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The National Center on Homelessness among Veterans (the Center) in the Veterans Health Administration (VHA) established the Homeless Evidence and Research Synthesis (HERS) Roundtable Series in 2015 as a policy forum. The virtual symposium convenes researchers and subject matter experts to discuss research findings on key issues in homelessness. The online webinar is available to interested parties within and outside of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). Topics covered to date include: Enumeration of Homelessness (July 2015), Aging and the Homeless Community (November, 2015); Women Veterans and Homelessness (May 2016); Opioid Use Disorder and Homelessness (February 2017); Rural Veterans and Homelessness (June 2017); Suicide and Homeless Veterans (February 2018); Addressing Social Determinants of Health: Exploring Implications for Policy through the Veteran Health Administration’s Universal Screening for Housing Instability among Veteran Outpatients (September 2018); Potential Benefits and Pitfalls in Predictive Analytics Among Veterans Experiencing Homelessness (July 2019); Housing for Veterans with a Sex Offender History: Policy and Programmatic Solutions to Address Barriers for a High Need Population (January 2020); and Where are we with Housing First? (August 2021).

Links to the recorded webinars and proceedings are available on the Center’s website. https://www.va.gov/HOMELESS/nchav/research/HERS.asp
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**Introduction:**

The experience of homelessness and sequelae of mental illness are individual vulnerabilities that may impact access to mental and physical health care, threaten household stability and hinder community integration. What intervention services are available for these adults who experience housing insecurity and have serious mental illness (SMI)? To date, there have been no systemic examinations of the literature on interventions to improve engagement in care for populations with these intersecting needs.

In this *Homeless Evidence Research Symposium (HERS)*, we present the report: *Primary Care Engagement Among Individuals with Housing Insecurity and Serious Mental Illness: An Evidence Map*. This systemic review evaluates the quantity and distribution of intervention types and components to improve engagement in primary care for individuals with experiences with homelessness and SMI (Shepherd-Banigan et al., 2021).
Presentations

VA Evidence Synthesis Program and Healthcare Engagement with Homelessness and Mental Illness
Megan Shepherd-Banigan, PhD, MPH

VA Evidence Synthesis Program
Approximately 20-25% of the adults in the homeless population experience severe and persistent mental illness (SMI). In addition, these same individuals experiencing homelessness have a wide array of additional interpersonal and environmental stressors, including limited access to interpersonal supports and community resources, stigma, and bias of mental illness in addition to homelessness, increased involvement with legal issues, and often overlapping substance use issues as well. Because of the barriers these individuals face, preventative medical health care is often not accessed by these individuals.

Dr. Shepherd-Banigan describes those individuals experiencing homelessness who have diagnoses of SMI, are high utilizers of emergency care, low utilizers of preventative care, and highly likely to have chronic illness. Individuals experiencing homelessness also have a death rate that is 25 years younger than that of the general population. This project was developed to evaluate and assess specific intervention strategies that have been studied among adults who are homeless or at high risk of becoming homeless and who also have serious mental illness in order to promote engagement in primary care. The project’s report addresses interventions evaluated in the general homeless population, not specifically the homeless Veteran population.

Conclusions
In order to fully evaluate this question, the VA Evidence Syntheses Program (ESP), VHA, Health Services Research & Development Service, developed a systemic evidence map to evaluate literature over the course of nine months. The team applied a rigorous process to systematically identify eligible studies. The team’s goals during this process were to understand the scope of the literature that examined interventions to improve primary care engagement for populations with SMI and experiences of homelessness and to identify strategies used (Shepherd-Banigan et al., 2021).
Research Findings and Summary
Connor Drake, PhD, MPA

Research Findings
Dr. Drake reports that almost 5,000 articles were identified for review over the course of nine months. Of those articles, only 191 articles met criteria for a full review. Among the 191 articles, 22 described 15 unique interventions to enhance engagement with individuals with history of mental illness and homelessness in primary care. Most of the articles referencing specific intervention strategies were in the United States, with a small percentage in Canada. One of the highlighted studies identified in this research was the Access to Community Care and Effective Support Services (ACCESS) Project.

The ACCESS Project was funded by the Department of Health and Human Services and took place from 1994 to 2000 across 18 sites throughout the United States to address gaps in care or “care fragmentation” which has been identified to occur within community agencies when working with individuals with complex needs such as homelessness and SMI. ACCESS tested the effectiveness of systems integration strategies to support patients with experiences of homelessness and mental illness. Specifically, funds were used to enhance systems integration in communities. These enhancements ranged from joint funding for interagency initiatives, reduction of regulatory barriers, information sharing, up to full-service delivery integration.

Strategies for Success
Dr. Drake presents overall findings of the research project and strategies to better serve this population at patient, clinic, and system levels to enhance outcomes of engagement with these identified individuals experiencing homelessness and SMI.

Patient Level Strategies: Dr. Drake indicates that evidence-based therapies, such as Motivational Interviewing and Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, were included in the patient level strategies. Additional strategies at the patient level included fostering trauma informed environments, providing health education, and developing community-based interdisciplinary case management services as well as environmental supports, such as transitional housing, food and income assistance, transportation, and other community assistance. Additionally, strategies that reduced barriers to accessing health and social services were commonly featured (e.g., reducing eligibility requirements and wait times).

Clinical Level Strategies: Commonly observed clinic level strategies included primary care services co-located with other services, such as social service agencies, as well as using interdisciplinary team models with frequent collaboration. A majority of interventions featured training and workforce development beyond what is required for discipline-specific licensure to promote skills and techniques tailored to this
complex population.

**Systems Level Strategies:** System level strategies sought to improve identification, engagement, and enrollment at different entry points, outside of the healthcare system, such as community agencies and jails. Additionally, common strategies included multi-sector coordination, shared health or administrative records across agencies, and proactive monitoring and technology infrastructure to support care coordination. Finally, standardized performance metrics to evaluate effectiveness across agencies and clinics were used.

**Strengths and Limitations of Research Approach**  
Jessica Dietch, PhD,

**Strengths and Limitations of Approach:**

**Strengths**
- Evaluates intersection of patients with experiences of homelessness and SMI
- Disaggregates complex interventions & systematically evaluates complexity

**Limitations**
- Evidence map is not intended to draw conclusions about intervention effectiveness
- Excluded studies that did not explicitly report serving a majority of patients with SMI

Dr. Dietch reports that Homelessness and SMI engagement in primary care have been studied independently but not in combination. In addition, the interventions that were researched were each divided into several categories of complexity and characteristics.

Dr. Dietch also notes that evidence maps are not designed for the interventions to be rated on effectiveness. Additionally, the review excluded studies whose majority of patients were not diagnosed with a serious mental illness.
Interactive Panel Discussion:

Conceptual Model

The diagram above depicts the conceptual model for the basis of this systematic review. The map identifies patients experiencing homelessness with SMI and outcomes for related intervention strategies, barriers, and engagement strategies.

What outcomes were measured?
The main measure of these studies is housing and a subjective report of physical health experience. For example, one data-driven outcome measure is the 12-Item Short Form Survey (SF-12) which reports physical health components. However, more patient-centered outcomes, which are harder to objectively measure, are lacking.

Implementation:
How can these programs be executed without increasing complexity? Interventions are complicated; however, some existing programs are effective. One potential example, which is utilized by Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF) grantees, is hiring healthcare navigators to assist Veteran homeless population with accessing VA benefits, healthcare, and other services.

Financial considerations:
Mixed results exist among peer-reviewed literature about the relationship between homelessness and receipt of pensions, such as through Social Security Income and VA Compensation and Pension, or having access to the
Affordable Care Act Health Care. These peer-reviewed studies have consistently shown that these interventions improve access to health care; however, they do not consistently impact homelessness or improve housing status.

**Ongoing Relationships:**
Does the initial relationship impact the longevity of treatment received by individuals with a history of homelessness and SMI? This can be referenced in the conceptual model; however, the studies primarily reviewed vulnerability to obtaining and accessing services.

**Final Panel Thoughts:**
This thorough literature review came to limited conclusions because rigorous data of the issues are not currently available. The authors demonstrated that the issue is important, and outcomes need improvement, but research to date, consistent with the recent National Academies report on health and supported housing, is inconclusive. Longer-term studies might demonstrate more positive effects.

The authors utilized rigorous systematic review methods to evaluate the literature on the important topic of primary care access in Veterans with experience of homelessness and SMI. The wide variability in outcomes measured and populations studied in published trials to date limits supportable conclusions. The work helps to identify specific gaps in the literature that should be addressed in future work while providing a useful summary of outcomes and methods used in existing work.
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