhance the HPE mission. VA determined that the recommendation for VISN 20 maintained or enhanced VA's ability to execute the training mission in the VISN.

Research (sub-criteria 1 and 3): For each category of research existing in the current state, a qualitative analysis was used to determine if the VA Recommendations maintained or enhanced each of those programs.

TABLE 8 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: RESEARCH RESULTS

Facility	Total VA Funding	Future State	Pass / Fail
(V20) (663) Seattle	$29,773,226.00	Research Maintained at Site	Pass

Facility	Total VA Funding	Future State	Pass / Fail
(V20) (663A4) American Lake	$0.00	Maintained	Pass

Emergency Preparedness (sub-criteria 1 and 4): Given potential shifts in future Department of Defense (DoD) Military Treatment Facility capabilities and the need for flexibility in emergency response efforts, VA determined that it is critical to ensure that VAMCs designated as Primary Receiving Centers (PRC) continue to exist in markets where they currently exist. VA will seek guidance from DoD to operationalize any strategy impacting PRC designation (e.g., establishing new PRC designations, transferring designations).

TABLE 9 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS RESULTS

PRC Designated VAMC (Current State)	PRC Designated VAMC (Future State)	Pass / Fail
No PRC VAMC in Market	No PRC VAMC in Market	Pass

Cost-effectiveness: Consistent

The Cost-effectiveness domain consists of three sub-criteria.

Sub-criteria 1-3: These sub-criteria measure the cost-effectiveness of the future state per the VA Recommendation. This measure leverages the Cost Benefit Analysis which established three courses of action to compare cost and benefits (Status Quo, Modernization, and VA Recommendation). To pass, the VA Recommendation Cost Benefit Index (CBI) must be lower than the Status Quo CBI. Results are shown in the table below. Definitions for each course of action can be found in the Cost Benefit Analysis methodology documentation.

TABLE 10 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP RESULTS COMPARING THE VA RECOMMENDATION TO STATUS QUO

Status Quo CBI	VA Recommendation CBI	VA Recommendation is Cost Effective
3.73	2.25	Pass

Sustainability: Consistent

The Sustainability domain consists of four sub-criteria

- **Sub-criterion 1:** This sub-criterion measures the long-term viability of each facility in the future state per the VA Recommendation. To pass, each facility must meet or exceed at least one of the demand-based benchmarks signaling long-term viability or be deemed essential via the

Access or Demand domain analysis. In Access, a facility is deemed essential if one or more of its services in its corresponding service area is not fully covered by another VA or community provider offering the same service. In Demand, a facility is deemed essential if removing capacity would result in the inability to meet future Veteran demand.

- **Sub-criterion 2:** This sub-criterion measures the financial stewardship of the future state per the VA Recommendation. This measure leverages the Cost Benefit Analysis which established three courses of action to compare cost and benefits (Status Quo, Modernization, and VA Recommendation). To pass this sub-criterion, the total cost (present value) of the VA Recommendation should not meet or exceed the total cost (present value) of Modernization. In instances where this is the case, the VA Recommendation CBI must be less than the Modernization CBI. Results are shown in the table below. Definitions for each course of action can be found in the Cost Benefit Analysis methodology documentation.
- **Sub-criterion 3:** This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation promotes recruitment of top clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:
  - Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
  - Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)
- **Sub-criterion 4:** This sub-criterion requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Western Washington incorporate at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

TABLE 11 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: DEMAND-BASED LONG-TERM VIABILITY RESULTS

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
VAMC	(V20) (663A4) American Lake	IP CLC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 21,000 Overlapping Enrollees in an Urban area, 60	83,703.0	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (663A4) American Lake	IP RRTP	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 17 Total RRTP ADC in Market	65.8	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (663) Seattle	IP Med	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 35,000 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	79,856.0	Pass

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
VAMC	(V20) (663) Seattle	IP MH	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 35,000 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	79,856.0	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (663) Seattle	IP Surg	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 35,000 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	79,856.0	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (663XX) Everett	IP CLC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 21,000 Overlapping Enrollees in an Urban area, 60	41,823.0	Pass
MS CBOC	(V20) (663GC) Mount Vernon	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 4,300 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	29,569.0	Pass
MS CBOC	(V20) (XXX) South Olympia	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 4,300 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	66,867.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (663GB) Silverdale	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	13,221.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (663GE) North Olympic Peninsula	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	2,856.0	Pass

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
CBOC	(V20) (XXX) North Lake Washington	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	18,939.0	Pass

Note: New facilities which serve as replacements of existing facilities inherit the original locations' demand performance values. These are identified in the table with a tag of '[Relocated Data]'. OOS facilities, partnerships, and facilities with planned activation dates after 8/1/2020 are excluded from the results table.

Sustainability Sub-criterion 2: This sub-criterion measures the financial stewardship of the future state per the VA Recommendation. To pass, the total cost (present value) of the VA Recommendation must not meet or exceed the total cost (present value) of Modernization. In instances where this is the case, the VA Recommendation CBI must be less than the Modernization CBI. Results are shown in the table below.

TABLE 12 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP RESULTS COMPARING THE VA RECOMMENDATION TO MODERNIZATION

Total Cost of Modernization (Present Value)	Total Cost of VA Recommendation (Present Value)	Modernization CBI	VA Recommendation CBI	Total Cost of VA Recommendation Less Than Modernization	VA Recommendation CBI is Lower than Modernization CBI	Pass / Fail
$31,429M	$31,538M	2.86	2.25	No	Yes	Pass

Sustainability Sub-criterion 3: This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation supports recruitment of clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:

- o Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
- o Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)

TABLE 13 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: SUB-CRITERION 3 RESULTS

Maintains or Creates Partnerships with Academic Affiliates and or Other Community Providers When Available	Modernizes Facilities to Include State-of-the-art Equipment and Clinical Space to Attract Providers and Support Staff	Pass / Fail
Yes	Yes	Pass

Global Trends: Consistent

Five of the six domains require the recommendation to incorporate trends in the evolution of U.S. health care. Due to the unique needs and circumstances of each market, it may not be relevant or possible for a market to incorporate a trend from each domain. As a result, the Section 203 evaluation requires a recommendation to incorporate one of the trends from the five domains. The sub-criteria from each domain requiring trends are listed below:

- Access: Sub-criterion 6
- Demand: Sub-criterion 3
- Quality: Sub-criterion 4
- Mission: Sub-criterion 5
- Sustainability: Sub-criterion 4

To pass, a recommendation must incorporate at least one trend from the Global Trends. Results for this market are shown in the table below:

TABLE 14 – VISN 20 WESTERN WASHINGTON: INCORPORATION OF GLOBAL TRENDS

Trend	Recommendation Incorporated Trend (Yes/No)	Pass / Fail
Leverages telehealth to expand access in rural areas (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Leverages telehealth to expand capacity in rural areas (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Enables adoption of latest medical technology through facility modernization (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Supports providing inpatient care in private, single patient rooms (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Establishes Small House Model CLC(s) to evolve CLC capacity, patient experience, and quality (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass

Trend	Recommendation Incorporated Trend (Yes/No)	Pass / Fail
Establishes Small House Model CLC(s) to improve patient experience, and quality (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Increases use of Small House Model (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Considers performance in nationally recognized quality reporting programs (Nursing Home Compare, Hospital Compare, Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting (IPFQR) Program) (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Considers patient centricity: Leverages Veteran residential location used to optimize future state facility locations (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass

Market VISN 20 Inland North

VA's recommendation for the VISN 20 Inland North is consistent with all Section 203 criteria. Results for each domain are displayed in the subsequent sections.

Access: Consistent

The access domain consists of six sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-5** are measured by comparing current and future state access to care in the high performing integrated delivery network (HPIDN) for all service lines.
  Note: The HPIDN includes VA and community providers. Community providers include providers currently in the Veteran Community Care Program and potential future Veteran Community Care Program providers meeting quality criteria. Please reference the Section 203 Criteria Methodology to view the quality criteria.
- **Sub-criterion 6** requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Inland North incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Inland North passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Access criterion.

For sub-criteria 1-5, results are generated by service line at various levels of aggregation. The following services are measured by comparing the percentage of enrollees within VA drive time standards to HPIDN providers in the current and future state by service line.

- Inpatient: Inpatient medicine/surgery (IP Med/Surg), inpatient mental health (IP MH), and inpatient community living centers (IP CLC)
- Outpatient: Outpatient primary care (OP PC), outpatient mental health (OP MH), outpatient emergency department / urgent care (OP ED/UC), outpatient surgery, outpatient surgical specialties, outpatient medical specialties, and outpatient rehabilitation

To pass, a market must maintain or improve the percentage of enrollees within VA drive time standards in the future state as shown below:

TABLE 1 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: ACCESS RESULTS FOR IP MED/SURG, IP MH, IP CLC AND OUTPATIENT PRIMARY AND SPECIALTY CARE

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	All Enrollees	73,157 (99.2%)	73,157 (99.2%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	10,826 (99.3%)	10,826 (99.3%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Women Enrollees	5,664 (99.4%)	5,664 (99.4%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	19,912 (99.1%)	19,912 (99.1%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Minority Enrollees	4,782 (99.3%)	4,782 (99.3%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	65+ Enrollees	39,185 (98.9%)	39,185 (98.9%)	Pass
IP Med/Surg	Rural Enrollees	31,687 (98.1%)	31,687 (98.1%)	Pass
IP CLC	All Enrollees	64,211 (87%)	68,818 (93.3%)	Pass
IP CLC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	9,075 (83.3%)	9,846 (90.3%)	Pass
IP CLC	Women Enrollees	5,097 (89.5%)	5,399 (94.8%)	Pass
IP CLC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	17,596 (87.6%)	18,714 (93.1%)	Pass
IP CLC	Minority Enrollees	4,352 (90.4%)	4,598 (95.5%)	Pass
IP CLC	65+ Enrollees	33,552 (84.7%)	36,413 (91.9%)	Pass
IP CLC	Rural Enrollees	24,741 (76.6%)	27,420 (84.9%)	Pass
IP MH	All Enrollees	73,157 (99.2%)	73,157 (99.2%)	Pass
IP MH	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	10,826 (99.3%)	10,826 (99.3%)	Pass
IP MH	Women Enrollees	5,664 (99.4%)	5,664 (99.4%)	Pass
IP MH	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	19,912 (99.1%)	19,912 (99.1%)	Pass
IP MH	Minority Enrollees	4,782 (99.3%)	4,782 (99.3%)	Pass
IP MH	65+ Enrollees	39,185 (98.9%)	39,185 (98.9%)	Pass
IP MH	Rural Enrollees	31,687 (98.1%)	31,687 (98.1%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	All Enrollees	71,919 (97.5%)	71,949 (97.5%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP ED/UC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	10,489 (96.2%)	10,492 (96.3%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Women Enrollees	5,607 (98.4%)	5,608 (98.5%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	19,562 (97.4%)	19,568 (97.4%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Minority Enrollees	4,718 (98%)	4,720 (98.1%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	65+ Enrollees	38,337 (96.8%)	38,357 (96.8%)	Pass
OP ED/UC	Rural Enrollees	30,449 (94.3%)	30,478 (94.4%)	Pass
OP MH	All Enrollees	69,007 (93.5%)	69,007 (93.5%)	Pass
OP MH	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	9,738 (89.3%)	9,738 (89.3%)	Pass
OP MH	Women Enrollees	5,388 (94.6%)	5,388 (94.6%)	Pass
OP MH	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	18,778 (93.5%)	18,778 (93.5%)	Pass
OP MH	Minority Enrollees	4,549 (94.5%)	4,549 (94.5%)	Pass
OP MH	65+ Enrollees	36,624 (92.5%)	36,624 (92.5%)	Pass
OP MH	Rural Enrollees	27,613 (85.5%)	27,613 (85.5%)	Pass
OP PC	All Enrollees	71,062 (96.3%)	71,197 (96.5%)	Pass
OP PC	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	10,306 (94.6%)	10,316 (94.6%)	Pass
OP PC	Women Enrollees	5,525 (97%)	5,538 (97.2%)	Pass
OP PC	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	19,302 (96.1%)	19,343 (96.3%)	Pass
OP PC	Minority Enrollees	4,674 (97.1%)	4,684 (97.3%)	Pass
OP PC	65+ Enrollees	37,864 (95.6%)	37,955 (95.8%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP PC	Rural Enrollees	29,657 (91.8%)	29,791 (92.2%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	All Enrollees	71,567 (97%)	72,419 (98.2%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	10,212 (93.7%)	10,560 (96.9%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Women Enrollees	5,585 (98.1%)	5,622 (98.7%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	19,458 (96.8%)	19,697 (98%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Minority Enrollees	4,713 (97.9%)	4,746 (98.6%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	65+ Enrollees	38,103 (96.2%)	38,689 (97.7%)	Pass
OP Surgery Capability	Rural Enrollees	30,097 (93.2%)	30,949 (95.8%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	All Enrollees	73,563 (99.7%)	73,564 (99.7%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	10,850 (99.5%)	10,850 (99.5%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Women Enrollees	5,683 (99.8%)	5,683 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	20,027 (99.7%)	20,027 (99.7%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Minority Enrollees	4,806 (99.8%)	4,806 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	65+ Enrollees	39,465 (99.6%)	39,465 (99.6%)	Pass
OP Medical Specialist	Rural Enrollees	32,093 (99.3%)	32,093 (99.3%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	All Enrollees	73,427 (99.5%)	73,427 (99.5%)	Pass

Service	Subpopulation	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Current HPIDN Facilities	Projected Enrollees Within Drive Time Standards of Future HPIDN Facilities	Pass / Fail
OP Rehabilitation	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	10,830 (99.4%)	10,830 (99.4%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Women Enrollees	5,677 (99.7%)	5,677 (99.7%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	19,992 (99.5%)	19,992 (99.5%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Minority Enrollees	4,802 (99.8%)	4,802 (99.8%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	65+ Enrollees	39,372 (99.4%)	39,372 (99.4%)	Pass
OP Rehabilitation	Rural Enrollees	31,957 (98.9%)	31,957 (98.9%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	All Enrollees	71,567 (97%)	72,419 (98.2%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Enrollees Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods	10,212 (93.7%)	10,560 (96.9%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Women Enrollees	5,585 (98.1%)	5,622 (98.7%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	High Service Disability Rating Enrollees	19,458 (96.8%)	19,697 (98%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Minority Enrollees	4,713 (97.9%)	4,746 (98.6%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	65+ Enrollees	38,103 (96.2%)	38,689 (97.7%)	Pass
OP Surgical Specialist	Rural Enrollees	30,097 (93.2%)	30,949 (95.8%)	Pass

Inpatient blind rehabilitation (IP BR), inpatient residential rehabilitation treatment programs (IP RRTP), and inpatient spinal cord injuries and disorders (IP SCI/D) are regional services and therefore measured at the VISN level. A market passes if its respective VISN continues to offer IP BR, IP RRTP, and IP SCI/D in the future state. If a VISN does not offer one or more of these services in the current state, then it does not need to offer them in the future state to pass. The VISN 20 Inland North market is part of VISN 20, which has the following results:

TABLE 2 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: ACCESS RESULTS FOR IP BR, IP RRTP, AND IP SCI/D

VISN	Service	Exists in VISN Current State?	Exists in VISN Future State?	Pass / Fail
20	IP BR	TRUE	TRUE	Pass
20	IP RRTP	TRUE	TRUE	Pass
20	IP SCID	TRUE	TRUE	Pass

Demand: Consistent

The Demand domain consists of three sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-2** are measured by comparing the projected Veteran demand with the capacity of the HPIDN. To pass, a market's capacity must meet or exceed projected Veteran demand.
- **Sub-criterion 3** requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Inland North incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Inland North passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Demand criterion.

For sub-criteria 1-2, results are generated by service line at various levels of aggregation. There are three groups of services: (1) inpatient services provided by VA and community providers, (2) inpatient services primarily provided by VA, and (3) outpatient services provided by VA and community providers. Results for each group are shown in the sections below.

Inpatient Services Provided by VA and Community Providers:

IP MH, IP Med/Surg, and IP CLC are measured at the market level. A market passes if HPIDN capacity meets or exceeds projected Veteran demand. Demand for inpatient services is measured in beds.

TABLE 3 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED BY VA AND COMMUNITY PROVIDERS

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP Med/Surg	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	65	23	743	766	701	Pass

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP MH	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	13	12	43	55	42	Pass
IP CLC	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Market level)	179	44	798	842	663	Pass

Inpatient Services Primarily Provided by VA:

IP BR, IP RRTP, and IP SCID, are regional services and therefore measured at the VISN level. It is assumed over the next ten years VA will continue to be the primary provider of these services. A market passes if its VISN's capacity meets or exceeds projected Veteran demand. Demand for inpatient services is measured in beds. VISN 20 Inland North is part of VISN 20, which has the following results:

TABLE 4 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED PRIMARILY BY VA

Service	Measure	Projected Veteran Demand	HPIDN Capacity (includes only VA capacity)	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
IP RRTP	Bed Shortage / Surplus (VISN level)	254	388	134	Pass
IP BR	Bed Shortage / Surplus (Blind Rehab Region Level)	36	36	0	Pass
IP SCID	Bed Shortage / Surplus (VISN level)	28	32	4	Pass

Outpatient Services Provided by VA and Community Providers:

OP PC, OP MH, OP ED/UC, outpatient surgery, outpatient surgical specialties, outpatient medical specialties, and outpatient rehabilitation are measured at the market level. To pass, a market's HPIDN capacity must meet or exceed projected Veteran demand. Demand for outpatient services is measured

in Global Relative Value Units (GRVU) for the VA and Relative Value Units (RVU) for the community, translated into the number of clinicians required.

Note: Shortage and surplus values in Table 5 are rounded to the nearest whole number.

TABLE 5 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: CAPACITY RESULTS FOR OUTPATIENT SERVICES PROVIDED BY VA AND COMMUNITY PROVIDERS

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Medical: Allergy and Immunology (Service)	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Cardiology (Service)	3.9	3.8	4.5	8.3	4.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Critical Care / Pulmonary Disease (Service)	4.1	4.4	2.2	6.6	3.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Dermatology (Service)	4.2	2.7	2.9	5.6	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Emergency Medicine (Service)	8.3	4.6	14.3	18.9	11.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Endocrinology (Service)	0.5	2.2	0.7	2.9	2.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Gastroenterology (Service)	4.7	3.0	2.2	5.3	1.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Medical: Hematology-Oncology (Service)	3.6	3.2	2.2	5.4	2.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Infectious Diseases (Service)	0.3	2.8	0.5	3.3	3.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Nephrology (Service)	1.1	1.3	1.1	2.3	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Neurology (Service)	3.1	4.0	2.5	6.5	3.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Optometry (Service)	17.2	18.1	7.4	25.5	8.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Pain Medicine (Service)	1.2	1.1	1.1	2.2	1.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation (Service)	6.5	10.2	1.8	12.1	6.0	Pass
Amb Medical: Rheumatology (Service)	1.0	1.3	0.8	2.1	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Neurological Surgery (Service)	1.7	0.7	1.0	1.7	0.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Surgical: Obstetrics & Gynecology (Service)	1.1	1.4	6.2	7.6	6.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Ophthalmology (Service)	11.0	8.4	2.6	11.0	0.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Orthopaedic Surgery (Service)	5.6	1.6	4.8	6.5	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Otolaryngology (Service)	2.1	1.0	2.4	3.5	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Plastic Surgery (Service)	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.9	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Podiatry (Service)	6.2	5.6	2.0	7.6	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Surgery (Service)	5.3	5.7	12.8	18.5	13.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Thoracic Surgery (Service)	0.4	1.0	0.2	1.2	1.0	Pass
Amb Surgical: Urology (Service)	4.4	4.0	2.3	6.2	2.0	Pass

Service	Projected Veteran Demand	VA Capacity	Available Community Capacity	HPIDN Capacity	Shortage / Surplus	Pass / Fail
Amb Surgical: Vascular Surgery (Service)	0.6	1.2	0.7	2.0	1.0	Pass
Dental	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8	1.0	Pass
MH	71.1	82.2	27.0	109.1	38.0	Pass
PC	72.9	84.9	99.6	184.5	112.0	Pass

Quality: Consistent

The Quality domain consists of four sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-2** are measured by ensuring the future state HPIDN maintains or improves access and provides sufficient capacity to meet projected Veteran demand leveraging only high-quality providers. To pass, a recommendation must pass the Demand (sub-criteria 1-2) and Access (sub-criteria 1-5) evaluations, indicating that access and capacity criteria are met via high-quality providers.
- **Sub-criterion 3** measures the recommendation's ability to promote recruitment of top clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:
    - Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
    - Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)
- **Sub-criterion 4** requires that VISN 20 Inland North incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Market VISN 20 Inland North passed all sub-criteria and is consistent with the Section 203 Quality criterion.

Quality Sub-criteria 1-2

For sub-criteria 1-2, the measures by service line are shown in the table below. The rationales for the quality criteria are outlined in the Section 203 methodology documentation.

TABLE 6 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: QUALITY RESULTS FOR INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT SERVICES

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
IP Med	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating, Joint Commission (TJC) accreditation, and readmission rates less than 20% for two out of three years (2017-2019)? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating, TJC accreditation, and readmission rates less than 20% for two out of three years (2017-2019)? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP MH	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA VAMCs, VCCP providers, and potential future VCCP short-term acute and critical access hospitals with psychiatric beds which achieve a 3-star plus Hospital Compare rating and TJC accreditation, as well as freestanding psychiatric facilities which achieve TJC accreditation? (Yes/No)	Pass

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
IP CLC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA CLCs, VCCP skilled nursing facilities (SNF), and potential future VCCP SNFs which achieve a Nursing Home Compare 3-star-plus overall rating or 2-star-plus overall rating with 4-star-plus quality rating? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP RRTP	VISN	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA RRTPs? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP Blind Rehab	VISN / Blind Rehabilitation Region	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA Blind Rehab Centers? (Yes/No)	Pass
IP SCI/D	VISN	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA SCI/D Centers? (Yes/No)	Pass
PC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA PC providers, VCCP PC Providers, and potential future VCCP PC providers who participate in the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services' Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS)*? (Yes/No)	Pass
MH	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA MH providers, VCCP MH Providers, and potential future VCCP MH providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass

Service Line	Analysis Level	Measure	Pass / Fail
ED/UC	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA, VCCP ED/UCs, and potential future VCCP ED/UC providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Med	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Surg	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass
OP Spec Rehab	Market	Meets future Veteran access and demand through VA providers, VCCP Providers, and potential future VCCP providers who participate in MIPS*? (Yes/No)	Pass

*Note: MIPS participation only applied when a specialty has significant participation (1,000 or more providers). Nurse Practitioners/Physician Assistants included regardless of MIPS participation.

Quality Sub-criterion 3:

This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation supports recruitment of clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass, the recommendation must meet one of the following tests:

      o   Maintain or create partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other high-quality community providers when available

      o   Modernize facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment.

TABLE 7 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: SUB-CRITERION 3 RESULTS

Maintains or Creates Partnerships with Academic Affiliates and or Other Community Providers When Available	Modernizes Facilities to Include State-of-the-art Equipment and Clinical Space to Attract Providers and Support Staff	Pass / Fail
Yes	Yes	Pass

Mission: Consistent

The Mission domain consists of five sub-criteria.

- **Sub-criteria 1-4:** These sub-criteria measure the recommendation's ability to maintain or enhance VA's second, third, and fourth statutory missions.
- **Sub-criterion 5:** This sub-criterion requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Inland North incorporates at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

Education (sub-criteria 1 and 2): A qualitative evaluation was used to determine if the VA recommendation maintained or enhanced VA's ability to execute its education mission. The analysis was performed at a VISN level, which allows for VA to make the adjustments necessary to maximize Health Professions Education (HPE) over the long-term while also requiring VA to maintain an HPE presence in every region it currently serves. VA determined that facilities with a related recommendation that transitioned an inpatient acute care service (inpatient medicine, surgery, mental health) to a non-VA delivered arrangement or deviated significantly from the current state introduced risk to the HPE mission in the VISN and should be offset by training growth in other areas of the VISN. All other facilities with a recommendation that maintained, replaced, or opened new inpatient acute care services in the same geographic area were deemed to maintain or enhance the HPE mission. VA determined that the recommendation for VISN 20 maintained or enhanced VA's ability to execute the training mission in the VISN.

Research (sub-criteria 1 and 3): For each category of research existing in the current state, a qualitative analysis was used to determine if the VA Recommendations maintained or enhanced each of those programs.

TABLE 8 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: RESEARCH RESULTS

Facility	Total VA Funding	Future State	Pass / Fail
(V20) (668) Spokane	$0.00	Maintained	Pass

Facility	Total VA Funding	Future State	Pass / Fail
(V20) (687) Walla Walla	$0.00	Maintained	Pass

Emergency Preparedness (sub-criteria 1 and 4): Given potential shifts in future Department of Defense (DoD) Military Treatment Facility capabilities and the need for flexibility in emergency response efforts, VA determined that it is critical to ensure that VAMCs designated as Primary Receiving Centers (PRC) continue to exist in markets where they currently exist. VA will seek guidance from DoD to operationalize any strategy impacting PRC designation (e.g., establishing new PRC designations, transferring designations).

TABLE 9 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS RESULTS

PRC Designated VAMC (Current State)	PRC Designated VAMC (Future State)	Pass / Fail
No PRC VAMC in Market	No PRC VAMC in Market	Pass

Cost-effectiveness: Consistent

The Cost-effectiveness domain consists of three sub-criteria.

Sub-criteria 1-3: These sub-criteria measure the cost-effectiveness of the future state per the VA Recommendation. This measure leverages the Cost Benefit Analysis which established three courses of action to compare cost and benefits (Status Quo, Modernization, and VA Recommendation). To pass, the VA Recommendation Cost Benefit Index (CBI) must be lower than the Status Quo CBI. Results are shown in the table below. Definitions for each course of action can be found in the Cost Benefit Analysis methodology documentation.

TABLE 10 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP RESULTS COMPARING THE VA RECOMMENDATION TO STATUS QUO

Status Quo CBI	VA Recommendation CBI	VA Recommendation is Cost Effective
2.05	1.01	Pass

Sustainability: Consistent

The Sustainability domain consists of four sub-criteria

- **Sub-criterion 1:** This sub-criterion measures the long-term viability of each facility in the future state per the VA Recommendation. To pass, each facility must meet or exceed at least one of the demand-based benchmarks signaling long-term viability or be deemed essential via the

Access or Demand domain analysis. In Access, a facility is deemed essential if one or more of its services in its corresponding service area is not fully covered by another VA or community provider offering the same service. In Demand, a facility is deemed essential if removing capacity would result in the inability to meet future Veteran demand.

- **Sub-criterion 2:** This sub-criterion measures the financial stewardship of the future state per the VA Recommendation. This measure leverages the Cost Benefit Analysis which established three courses of action to compare cost and benefits (Status Quo, Modernization, and VA Recommendation). To pass this sub-criterion, the total cost (present value) of the VA Recommendation should not meet or exceed the total cost (present value) of Modernization. In instances where this is the case, the VA Recommendation CBI must be less than the Modernization CBI. Results are shown in the table below. Definitions for each course of action can be found in the Cost Benefit Analysis methodology documentation.
- **Sub-criterion 3:** This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation promotes recruitment of top clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:
  - Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
  - Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)
- **Sub-criterion 4:** This sub-criterion requires that the recommendation for VISN 20 Inland North incorporate at least one global trend in the evolution of U.S. health care. See the Global Trends section below for the details of this market.

TABLE 11 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: DEMAND-BASED LONG-TERM VIABILITY RESULTS

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
VAMC	(V20) (668) Spokane	IP CLC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 21,000 Overlapping Enrollees in an Urban area, 60	28,982.0	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (668) Spokane	IP MH	Service at this Facility Deemed Essential Due to Access Criteria	NA	Pass
VAMC	(V20) (668) Spokane	IP RRTP	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 17 Total RRTP ADC in Market	27.8	Pass

Class	Facility	IP Service / OP Facility Classification	Measure	Facility Value	Pass / Fail
MS CBOC	(V20) (668GA) Wenatchee	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2 Specialties > 499 Encounters	5.0	Pass
MS CBOC	(V20) (668GB) Coeur d 'Alene	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 4,300 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	29,719.0	Pass
MS CBOC	(V20) (687HA) Yakima	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 4,300 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	5,824.0	Pass
MS CBOC	(V20) (687GA) Richland [replacement]	MS CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 4,300 Overlapping Enrollees, 60	9,123.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (687) Walla Walla	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	2,667.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (687GB) Lewiston	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,500 Overlapping Enrollees, 30	2,587.0	Pass
CBOC	(V20) (687GC) La Grande	CBOC	Facility Meets or Exceeds Target of 2,400 Total Encounters	4,824.0	Pass

Note: New facilities which serve as replacements of existing facilities inherit the original locations' demand performance values. These are identified in the table with a tag of '[Relocated Data]'. OOS facilities, partnerships, and facilities with planned activation dates after 8/1/2020 are excluded from the results table.

Sustainability Sub-criterion 2: This sub-criterion measures the financial stewardship of the future state per the VA Recommendation. To pass, the total cost (present value) of the VA Recommendation must not meet or exceed the total cost (present value) of Modernization. In instances where this is the case, the VA Recommendation CBI must be less than the Modernization CBI. Results are shown in the table below.

TABLE 12 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP RESULTS COMPARING THE VA RECOMMENDATION TO MODERNIZATION

Total Cost of Modernization (Present Value)	Total Cost of VA Recommendation (Present Value)	Modernization CBI	VA Recommendation CBI	Total Cost of VA Recommendation Less Than Modernization	VA Recommendation CBI is Lower than Modernization CBI	Pass / Fail
$14,587M	$14,079M	1.46	1.01	Yes	Yes	Pass

Sustainability Sub-criterion 3: This sub-criterion measures if the recommendation supports recruitment of clinical and non-clinical talent. To pass this sub-criterion, the market recommendation must pass at least one of the sub-criterion's corresponding measures:

- o Maintains or creates partnerships with Academic Affiliates and/or other community providers when available? (Yes/No)
- o Modernizes facilities to include state-of-the-art equipment and clinical space to attract providers and support staff? (Yes/No)

TABLE 13 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: SUB-CRITERION 3 RESULTS

Maintains or Creates Partnerships with Academic Affiliates and or Other Community Providers When Available	Modernizes Facilities to Include State-of-the-art Equipment and Clinical Space to Attract Providers and Support Staff	Pass / Fail
Yes	Yes	Pass

Global Trends: Consistent

Five of the six domains require the recommendation to incorporate trends in the evolution of U.S. health care. Due to the unique needs and circumstances of each market, it may not be relevant or possible for a market to incorporate a trend from each domain. As a result, the Section 203 evaluation requires a recommendation to incorporate one of the trends from the five domains. The sub-criteria from each domain requiring trends are listed below:

- Access: Sub-criterion 6

- Demand: Sub-criterion 3
- Quality: Sub-criterion 4
- Mission: Sub-criterion 5
- Sustainability: Sub-criterion 4

To pass, a recommendation must incorporate at least one trend from the Global Trends. Results for this market are shown in the table below:

TABLE 14 – VISN 20 INLAND NORTH: INCORPORATION OF GLOBAL TRENDS

Trend	Recommendation Incorporated Trend (Yes/No)	Pass / Fail
Forms partnerships/alliances to maximize health care access (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Forms partnerships/alliances to maximize capacity (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Forms partnerships/alliances to improve quality and coordination of care. (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Leverages telehealth to expand access in rural areas (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Leverages telehealth to expand capacity in rural areas (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Enables adoption of latest medical technology through facility modernization (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Considers performance in nationally recognized quality reporting programs (Nursing Home Compare, Hospital Compare, Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting (IPFQR) Program) (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass
Considers patient centricity: Leverages Veteran residential location used to optimize future state facility locations (Yes/No)	Yes	Pass