direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management system practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Commandant Instruction MI6475.1D, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of categorical exclusion under Section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, we believe this rule should be categorically excluded under figure 2–1, paragraph 34(g) of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation.

A preliminary “Environmental Analysis Check List” is available in the docket where indicated under

ADDRESSES. Comments on this section will be considered before we make the final decision on whether the rule should be categorically excluded from further environmental review.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

§ 165.090–026 Safety Zone; 2005 Mentor Harbor Offshore Classic, Mentor, OH.

(a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: All waters located within 400 yards of the triangular race course as drawn by a line from position 41°34′39″ N, 81°21′18″ W to position 41°46′02″ N, 81°20′51″ W and to 41°45′34″ N, 81°18′04″ W.

(b) Effective Period. This section is effective from noon (local) until 4 p.m. (local) on Sunday July 10, 2005.

(c) Regulations. Entry into, transit through, or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Cleveland or his designated on-scene representative. The designated on-scene representative will be the Coast Guard Patrol Commander. The Coast Guard Patrol Commander may be contacted via VHF Channel 16.

Dated: June 21, 2005.

Lorne W. Thomas, Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Cleveland.

[FR Doc. 05–12726 Filed 6–27–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Parts 1 and 3

RIN 2900–AM09

Presumptions of Service Connection for Diseases Associated With Service Involving Detention or Internment as a Prisoner of War

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Affirmation of interim final rule as final rule.

SUMMARY: This document affirms as final, without change, an interim final rule that established presumptions of service connection for atherosclerotic heart disease, hypertensive vascular disease, and stroke in former prisoners of war; set forth guidelines to govern future actions by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) to establish presumptions of service connection for diseases associated with service involving detention or internment as a prisoner of war; and revised VA’s regulations to conform to statutory changes made by the Veterans Benefits Act of 2003.

DATES: The interim final rule became effective on October 7, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maya Ferrandino, Consultant, Compensation and Pension Service, Policy and Regulations Staff, Veterans Benefits Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420, (202) 273–7232.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In a document published in the Federal Register on October 7, 2004 (69 FR 60083), VA issued an interim final rule that set forth guidelines to govern VA’s determinations as to whether presumptions of service connection are warranted for any disease based on a finding that the disease may be associated with service involving detention or internment as a prisoner of war (POW). The interim final rule also established presumptions of service connection, pursuant to those guidelines, for atherosclerotic heart disease, hypertensive vascular disease, stroke, and their complications in former POWs. Finally, the interim final rule revised VA’s regulations to reflect statutory changes made by section 201 of the Veterans Benefits Act of 2003. Public Law No. 108–183, which revised 38 U.S.C. 1112(b) to remove, for certain POW presumptive diseases, the previous requirement that the former POW must have been detained or interned for at least 30 days in order to qualify for the presumption. We solicited public comments on the interim final rule and we received comments from one individual.

In the October 7, 2004, Federal Register notice, we explained that VA generally employs evidentiary presumptions of service connection to assist claimants who face unusually difficult evidentiary burdens in demonstrating entitlement to VA.
limited/suggestive evidence of an association between cardiovascular disease and POW experience and that such an association is biologically plausible. We noted that medical studies had detected an increased risk of cardiovascular disease among former POWs. We further noted that the evidence of an association between PTSD and cardiovascular disease lends support to our conclusion that cardiovascular disease is associated with POW experience. Accordingly, we believe the commenter’s statement that former POWs have a higher risk of cardiovascular disease is consistent with our interim final rule.

To the extent the comment might be viewed as suggesting that we should use the term “cardiovascular disease” rather than the terms “atherosclerotic heart disease” and “hypertensive vascular disease” to describe the presumptive diseases, we make no change based on that comment. As explained in our October 7, 2004, Federal Register notice, the terms “atherosclerotic heart disease” and “hypertensive vascular disease” are broad terms encompassing a wide variety of cardiovascular diseases that may be described by more specific diagnoses in individual cases. We have concluded that those terms are sufficiently broad to cover the cardiovascular diseases for which there is evidence suggestive of an association with POW experience and, moreover, for which there is a biologically plausible relationship to circumstances of POW experience such as malnutrition and stress. We do not have sufficient evidence at this time to conclude that there is a sufficiently demonstrated and biologically plausible association between POW experience and certain other types of cardiovascular disease such as those of viral or bacterial origin. Accordingly, we believe that the term “atherosclerotic heart disease” most aptly describes the range of heart diseases for which current medical evidence supports a presumption of service connection, and that the term “hypertensive vascular disease” most aptly describes the range of peripheral vascular diseases for which current medical evidence supports a presumption of service connection. The commenter also states that veterans with chronic PTSD have been found to have a significant risk of developing autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, insulin-dependent diabetes, and hypothyroidism, and asserts that former POWs are therefore likely to have a higher risk of autoimmune diseases. We make no change based on this comment because it involves matters beyond the scope of the interim final rule. Although the interim final rule established presumptions of service connection for certain diseases, it should not be construed to reflect a determination by VA concerning the strength of any evidence that may exist for a possible association between other diseases, such as autoimmune diseases, and POW experience. In order to ensure the prompt delivery of benefits to the aging POW population, VA necessarily focused on certain diseases for which it was aware of the compelling evidence of an association with POW service. The issue of whether presumptions may be established for other specific diseases is beyond the scope of this final rule. However, the purpose of establishing guidelines in new §1.18 was to provide a framework for VA, on an ongoing basis, to evaluate scientific and medical evidence pertaining to diseases possibly associated with POW experience as well as policy issues pertaining to the need for particular presumptions. Accordingly, evidence such as that cited by the commenter with respect to autoimmune diseases may be the subject of subsequent review and deliberation under the newly established guidelines.

We note further that existing VA regulations may provide a basis for granting service connection to former POWs who incur autoimmune diseases as a result of PTSD. Currently, 38 CFR 3.309(c) establishes a presumption of service connection for anxiety disorders, including PTSD, in former POWs. A separate regulation at 38 CFR 3.310 provides that service connection may be granted for any disability arising as a proximate result of a service-connected condition. Pursuant to those regulations, a former POW who has PTSD may be able to establish service connection for an autoimmune disease if medical evidence shows that the veteran’s disease proximately resulted from the veteran’s PTSD.

Administrative Procedure Act

In the October 7, 2004, Federal Register notice, we determined that there was a basis under the Administrative Procedure Act for issuing the interim final rule with immediate effect. We invited and received public comment on the interim final rule. This document merely affirms the interim final rule as a final rule without change.

Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before developing any rule that may result in...
an expenditure by State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any given year. This rule will have no such effect on State, local, or tribal governments, or the private sector.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This document contains no new collections of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) previously has approved the VA application forms governing claims for benefits based on service-connected disability or death. Those forms specify the requirements for submitting information and evidence in support of such claims and would govern any claims for benefits based on the presumptions established by this rule. By establishing presumptions of service connection, this rule will relieve some claimants of the need to submit evidence directly establishing that a cardiovascular disease was incurred in or aggravated by service. The OMB approval numbers for the relevant information collections are 2900–0001 (VA Form 21–526, Veterans’ Application for Compensation and/or Pension); 2900–0004 (VA Form 21–534, Application for DIC, Death Compensation, and Accrued Benefits by a Surviving Spouse or Child); and 2900–0005 (VA Form 21–535, Application for DIC by Parent(s)).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary hereby certifies that this regulatory action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612. The reason for this certification is that these amendments will not directly affect any small entities. Only VA beneficiaries and their survivors will be directly affected.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program numbers are 64.109, Veterans Compensation for Service-Connected Disability; and 64.110, Veterans Dependency and Indemnity Compensation for Service-Connected Death.

List of Subjects

38 CFR Part 1

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims.

38 CFR Part 3

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Disability benefits, Health care, Veterans, Vietnam.

Approved: May 10, 2005.

R. James Nicholson,
Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Accordingly, the interim final rule amending 38 CFR parts 1 and 3 which was published at 69 FR 60083 is adopted as a final rule without change.

[FR Doc. 05–12760 Filed 6–27–05; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

44 CFR Part 64

[Docket No. FEMA–7778]

List of Communities Eligible for the Sale of Flood Insurance


ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule identifies communities participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and suspended from the NFIP. These communities have applied to the program and have agreed to enact certain floodplain management measures. The communities’ participation in the program authorizes the sale of flood insurance to owners of property located in the communities listed.

DATES: Effective Dates: The dates listed under the column headed Effective Date of Eligibility.

ADDRESSES: Flood insurance policies for property located in the communities listed can be obtained from any licensed property insurance agent or broker serving the eligible community or from the NFIP at: (800) 638–6620.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael M. Grimm, Mitigation Division, 500 C Street, SW.; Room 412, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–2878.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NFIP enables property owners to purchase flood insurance which is generally not otherwise available. In return, communities agree to adopt and administer local floodplain management measures aimed at protecting lives and new construction from future flooding.

Since the communities on the attached list have recently entered the NFIP, subsidized flood insurance is now available for property in the community.

In addition, the Federal Emergency Management Agency has identified the special flood hazard areas in some of these communities by publishing a Flood Hazard Boundary Map (FHB) or Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). The date of the flood map, if one has been published, is indicated in the fourth column of the table. In the communities listed where a flood map has been published, Section 202 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4016(a), requires the purchase of flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for acquisition or construction of buildings in the special flood hazard areas shown on the map.

The Administrator finds that delayed effective dates would be contrary to the public interest and that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and unnecessary.

This rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR Part 10, Environmental Considerations. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

Regulatory Flexibility Act. The Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U. S. C. 601 et seq., because the rule creates no additional burden, but lists those communities eligible for the sale of flood insurance.

Regulatory Classification. This final rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

Paperwork Reduction Act. This rule does not involve any collection of information for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

Executive Order 12612, Federalism. This rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, October 26, 1987, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 252.

Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform. This rule meets the applicable standards of section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778, October 25, 1991, 56 FR 55195, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 309.

List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 64

Flood insurance, Floodplains.

Accordingly, 44 CFR part 64 is amended as follows: