## Take-Home Points

- 1. Virtually every study shows substantial differences between Group A and Group B.
  - a. Due to:
    - The homogeneous phenotyping from the Factor case definition.
    - 2) The strategy for developing the imaging tests by targeting veterans' symptomatic deficits and the related brain regions.
  - b. Suggests that brain imaging might explain most symptoms.
  - c. Provides rich mosaic of evidence to explain mechanisms.
- 2. The evidence does not yet favor one mechanism
  - White matter is clearly abnormal, but deep gray matter also abnormal
  - b. Gray matter abnormalities appear bilaterally asymmetrical.
  - c. White matter abnormality appears to involve myelin rather than axonal degeneration.

## **Take-Home Points**

- 3. Besides explaining the specific deficits, the mosaic of evidence points to certain general findings:
  - Structures activating during a task in Group B often do not activate in Group A, but other structures do
    - 1) Probably the brain's attempts to compensate for deficits
  - b. The brain in Group A appears to be hyper-aroused and hyper-responsive to stimuli.
    - 1) The brain working hard to overcome deficits?
    - 2) May explain the chronic fatigue
    - 3) May explain the emotional lability and hyper-reactivity
- Optimism that this multi-perspective testing protocol might lead to objective phenotyping and diagnosis
  - a. For developing an objective diagnostic test protocol
  - b. For providing homogeneous groups for clinical trials

## **Take-Home Points**

- 5. Will these findings from the Seabees battalion generalize to the larger population of Gulf War veterans?
  - a. The next phase of studies from the RTI national survey sample will answer this question.