1996 (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.), prior to issuing any final rule the USPTO will submit a report containing the final rule and other required information to the United States Senate, the United States House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the Government Accountability Office.

K. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995: The changes proposed in this notice do not involve a Federal intergovernmental mandate that will result in the expenditure by State, local, and Tribal governments, in the aggregate, of 100 million dollars (as adjusted) or more in any one year, or a Federal private sector mandate that will result in the expenditure by the private sector of 100 million dollars (as adjusted) or more in any one year, and will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions are necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995. See 2 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.

L. National Environmental Policy Act: This rulemaking will not have any effect on the quality of environment and is thus categorically excluded from review under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. See 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.

M. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act: The requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) are not applicable because this rulemaking does not contain provisions which involve the use of technical standards.

N. Paperwork Reduction Act: This notice involves information collection requirements which are subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). The collection of information involved in this notice has been reviewed and approved by OMB under OMB control number 0651–0021. The USPTO is not resubmitting an information collection package to OMB for its review and approval because the changes in this notice do not affect the information collection requirements associated with the information collection under OMB control number 0651–0021.

Interested persons are requested to send comments regarding these information collections, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: (1) The Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10202, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for the Patent and Trademark Office; and (2) Robert A. Clarke, Director, Office of Patent Legal Administration, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person is required to respond to nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number.

List of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 1

Administrative practice and procedure, Courts, Freedom of Information, Inventions and patents, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Small businesses.

PART 1—RULES OF PRACTICE IN PATENT CASES

§ 1.485 Amendments by applicant during international preliminary examination.

The applicant may make amendments at the time of filing the Demand. The applicant may also make amendments within the time limit set by the International Preliminary Examining Authority for reply to any notification under § 1.484(b) or to any written opinion. Any such amendments must be made in accordance with PCT Rule 66.8.

Dated: June 24, 2009.

John J. Doll,

For further information contact: Lisa Brown, Chief, Policy Management Division, VA Health Administration Center, P.O. Box 460948, Denver, Colorado 80246; (303) 331–7882. (This is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a document published in the Federal Register on October 28, 2008 (73 FR 63914), VA proposed to amend its medical regulations at 38 CFR Part 17 concerning CHAMPVA benefits. Specifically, it proposed to amend § 17.273(e) regarding durable medical equipment (DME) by increasing the dollar ceiling for purchase or rental of durable medical equipment (DME) from $300 to $2,000.

CHAMPVA is a VA medical benefits program for (1) spouses and children of veterans who have a permanent and total service-connected disability and (2) surviving spouses and children of veterans who died as a result of a service-connected disability or while rated permanently and totally disabled from a service-connected disability, or who died in the active military, naval, or air service in the line of duty and there is not otherwise entitlement to Department of Defense TRICARE benefits. DME is included among the health care items that are available to CHAMPVA beneficiaries. To ensure that DME purchases and rental are medically necessary, appropriate and within the Department’s budgetary constraints, VA requires non-VA providers to obtain preauthorization before the purchase or rental of DME for a CHAMPVA beneficiary when the cost of the DME exceeds $300. DME purchases greater than $300 are currently reviewed twice, i.e., first when a request is submitted for preauthorization and again when the claim is officially submitted for payment.

The current rate was put in place in 1973. Since the cost of common DME items has steadily increased, this ceiling no longer reflects current costs. Raising the dollar amount to $2,000 would make the administrative processing of DME claims easier for CHAMPVA beneficiaries and providers as well as for VA, since claims under that amount will likely be reviewed once.

VA provided a 60-day comment period that ended December 29, 2008.
We received one comment from five individuals who jointly expressed their support for the proposed amendment to § 17.273(e). Based on the rationale set forth in the proposed rule, we are adopting the provisions of the proposed rule as a final rule without change.

Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, requires that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before developing any rule that may result in expenditure by State, local, and Tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any given year. This final rule would have no such effect on State, local, and Tribal governments, or on the private sector.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995


Executive Order 12866

Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). The Order classifies a rule as a “significant regulatory action” requiring review by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) unless OMB waives such review, as any regulatory action that is likely to result in a rule that may: (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or Tribal government or communities; (2) create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President’s priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

VA has examined the economic, interagency, budgetary, legal, and policy implications of this final rule and has concluded that it is not a significant regulatory action under the Executive Order 12866.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs hereby certifies that this regulatory amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612. Individuals eligible for CHAMPVA benefits are widely dispersed geographically and thus services provided to them would not have a significant impact on any small entity. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this rule is exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analyses requirements of section 603 and 604.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

This final rule affects the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Department of Veterans Affairs (CHAMPVA), for which there is no Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program number.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 17

Administrative practice and procedure. Alcohol abuse. Alcoholism. Claims, Day care, Dental health. Drug abuse, Foreign relations, Government contracts, Grant programs—health, Health facilities, Health professionals—health, Health records, Homeless, Medical and dental schools, Medical devices, Medical research, Mental health programs, Nursing homes, Philippines, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Travel and transportation expenses, and Veterans.

Approved: June 22, 2009.

John R. Gingrich.
Chief of Staff, Department of Veterans Affairs.

For the reasons stated above, the Department of Veterans Affairs amends 38 CFR part 17 as follows:

PART 17—MEDICAL

1. The authority citation for part 17 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501, 1721, and as noted in specific sections.

2. Revise paragraph (e) of § 17.273 to read as follows:

§ 17.273 Preauthorization.

* * * * *

(e) Durable medical equipment with a purchase or total rental price in excess of $2,000.

* * * * *


[FR Doc. E9–15484 Filed 6–30–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

39 CFR Part 3020


New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission is adding the Postal Service’s Priority Mail Contract Group to the Competitive Product List. This action is consistent with changes in a recent law governing postal operations. Reproduction of the lists of market dominant and competitive products is also consistent with new requirements of the law.

DATES: Effective July 1, 2009 and is applicable beginning June 19, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 and stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Regulatory History, 74 FR 26744 (June 3, 2009)

The Postal Service seeks to add a new product identified as Priority Mail Contract Group to the Competitive Product List, or, in the alternative, add new products identified as Priority Mail Contract 6 through Priority Mail Contract 10 to the Competitive Product List. For the reasons that follow, the Commission adds the contracts identified in Docket Nos. CP2009–30 through CP2009–34 to the Competitive Product List as separate, new products.

I. The Postal Service’s Request


The Postal Service contemporaneously filed five contracts