the EPA had regulated sulfur dioxide emissions from natural gas processing and volatile organic chemicals (VOCs) from a number of equipment and operations at oil and gas facilities. 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOOO. In 2016, the EPA promulgated this Rule, which expanded the existing NSPS by requiring methane reductions from previously regulated sources and limiting methane and VOCs from other types of new oil and gas facilities never before regulated under Section 111.

Several state and industry petitioners challenged this Rule in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia alleging, inter alia, that EPA acted arbitrarily and capriciously, and in excess of statutory authority. See, e.g., West Virginia v. EPA, 16–1264, State Petitioners’ Nonbinding Statement of the Issues to Be Raised. These cases have been consolidated and are pending before the court. Many of these parties also submitted petitions for reconsideration of this Rule to EPA. The Agency has not yet acted on these petitions.

II. Initiation of Review of This Rule

On March 28, 2017, President Trump issued an Executive Order establishing a national policy in favor of energy independence, economic growth, and the rule of law. The purpose of that Executive Order is to facilitate the development of U.S. energy resources—including oil and gas—and to reduce unnecessary regulatory burdens associated with the development of those resources. The President has directed agencies to review existing regulations that potentially burden the development of domestic energy sources, and appropriately suspend, revise, or rescind regulations that unduly burden the development of U.S. energy resources beyond what is necessary to protect the public interest or otherwise comply with the law. The Executive Order also directs agencies to take appropriate actions, to the extent permitted by law, to promote clean air and clean water while also respecting the proper roles of Congress and the States. This Executive Order specifically directs EPA to review and, if appropriate, initiate proceedings to suspend, revise or rescind this Rule.

Pursuant to the Executive Order, EPA is initiating its review of this Rule and providing advanced notice of forthcoming rulemaking proceedings consistent with the President’s policies. If EPA’s review concludes that suspension, revision or rescission of this Rule is appropriate, EPA’s review will be followed by a rulemaking process that will be transparent, follow proper administrative procedures, include appropriate engagement with the public, employ sound science, and be firmly grounded in the law.

EPA’s ability to revisit existing regulations is well-grounded in the law. Specifically, the agency has inherent authority to reconsider past decisions and to rescind or revise a decision to the extent permitted by law when supported by a reasoned explanation. FCC v. Fox Television Stations, Inc., 556 U.S. 502, 515 (2009) (“Fox”); Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Ass’n of the United States, Inc., et al. v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co., et al., 463 U.S. 29, 42 (1983) (“State Farm”). Moreover, the Clean Air Act itself authorizes EPA to reconsider its rulemakings. 42 U.S.C. 7607(b)(1). (d)(7)(B). The Clean Air Act complements the EPA’s inherent authority to reconsider prior rulemakings by providing the agency with broad authority to prescribe regulations as necessary. 42 U.S.C. 7601(a). The authority to reconsider prior decisions exists in part because EPA’s interpretations of statutes it administers “are not carved in stone” but must be evaluated “on a continuing basis.” Chevron U.S.A. Inc. v. NRDC, Inc., 467 U.S. 837, 857–58 (1984). This is true when—as is the case here—review is undertaken “in response to . . . a change in administrations.”

National Cable & Telecommunications Ass’n v. Brand X Internet Services, 545 U.S. 967, 981 (2005). Importantly, such a revised decision need not be based upon a change of facts or circumstances. Rather, a revised rulemaking based “on a reevaluation of which policy would be better in light of the facts” is “well within an agency’s discretion,” and “[a] change in administration brought about by the people casting their votes is a perfectly reasonable basis for an executive agency’s reappraisal of the costs and benefits of its programs and regulations.” National Ass’n of Home Builders v. EPA, 682 F.3d 1032, 1038 & 1043 (D.C. Cir. 2012) (citing Fox, 556 U.S. at 514–15; quoting State Farm, 463 U.S. at 59 (Rehnquist, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part)).

In conducting this review, EPA will follow each of the principles and policies set forth in the Executive Order, consistent with the EPA’s statutory authority. The Agency will reevaluate whether this Rule or alternative approaches are appropriately grounded in EPA’s statutory authority and consistent with the rule of law. The EPA will assess whether this Rule or alternative approaches appropriately maintain the diversity of reliable energy resources and encourage the production of domestic energy sources to achieve energy independence and security.

Additionally, EPA will assess this Rule and alternative approaches to determine whether they will provide benefits that substantially exceed their costs. In taking any actions subsequent to this review, EPA will use its appropriated funds and agency resources wisely by firmly grounding in the statute its actions to protect public health and welfare.


E. Scott Pruitt,
Administrator.
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DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

48 CFR Parts 816, 828 and 852

RIN 2900–AP82

Revise and Streamline VA Acquisition Regulation To Adhere to Federal Acquisition Regulation Principles (VAAR Case 2014–V002—Parts 816, 828)

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is correcting a proposed rule regarding Federal Acquisition Regulation Principles. This correction addresses minor technical errors in the proposed rule.

DATES: April 4, 2017. The comments due date remains May 12, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted through www.Regulations.gov; by mail or hand-delivery to the Director, Regulation Policy and Management (00REG), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Room 1068, Washington, DC 20420; or by fax to (202) 273–9026. Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to “RIN 2900–AP82—Revise and Streamline VA Acquisition Regulation To Adhere to Federal Acquisition Regulation Principles.”

Copies of comments received will be
available for public inspection in the Office of Regulation Policy and Management, Room 1068, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20420, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday (except holidays). Please call (202) 461–4902 for an appointment. (This is not a toll-free number.) In addition, during the comment period, comments may be viewed online through the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) at www.Regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ricky Clark, Senior Procurement Analyst, Procurement Policy and Warrant Management Services (003A2A), 425 I Street NW., Washington DC 20001, (202) 632–5276. (This is not a toll-free telephone number.)


Corrections

1. On page 13420, third column, List of Subjects revise all references to “38 CFR” to read “48 CFR”.

2. 816.504 [Corrected]

3. On page 13421, second column, amendatory instruction 4, remove “Subpart”, and add in its place, “Section”.

4. On page 13421, second column, amendatory instruction 5, remove “803.505”, and add in its place, “816.505”.

5. 852.216–74 [Corrected]


7. 852.228–73 [Corrected]

8. On page 13427, in the third column, immediately following paragraph (d)(2) add, “(End of clause)”.

Janet J. Coleman
Chief, Office of Regulation Policy & Management, Office of the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs.
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